1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JCL LAW FIRM, APC Jean-Claude Lapuyade (State Bar #248676) Sydney Castillo-Johnson (State Bar #343881) Monnett De La Torre (State Bar #272884) 5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600 San Diego, CA 92121 Telephone: (619) 599-8292 Facsimile: (619) 599-8291 jlapuyade@jcl-lawfirm.com scastillo@jcl-lawfirm.com mdelatorre@jcl-lawfirm.com ZAKAY LAW GROUP, APLC Shani O. Zakay (State Bar #277924) 5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600 San Diego, CA 92121 Telephone: (619)255-9047 Facsimile: (858) 404-9203 shani@zakaylaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff	First of the Superior Count OCT 2 0 2023 By: J. Virissimo, Deputy
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
13	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO	
14 15 16 17	KAREEM COLES, an individual, on behalf of himself, and on behalf of all persons similarly situated, Plaintiffs, V.	Case No: 37-2022-00048696-CU-OE-CTL -[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT
18 19 20 21	CAREFUSION RESOURCES, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company; BECTON DICKINSON AND COMPANY, a New Jersey Corporation; and DOES 1-50, Inclusive,	Date: October 20, 2023 Time: 10:30 a.m. Judge: Hon. Loren Freestone Dept.: C-64
22	Defendants.	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

This matter having come before the Honorable Judge Loren Freestone of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of San Diego, at 10:30 a.m. on October 20, 2023, with Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq., of the JCL Law Firm, APC, and Shani O. Zakay, Esq. of the Zakay Law Group, APLC, as counsel for Plaintiff Kareem Coles ("Plaintiff"), and Marlene M. Moffitt, Esq. of Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Stewart, P.C., appearing for Defendants CareFusion Resources, LLC and Becton, Dickinson and Company (hereinafter "Defendants"). The Court, having carefully considered the briefs, argument of counsel and all the matters presented to the Court, and good cause appearing, hereby GRANTS Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. The Court preliminarily approves the Stipulation of Settlement of Class and PAGA Action Claims and Release of Claims ("Settlement Agreement" or "Agreement"), a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit "1"**. This is based on the Court's determination that the Settlement Agreement is within the range of possible final approval, pursuant to the provisions of Section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure and California Rules of Court, rule 3.769.
- 2. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Agreement, and all terms defined therein shall have the same meaning in this Order as set forth in the Agreement.
- 3. Subject to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Total Settlement Amount that Defendants shall pay is One Million, Nine Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$1,950,000.00). It appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that the settlement amount and terms are fair, adequate, and reasonable as to all Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to certification, liability, and damages issues. It further appears that investigation and research have been conducted such that counsel for the Parties are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. It further appears to the Court that settlement at this time will avoid substantial additional costs by all Parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the litigation. It further appears that the Settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive arms-length negotiations.
- 4. The Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement appears to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that could ultimately be given final approval by this Court. The Court

has reviewed the monetary recovery that is being granted as part of the Settlement and preliminarily finds that the monetary settlement awards made available to the Class Members are fair, adequate, and reasonable when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to certification, liability, and damages issues.

- 5. Plaintiff seeks a Class Counsel Award in the amount of up to Six Hundred Seventy-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$675,000.00), comprised of up to one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount for attorney's fees, or \$650,000.00, and litigation expenses of up to \$25,000.00, to be paid to Class Counsel, as well as a proposed Service Award to the Class Representative, Kareem Coles, in an amount of not more than Seven Thousand, Five Hundred Dollars and Zero Cents (\$7,500.00). While these awards appear to be within the range of reasonableness, the Court will not approve the Class Counsel Award or Service Award until the Final Approval Hearing.
- 6. The Court recognizes that Plaintiff and Defendants stipulate and agree to certification of a class for settlement purposes only. This stipulation will not be deemed admissible in this, or any other proceeding should this Settlement not become final. For settlement purposes only, the Court conditionally certifies the following Class:

"All non-exempt employees who worked for CareFusion in California and/or all non-exempt employees employed by BD who worked for CareFusion in California ("Class") during the period beginning October 2, 2020, through October 20, 2023 ("Class Period").

7. The Court concludes that, for settlement purposes only, the Class meets the requirements for certification under section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure in that: (a) the Class is ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all members of the Class Members is impracticable; (b) common questions of law and fact predominate, and there is a well-defined community of interest amongst the Class Members with respect to the subject matter of the litigation; (c) the claims of the Class Representative are typical of the claims of the Class Members; (d) the Class Representative will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class Members; (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for the efficient adjudication of this controversy; and (f) Class Counsel are qualified to act as counsel for the Class Representative in his individual capacity and as the representative of the

Class Members.

- 8. The Court provisionally appoints Plaintiff Kareem Coles as the representatives of the Class.
- 9. The Court provisionally appoints Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq., of JCL Law Firm, APC, and Shani O. Zakay, Esq. of Zakay Law Group, APLC, as Class Counsel for the Class Members.
- 10. The Court hereby approves, as to form and content, the Proposed Notice of Pendency of Class and PAGA Representative Action Settlement and Final Hearing Date ("Notice Packet") attached to the Agreement as Exhibit "A". The Court finds that the Notice Packet appears to fully and accurately inform the Class Members and Aggrieved Employees of all material elements of the proposed Settlement, including the right of any Class Member to be excluded from the Class by submitting a written request for exclusion, and of each Class Member's right and opportunity to object to the Settlement. The Court further finds that the distribution of the Notice Packets substantially in the manner and form set forth in the Agreement and this Order meets the requirements of due process, is the most reasonable notice under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto. The Court orders the mailing of the Notice Packets by first class mail, pursuant to the terms set forth in the Agreement.
- 11. The Court hereby appoints Apex Class Action LLC, as Settlement Administrator. Within ten (10) business days after the Preliminary Approval Date, Defendants shall provide the Settlement Administrator with the Class Data, including information regarding Class Members that Defendants will in good faith compile from its records, including each Class Member's full name, last-known address, employee ID number, Social Security number, and number of Class Period Workweeks and PAGA Pay Periods. No later than fourteen (14) calendar days after receiving the Class Data from Defendants, the Settlement Administrator shall mail and email copies of the Notice Packet to all Class Members via first class U.S. Mail.
- 12. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the proposed procedure for exclusion from the Settlement. Any Class Member may individually choose to opt out of and be excluded from the Settlement as provided in the Notice Packet by following the instructions for requesting exclusion from the Settlement as set forth in the Notice Packet. All requests for exclusion must be postmarked or

Administrator mails the Notice Packets to Class Members or, in the case of re-mailed Notice Packet, not more than fourteen (14) days from the original Response Deadline. Any such person who chooses to opt out of and be excluded from the Settlement will not be entitled to an Individual Class Payment under the Settlement and will not be bound by the Settlement, or have any right to object, appeal or comment thereon. Class Members who have not requested exclusion shall be bound by all determinations of the Court, the Agreement, and Judgment.

- 13. Any Class Member who has not opted out may appear at the final approval hearing and may object or express the Class Member's views regarding the Settlement and may present evidence and file briefs or other papers that may be proper and relevant to the issues to be heard and determined by the Court as provided in the Notice Packet. Class Members will have forty-five (45) calendar days from the date the Settlement Administrator mails the Notice Packet to postmark their written objections to the Settlement Administrator.
- a.m. in Department C-64 of the San Diego County Superior Court to determine all necessary matters concerning the Settlement, including: whether the proposed settlement of the Action on the terms and conditions provided for in the Agreement is fair, adequate and reasonable and should be finally approved by the Court; whether an Order Granting Final Approval should be entered herein; whether the plan of allocation contained in the Agreement should be approved as fair, adequate and reasonable to the Class; and to finally approve the Class Counsel Award, Service Award, and the Settlement Administration Costs. All papers in support of the motion for final approval and the motion for Class Counsel Award and Service Award shall be filed with the Court and served on all counsel within twenty-eight (28) days following the expiration of the Response Deadline.
- 15. In the event the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Agreement, or the Settlement is not finally approved, or is terminated, canceled, or fails to become effective for any reason, this Settlement Agreement shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and the Parties shall revert to their respective positions as of before entering into the Agreement. In such an event, the Court's orders regarding the Settlement, including this Preliminary Approval Order,

1	shall not be used or referred to in litigation for any purpose. Nothing in this paragraph is intended to	
2	alter the terms of the Settlement Agreement with respect to the effect of the Settlement Agreement if it	
3	is not approved.	
4	16. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the final approval hearing	
5	and all dates provided for in the Agreement without further notice to Class Members and retains	
6	jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the proposed Settlement.	
7		
8		
9		
10	Dated: 10/20/23	
11	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
12	LOREN G. FREESTONE	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		