_	JAMES HAWKINS APLC	FILED
2	James R. Hawkins, Esq. (#192925) Gregory Mauro, Esq. (#222239)	Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles
3	Michael Calvo, Esq. (#222239)	07/14/2023
3	9880 Research Drive, Suite 200	David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Cour
4	Irvine, CA 92618	By: A. Cisneros Deputy
5	Tel.: (949) 387-7200	
	Fax: (949) 387-6676 Email: James@jameshawkinsaplc.com	
6	Email: Greg@jameshawkinsaple.com	
7	Email: Michael@jameshawkinsaplc.com	
8		
0	Attorneys for Plaintiff ABDUL RASHID DES	SAI.
9	On behalf of the general public as private attor	
10	GUPENION GOUNT OF T	WE STATE OF CALLEDNA
		HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 'Y OF LOS ANGELES
11	TOR THE COUNT	TOT LOS ANGELES
12	ABDUL RASHID DESAI, On behalf of the	Case No. 20STCV26087
13	general public as private attorney general,	ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO: JUDGE: Hon. Lia Martin
	Plaintiff,	DEPT: 16
14		
15	v.	<del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER GRANTING
16		MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY
		APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
17	CYPRESS PRIVATE SECURITY, LP a California Limited Partnership, and DOES 1-	SETTLEMENT
18	50, inclusive,	Date: May 8, 2024 R'   ÁFI ÉÆG€GH Time: 9:00 a.m.
19	D. C. 1.	Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept.: 16
19	Defendants.	
20		Reservation No. 160183615097
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
-		

<u>ORDER</u>

This matter came on for hearing May's, 2021 at 9:00 a.m. in Department 16 of the above-captioned court on the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement and Class Notice (hereinafter "Settlement Agreement").

The Court, having fully reviewed the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities and Declarations filed in support thereof, the Settlement Agreement, including the proposed Class Notice, and in recognition of the Court's duty to make a preliminary determination as to the reasonableness of any proposed class action settlement, and if preliminarily determined to be reasonable, to ensure proper notice is provided to Settlement Class Members in accordance with due process requirements, and to set a Final Approval Hearing to consider the proposed Settlement Agreement as to the good faith, fairness, adequacy and reasonableness of any proposed settlement, and having heard the argument of Counsel for the respective parties, the Court HEREBY MAKES THE FOLLOWING DETERMINATIONS

## AND ORDERS:

It appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that the Gross Settlement Amount ("GSA") is fair and reasonable to the Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to class certification, the liability and damages issues involved, and the potential for appeals. It further appears that sufficient investigation, research, and litigation has been conducted such that counsel for the Parties at this time is able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. It further appears that the Settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the litigation. It further appears that the proposed Settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties. ACCORDINGLY, GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, THE MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT IS HEREBY GRANTED, AND AS A PART OF SAID PRELIMINARY APPROVAL, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS THAT THE SETTLEMENT CLASS BE CONDITIONALLY CERTIFIED FOR SETTLEMENT PURPOSES ONLY, AND THAT JAMES HAWKINS, APLC BE

14 **15** 

**17** 

20

23 24

25 26

27

28

## CONDITIONALLY AND PRELIMINARILY APPOINTED CLASS COUNSEL. MORE SPECIFICALLY, THE COURT FINDS AS FOLLOWS:

This Order incorporates by reference all definitions in the Parties' Settlement Agreement in the action entitled Abdul Rashid Desai v. Cypress Private Security, LP, Case No. 20STCV26087 (the "Lawsuit") and all terms used herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

The Court preliminarily approves the Settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement on the basis that the Settlement between Plaintiff and Defendant appear to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement which could ultimately be given final approval by this Court. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate, pursuant to Section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure.

The Court notes that Defendant has agreed to a non-reversionary GSA of \$430,000.00. The GSA includes without limitation any and all payments Defendant may be responsible for under the Settlement, including any Class Counsel Fees Payment and Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment to Class Counsel, Class Representative Service Payment, the Individual Class Payments, the PAGA Penalties (which includes payment to the LWDA), and Administrator Expenses Payment, all payroll taxes due and owing as a result of the Settlement. The employer payroll taxes shall be paid separate and apart from the GSA by Defendant.

The Court finds the requirements of Code of Civil Procedure 382 are satisfied for purposes of settlement and conditionally certifies the Settlement Class for settlement purposes, with Plaintiff acting as the Class Representative.

The Court hereby appoints, for settlement purposes, Plaintiff ABDUL RASHID DESAI as the Class Representative and finds Plaintiff is an adequate representative for the Settlement Class for settlement purposes. The Court further finds that James Hawkins APLC has preliminarily established adequacy to be appointed as Class Counsel and appoints them as Class Counsel.

The Class as identified in the Settlement Agreement is provisionally certified by this Order. The Court finds that the proposed manner of class notice is adequate.

The Court approves Apex Settlement Administration, to serve as the Settlement

Administrator.

The Court further hereby approves, as to form and content, the proposed Notice of Class Action Settlement and Orders the notice to be mailed to the Settlement Class.

The Court finds that the Notice of Class Action Settlement constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances, is in full compliance with the laws of the State of California and, to the extent applicable, the United States Constitution and the requirements of due process. The Court further finds that the Class Notice fully and accurately informs Settlement Class Members of all material elements of the proposed Settlement, of each Settlement Class Member's right to be excluded from the Settlement Class, and each Settlement Class Member's right and opportunity to object to the proposed Settlement. The Notice of Class Action Settlement adequately advises the Class about: the Class Action; the terms of the proposed Settlement and the benefits available to each Settlement Class Member; each Settlement Class Member's right to participate, submit an exclusion/Opt-Out, or Objection to the proposed Settlement, and the timing and procedures for doing so; the temporary and conditional certification of the Settlement Class for settlement purposes only; preliminary Court approval of the proposed Settlement; timing and procedures for distributing the Gross Settlement and the Individual Settlement Payments to the Participating Class Members; and the date of the Final Approval Hearing as well as the rights of the Settlement Class to file documentation in support of or in opposition to and appear in connection with said hearing.

ACCORDINGLY, GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, THE COURT HEREBY APPROVES
THE PROPOSED CLASS NOTICE PACKET TO THE CLASS AND FINDS that mailing to the
last known address of the Settlement Class, as specifically described within the Settlement
Agreement, constitutes an effective method of notifying Settlement Class Members of their rights
with respect to the proposed Settlement. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

Within twenty (20) calendar days from the date of entry of this order, Defendant shall provide the Settlement Administrator with the lass Data. Employee List for purposes of mailing the Class Notice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that within 14 business days of receipt of the Class Data, the Settlement Administrator will mail copies of the Class Notice via regular First-Class U.S. Mail and

follow the procedures set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that not later than 7 business days after the Administrator's receipt of any Class Notice returned by the USPS as undelivered, the Administrator shall re-mail the Class Notice using any forwarding address provided by the USPS. If the USPS does not provide a forwarding address, the Administrator shall conduct a Class Member Address Search, and re-mail the Class Notice to the most current address obtained.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that individuals who fall within the definition of the Settlement Class may choose to dispute, object or exclude themselves as Settlement Class Members. Settlement Class individuals who wish to exclude themselves from the Settlement Class must submit a signed, written statement requesting exclusion from the Settlement Class no later than sixty (60) days after the Class Notice is first mailed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED The deadlines for Class Members' written objections, Challenges to Workweeks and/or Pay Periods, and Requests for Exclusion will be extended an additional 14 days beyond the 60 days otherwise provided in the Class Notice for all Class Members whose notice is re-mailed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED a Request for Exclusion is a letter from a Class Member or his/her representative that reasonably communicates the Class Member's election to be excluded from the Settlement and includes the Class Member's name, address, last four digits of their Social Security Number or Employee ID Number, and email address or telephone number. To be valid, a Request for Exclusion must be timely faxed, emailed, or postmarked by the Response Deadline

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Participating Class Members may send written objections to the Administrator, by fax, email, or mail. In the alternative, Participating Class Members may appear in Court (or hire an attorney to appear in Court) to present verbal objections at the Final Approval Hearing. A Participating Class Member who elects to send a written objection to the Administrator must do so not later (2) IFI ISAN & AMA = INAM IN INC.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a Final Approval hearing shall be held on October 19, P[c^{ à^\AGIÃOCCI TÂMAN ECÁME È 2023 at 09:00 a.m.. At the Final Approval hearing, the Court will determine whether the Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate, and whether the terms of this

Preliminary Approval Order and any other applicable legal prerequisites to Judgment are satisfied. The Court will also determine the amount properly payable for attorneys' fees, costs, administration costs and enhancement payment to the Class Representative, and the PAGA Penalties.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all briefs and materials in support of an Order Granting Final Approval and application for attorneys' fees and costs and class representative enhancement shall be filed with this Court no later than sixteen (16) court days before the date set for the Final Approval Hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if for any reason the Court does not execute and file an Order Granting Final Approval and Judgment, or if the Effective Date does not occur for any reason whatsoever, the Settlement Agreement and the proposed Settlement which is the subject of this Order and all evidence and proceedings had in connection therewith shall be without prejudice to the status quo ante rights of the Parties to the litigation as more specifically set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pending further order of this Court, all proceedings in this matter except those contemplated herein and in the Settlement Agreement are stayed.

The Court expressly reserves the right to adjourn or continue the Final Fairness Approval Hearing from time to time without further notice to the Class.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_07/14/2023



## Lia Martin

Honorable Lia Martin

ILIDOE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT