1 JAMES HAWKINS APLC FILED James R. Hawkins, Esq. (#192925) Superior Court of California 2 County of Sacramento Gregory Mauro, Esq. (#222239) 12/12/2023 Michael Calvo, Esq. (#314986) 3 9880 Research Drive, Suite 200 T. Shaddix, Deputy 4 Irvine, CA 92618 Tel.: (949) 387-7200 5 Fax: (949) 387-6676 Email: James@jameshawkinsaplc.com 6 Email: Greg@jameshawkinsaplc.com Email: Michael@jameshawkinsaplc.com 7 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff MARK D. TAFT, 9 individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated 10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO 11 MARK D. TAFT, individually and on behalf 12 CASE NO.: 34-2021-00304 595 of all others similarly situated, Hon. Lauri A. Damrell 13 RállÁ/æll^^ Plaintiff, 14 AMENDED [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FÓR 15 v. PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT 16 SALADINO'S INC., a California Corporation; Date: October 20, 2023 and DOES 1-50, inclusive, 17 Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept.: -28G Defendants. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

OCT 23 2023 57 CIVIL

**ORDER** 

This matter came on for hearing on October 20, 2023 at 9:00 a.m. in Department 27 of the above-captioned court on the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Stipulation for Class Action Settlement (hereinafter "Settlement Agreement").

The Court, having fully reviewed the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities and Declarations filed in support thereof, the Amended Settlement Agreement, including the Amended proposed Notice of Proposed Settlement Class Action Settlement, and in recognition of the Court's duty to make a preliminary determination as to the reasonableness of any proposed class action settlement, and if preliminarily determined to be reasonable, to ensure proper notice is provided to Settlement Class Members in accordance with due process requirements, and to set a Final Approval Hearing to consider the proposed Settlement Agreement as to the good faith, fairness, adequacy and reasonableness of any proposed settlement, and having heard the argument of Counsel for the respective parties, the Court HEREBY MAKES THE FOLLOWING DETERMINATIONS

## AND ORDERS:

It appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that the Gross Settlement Amount ("GSA") is fair and reasonable to the Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to class certification, the liability and damages issues involved, and the potential for appeals. It further appears that sufficient investigation, research, and litigation has been conducted such that counsel for the Parties at this time is able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. It further appears that the Settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the litigation. It further appears that the proposed Settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties. ACCORDINGLY, GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, THE MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT IS HEREBY GRANTED, AND AS A PART OF SAID PRELIMINARY APPROVAL, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS THAT THE SETTLEMENT CLASS BE CONDITIONALLY

 CERTIFIED FOR SETTLEMENT PURPOSES ONLY, AND THAT JAMES HAWKINS, APLC BE CONDITIONALLY AND PRELIMINARILY APPOINTED CLASS COUNSEL. MORE SPECIFICALLY, THE COURT FINDS AS FOLLOWS:

The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the Settlement between Plaintiff and Defendant appear to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement which could ultimately be given final approval by this Court. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate, pursuant to Section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure.

The Court notes that Defendants have agreed to a non-reversionary GSA of \$450,000.00. Defendant will pay out the entirety of the TSA to the Participating Class Members and PAGA Settlement Group Members, less deductions for attorneys' fees and costs, the service payment, reasonable expenses of the third-party Settlement Administrator, and the LWDA for PAGA penalties.

The Court finds that the elements of numerosity, commonality, typicality and adequacy have been established to support conditional certification of the Settlement Class for settlement purposes, with Plaintiff acting as the Class Representative.

The Court hereby appoints, for settlement purposes, Plaintiff Mark D. Taft as the Class Representative and finds Plaintiff is an adequate representative for the Settlement Class for settlement purposes. The Court further finds that James Hawkins APLC has preliminarily established adequacy to be appointed as Class Counsel and appoints them as Class Counsel.

The Class as identified in the Settlement is provisionally certified by this Order.

The Court finds that the proposed manner of class notice is adequate.

The Court approves APEX Class Action Administrators to serve as the Settlement Administrator.

The Court further hereby approves the Amended proposed Notice of Class Action Settlement and Orders to the notice to be mailed to the Settlement Class.

The Court finds that the Amended Notice of Class Action Settlement constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances, is in full compliance with the laws of the State of California and, to the extent applicable, the United States Constitution and the requirements of

20

21

19

22 23

24 25

26 27 28 due process. The Court further finds that the Notice of Class Action Settlement fully and accurately informs Settlement Class Members of all material elements of the proposed Settlement, of each Settlement Class Member's right to be excluded from the Settlement Class, and each Settlement Class Member's right and opportunity to object to the proposed Settlement. The Amended Notice of Class Action Settlement adequately advises the Class about: the Class Action; the terms of the proposed Settlement and the benefits available to each Settlement Class Member; each Settlement Class Member's right to participate, submit an exclusion/Opt-Out, or Objection to the proposed Settlement, and the timing and procedures for doing so; the temporary and conditional certification of the Settlement Class for settlement purposes only; preliminary Court approval of the proposed Settlement; timing and procedures for distributing the Gross Settlement and the Individual Settlement Payments to the Participating Class Members; and the date of the Final Approval Hearing as well as the rights of the Settlement Class to file documentation in support of or in opposition to and appear in connection with said hearing.

ACCORDINGLY, GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, THE COURT HEREBY APPROVES THE PROPOSED CLASS NOTICE PACKET TO THE CLASS AND FINDS that mailing to the last known address of the Settlement Class, as specifically described within the Settlement Agreement, constitutes an effective method of notifying Settlement Class Members of their rights with respect to the proposed Settlement. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

Upon the Court granting preliminary approval of this Agreement, Defendant shall provide the Settlement Administrator with the Class Information within ten (10) business days for purposes of mailing the Notice of Class Action Settlement to the Class Members. No later than three (3) business days after receipt of the Class Information, the Settlement Administrator shall notify counsel for the Parties that the list has been received and state the number of Class Members included in the Class Information.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon receipt of the Class Information, the Settlement Administrator will perform a search based on the National Change of Address Database to update and correct any known or identifiable address changes. Within thirty (30) calendar days of the

Preliminary Approval Date, the Settlement Administrator shall mail copies of the Notice of Class Action Settlement to all Class Members via regular First-Class U.S. Mail with a return postage-paid envelope. The Settlement Administrator shall exercise its best judgment to determine the current mailing address for each Class Member, including performing a skip-trace to identify any updated addresses. The address identified by the Settlement Administrator as the current mailing address shall be presumed to be the best mailing address for each Class Member. A reminder postcard will be mailed out 30 days after the Class Notice is mailed to Class Members.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any Notice of Class Action Settlement returned to the Settlement Administrator as undeliverable on or before the Response Deadline shall be re-mailed once to the forwarding address affixed thereto. If no forwarding address is provided, the Settlement Administrator shall promptly attempt to determine a correct address by use of skiptracing, or other search using the name, address and/or social security number of the Class Member whose notice was undeliverable, and shall then re-mail all returned, undelivered mail within five (5) calendar days of receiving notice that a notice was undeliverable. Class Members who receive a re-mailed Notice of Class Action Settlement shall have their Response Deadline extended by the longer of fourteen (14) calendar days from the date of re-mailing or the remaining original Response Deadline period

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Class Members who disagree with the number of eligible workweeks stated on their Class Notice will provide documentation and/or an explanation to show contrary information by the Response Deadline. If there is a dispute, the Settlement Administrator will consult with the Parties to determine whether an adjustment is warranted. The Settlement Administrator shall determine the eligibility for, and the amounts of, any Individual Settlement Payments under the terms of this Agreement. The Settlement Administrator's determination of the eligibility for and amount of any Individual Settlement Payment shall be binding upon the Class Members and the Parties. In the absence of circumstances indicating fraud, manipulation or destruction, Defendant's records will be given a rebuttable presumption of accuracy.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any disputes not resolved by the Settlement

**15** 

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Administrator concerning the administration of the Settlement will be resolved by the Court, under the laws of the State of California. Prior to any such involvement of the Court, counsel for the Parties will confer in good faith to resolve the disputes without the necessity of involving the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Class Members who wish to exclude themselves from the Settlement must submit a Request for Exclusion by the Response Deadline. The Notice will state that the Request for Exclusion: (1) must contain the name, address, and telephone number of the Class Member requesting exclusion; (2) must contain a statement expressing that the Class Member elects to be excluded from the Settlement; (3) must be signed by the Class Member; and (4) must be postmarked or fax stamped by the Response Deadline and returned to the Settlement Administrator at the specified address or fax number. The Request for Exclusion will be deemed invalid if it does not contain a Class Member's name, address, signature, and a statement requesting exclusion. The date of the postmark on the return mailing envelope or fax stamp on the Request for Exclusion shall be the exclusive means used to determine whether a Request for Exclusion has been timely submitted. If the Settlement Administration is unsure of the validity of a Request for Exclusion, it must provide a copy of the Request for Exclusion to the Parties to review and make a determination as to its validity. Any Class Member who requests to be excluded from the Settlement Class will not be entitled to any recovery under the Settlement and will not be bound by the terms of the Settlement or have any right to object, appeal, or comment thereon. Class Members who fail to submit a valid and timely Request for Exclusion on or before the Response Deadline shall be bound by all terms of the Settlement and any Final Judgment entered in this Lawsuit if the Settlement is approved by the Court. No later than five (5) calendar days after the Response Deadline, the Settlement Administrator shall provide counsel for the Parties with a complete list of all members of the Settlement Class who have timely submitted a Request for Exclusion.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Amended Notice of Class Action Settlement shall state that Class Members who wish to object to the Settlement may do so in person at the Final

Approval Hearing and/or in writing. Any written objection ("Notice of Objection") must be mailed or faxed to the Settlement Administrator by the Response Deadline. The date of mailing on the envelope or the date of the fax transmission shall be deemed the exclusive means for determining that a Notice of Objection was timely received. The Notice of Objection must be signed by the Class Member and state: (1) the full name of the Class Member; (2) the basis for the objection; and (3) if the Class Member intends to appear at the Final Approval Hearing. The Settlement Administration must provide a copy of written objections to the Parties within three (3) calendar days of receipt. Class Counsel will ensure that any Notices of Objection received by the Settlement Administrator by the Response Deadline are filed with the Court along with the Motion for Final Approval. Either of the Parties may file a responsive document to any objection at least ten (10) court days before the Final Approval Hearing. Any attorney who will represent an individual objecting to this Settlement who has not filed a written objection must file a notice of appearance with the Court and serve Class Counsel and counsel for Defendant no later than the Response Deadline. Class Counsel shall not represent any Class Members with respect to any such objections.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any Class Member who fails to submit a timely written objection or to present an objection in person at the Final Approval Hearing shall be deemed to have waived any objections and shall be foreclosed from making any objection to the Settlement whether by appeal or otherwise. An individual who submits a valid Request for Exclusion may not object to the Settlement.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Final Approval Hearing shall be held on March 15, 2024 at 9:00 a.m. in Department 27 of the above captioned Courthouse to consider the fairness, adequacy and reasonableness of the proposed Settlement preliminarily approved by this Order Granting Preliminary Approval, and to consider the application of Class Counsel for an award of attorneys' fees, costs, and class representative enhancement. The Court may continue the Final Approval Hearing to another date at its discretion.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all briefs and materials in support of an Order Granting Final Approval and application for attorneys' fees and costs and class representative enhancement

shall be filed with this Court no later than sixteen (16) court days before the date set for the Final Approval Hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if for any reason the Court does not execute and file an Order Granting Final Approval and Judgment, or if the Effective Date does not occur for any reason whatsoever, the Amended Settlement Agreement and the proposed Settlement which is the subject of this Order and all evidence and proceedings had in connection therewith shall be without prejudice to the status quo ante rights of the Parties to the litigation as more specifically set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pending further order of this Court, all proceedings in this matter except those contemplated herein and in the Amended Settlement Agreement are stayed.

The Court expressly reserves the right to adjourn or continue the Final Fairness Approval Hearing from time to time without further notice to the Class.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 12/12/2023 , 2023

∥ Honorable <del>Lauri A. Damrell</del> RãlÁ⁄æl^^

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

	PROOF OF GERMACE, COLINERY OF OR ANGE
1	PROOF OF SERVICE, COUNTY OF ORANGE
2	I am a resident of the State of California, County of Orange. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 9880 Research Drive., Suite 200, Irvine, California 92618.
3	Suite 200, Irvine, California 92618.
4	On October 23, 2023, I served on the interested parties in this action the following document(s) entitled:
5	document(b) entitled.
6	AMENDED [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
7	TANKE DAY EV DOTTO ONE OF DAY OF DAY
8	[XX] BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: Based on a court Order or an agreement by the parties to accept service by e-mail or electronic transmission, I caused the document(s) to be sent from the
9	email address Alma@jameshawkinsaplc.com to the persons at the e-mail addresses listed in the Service List below. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic
10	nessage or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.
11	SERVICE LIST
12	McCormick, Barstow, Sheppard, Wayte & Carruth LLP
13	Christina C. Tillman, #258627
14	christina.tillman@mccormickbarstow.com 7647 North Fresno Street
15	Fresno, California 93720
	Jessica.dominguez@mccormickbarstow.com
16	Attorneys for Defendant
17	SALADINOS, INC.
18	
19	Via LWDA Website Only Labor and Workforce Development Agency Attn: PAGA Administrator 1515 Clay Street, Ste 801 Oakland, CA 94612
20	
21	
	http://www.dir.ca.gov/Private-Attorneys-General-Act
22	
23	[ XX] STATE: I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of
24	California, that the above is true and correct.
25	Executed on October 23, 2023, at Irvine, California
26	
27	Alma Chavarin
28	Alma Chavarin