Galen T. Shimoda (Cal. State Bar No. 226752) 2024 JAN 24 PH 12: 11 -Justin P. Rodriguez (Cal. State Bar No. 278275) Renald Konini (Cal. State Bar No. 312080) STEPHANIL BUNKER, CLEPK Shimoda & Rodriguez Law, PC 9401 East Stockton Boulevard, Suite 120 Elk Grove, CA 95624 Telephone: (916) 525-0716 Facsimile: (916) 760-3733 5 Attorneys for Plaintiffs ELIZABET SANCHEZ individually and on behalf of similarly situated employees 6 [additional parties continued on next page] 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN 10 ELIZABET SANCHEZ and GRISELDA 11 Case No. STK-CV-UOE-2021-11106 RAMIREZ, individually and on behalf of all 12 other similarly situated employees, Assigned for All Purposes to Hon. Robert T. Waters, Department 11B 13 Plaintiffs. 14 **CLASS ACTION** VS. 15 PACIFIC COAST PRODUCERS, a California DECLARATION OF JOSE R. GARAY IN Corporation; and DOES 1 to 100, inclusive, SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR 16 PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS Defendants. 17 ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT FEB 28 2024 18 Date: Time: 9:00 a.m. 19 Dept.: 11B 20 Judge: Hon. Robert T. Waters 21 Filed: December 7, 2021 FAC Filed: February 14, 2022 22 SAC Filed: March 29, 2023 23 TAC Filed: September 19, 2023 Trial Date: None Set 24 25 26 27 28

1	Jose R. Garay (SBN: 200494)
2	jose@garaylaw.com Jose Garay, APLC
	249 E. Ocean Blvd. #814
3	Long Beach, CA 90802 Telephone: (949) 208-3400
4	Facsimile: (562) 590-8400
5	
6	Daniel J. Hyun (State Bar No. 309184) dh@danielhyunlaw.com
7	Law Office of Daniel J. Hyun
8	1100 West Town and Country Road, Suite 1250 Orange, California 92868
9 10	Telephone: (949) 590-4122 Facsimile: (949) 528-2596
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff GRISELDA RAMIREZ
12	individually and on behalf of similarly situated employees
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
28	
	1
	JRG DECL. ISO MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT

I, Jose R. Garay, declare:

- 1. I am an attorney at law duly admitted to practice before all the courts of the State of California and an attorney of record for Plaintiff Griselda Ramirez ("Plaintiff") herein. I am making this declaration on behalf of the named plaintiffs, the putative class members, and in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement ("Motion"). I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein, and, if called as a witness, could competently testify thereto.
- 2. I am familiar with California law governing wages, reimbursement, and other entitlements owed to employees, including, but not limited to, the relevant statutes at issue in this case such as California Labor Code sections 201, 201.3, 201.5, 201.6, 201.9, 202, 202, 203, 204, 204.1, 204.2, 206, 210, 216, 221, 222, 223, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 227.3, 245, 245.8, 246, 246.5, 247, 248.5, 256, 351, 352, 353, 432, 432.5, 432.7, 510, 512, 558, 558.1, 1024.5,1174, 1174.5, 1194, 1194.2, 1197 et seq., 1197.1, 1198, 1198.5, 1199, 2100 et seq, 2699, 2699.3, 2699.5, 2800, 2802, 2810.5, and applicable IWC Wage Orders, and California Code of Regulations, Title 8, section 11000 et seq., and California Business and Professions Code sections 17200 *et seq*.
- 3. Plaintiff's Counsel is experienced in wage and hour matters and class action litigation, and I am currently serving as plaintiff's counsel in dozens of other wage and hour class actions and/or PAGA actions throughout California.
- 4. I submit the following facts in support of my qualifications. I graduated from Stanford University in May 1989. I obtained my J.D. from Southern Methodist University in May 1998. I was admitted to the California State Bar in February 1999. In or around 2001, I focused my practice primarily on employment wage and hour class litigation. Since the inception of my law firm, I have represented individuals in all wage related matters. I successfully represented individuals in DLSE filings and civil court. As a result, I transitioned into class actions with a broad and in-depth experience affecting class based lawsuits. In 2002, I filed one of the first known class action cases under Labor Code section 226.7. My law firm was instrumental in the statewide dispute over the private right of action debate, as well as the debate over wage versus penalty. I successfully litigated both issues in state and federal court. In 2004, as a result of my extensive experience, California Rural Legal Assistance selected my law firm to assist

them with class litigation affecting migrant workers. I have been asked to sit on class action panels on numerous occasions, have been asked to act as a mediator, law professors have requested that I speak on class action issues, ethics issues and damage analyses, and have written numerous articles tailored to high-tech employees.

- 5. Since the time my law firm transitioned primarily into wage and hour class actions, numerous attorneys that have filed wage and hour class actions have sought the assistance of my law firm either as co-counsel or of-counsel. Over the course of almost a decade, I have prosecuted hundreds of wage and hour class actions, including many with the same issues presented in the instant related matters.
- 6. During the last two decades, I have litigated hundreds of class actions involving the same or similar issues. The following is a small sample of cases that were certified and in which I was appointed class counsel (either as lead counsel or co-counsel) in state and federal court:
 - Graham v. DST Output West, LLC, et al., Case No. PC 2008 0109 (Wage and Hour Class Action);
 - Canale v. Auto Nation, et al., Case No. BC323890 (Joint Employer Wage And Hour Class Action);
 - Sarkisian v. Symantec Corporation, et al., Case No. BC423476 (Wage and Hour Class Action);
 - Shepherd v. Ayres Group, et al. and Garcia v. Ayres Group, et al., Case Nos. 30-2010-00372924 and 30-2010-00404619, respectively (Class action alleging that defendant failed to provide meal periods and rest breaks under California law);
 - *Nava v. Chapman Care, et al.*, Case No. 30-2010-00413245 (Class Action alleging that defendant failed to provide meal periods and rest breaks under California law);
 - Vazquez v. Marriott International, Inc., et al. Case No. 30-2010-00396748-CU-OE-CXC (Class action alleging that defendant failed to provide meal periods and rest breaks under California law);
 - Sandoval, et al. v. Advanced Dynamic Solutions, Inc., Case No. 30-2010-00395127-CU-OE-CXC (Class action alleging that defendant failure to provide meal periods, rest periods and overtime);
 - O'Flynn v. Golfsmith, Case No. 30-2009-00180164-CU-OE-CXC (Class action alleging that defendant failed to provide meal periods, rest periods and overtime compensation);

- Espinoza v. Big Lots Stores, Inc., et al., Case No. CIV236538 [Class action alleging that defendant failed to provide rest breaks under California law);
- Eralta, et al. v. B&V Enterprises, Case No. 30-2010-00405905-CUOE-CXC (Class action alleging failure to pay wages for off the clock time, and meal and rest period violations);
- *Perez v. El Torito Restaurants, Inc., et al.*, Case No. NC036649 (Class action alleging that defendant failure to provide meal periods, rest periods and overtime compensation);
- *Culbertson v. Ikea, et al.*, Case No. RG07348854 (Class action alleging that defendant failed to provide meal periods and rest breaks under California law);
- *Corbin v. Landry's Restaurants, et al.*, Case No. BC380713 (Class action alleging that defendant failed to provide meal periods, rest periods and overtime compensation);
- Abraham Vega v. Domino's Pizza California, et al., Case No. 03CC00203 (Class action alleging that defendant failed to provide meal periods and rest breaks under California law);
- *Mercado v. Gate Gourmet, Inc., et al.*, Case No. CV-07-02305-JHN(CWx) (Wage and hour class action, settlement of two subclasses alleging failure to provide lawful meal and rest periods);
- *Dellmore v. Toys R' Us*, Case No. RG07306616 (Class action alleging failed to pay wages for off the clock time, meal and rest period violations);
- *Mendoza v. Panda Express*, Case No. BC371019 (Class action alleging defendant failed to provide meal periods or compensation);
- *Galavis v. Patina Group*, Case No. BC3755225 (Class action alleging defendant failed to provide meal periods or compensation);
- *Gidino v. McGrath Rent Corp.*, *et al.*, Case No. VG09446874 (Class action alleging that defendant failed to provide meal periods and rest breaks under California law);
- Gomez, et al. v. Adriana's Insurance Services, Inc., et al., Case No. CIVRS806612 (Class action alleging that defendant failed to provide meal periods and rest breaks under California law);
- *Johanson v. Home Loan Center*, Case No. 07CC01405 (Class action alleging that defendant failed to provide meal periods, rest periods and overtime);
- O'Flynn v. Worldwide Golf Enterprises, Inc., et al., Case No. 07CC01393 (Class action alleging

that defendant failed to provide meal periods, rest periods and overtime);

- Aravena v. VMWare, Inc. et al., Case No. 07CC01368 (Misclassification class action for software engineers);
- Roberts v. Palm, Inc., et al., Case No. 1-10-CV-161497 (Misclassification of test engineers alleging that defendant misclassified test engineers as exempt);
- *Aravena v. Cisco Systems, et al.*, Case No. 07CC01367 (Misclassification class action for software engineers);
- *Chan v. Paypal, Inc.*, Case No. 1-10-CV-168947 (Misclassification class action for software engineers and related positions in multiple job families alleging that defendant misclassified software engineers as exempt);
- Vu v. Ebay, Inc., Case No. 1-10-CV-167040 (Misclassification class action for software engineers
 and related positions in multiple job families alleging that defendant misclassified software
 engineers as exempt);
- *Thomas v. Cognizant Technology* Solutions, Case No. CV 11-1123 JST (Misclassification of high-tech employees);
- Lopez v. Ware Disposal, Inc., Case No. 30-2010-00405307-CU-OWCXC (Meal and rest period class action);
- Barajas v. Best Brands Corp., Case No. CIVDS1014454 (Wage and hour class action);
- Ortega, et al. v. CR&R Waste Services, Case No. BC414624 (Meal and rest period class action); and
- Medina v. IDS USA West, Inc., Case No. CIVRS1111028 (Wage and hour class action).
- 7. My office investigated the class and PAGA claims in this action by interviewing Plaintiff, propounding discovery, meeting and conferring with Defendant, and filing and opposing motions.
- 8. I have reviewed the Declaration of Justin P. Rodriguez and agree with his statements regarding the case history and the assessment of the value of each of the Plaintiffs' claims.
- 9. Based on the evidence and likely testimony in this case, I believe the settlement before the Court for approval represents a fair and reasonable compromise based on the realistic potential

recovery and is within the "ballpark" of a fair, reasonable, and adequate settlement of the wage and hour class action and Private Attorneys General Act claims alleged in this case

10. I have spoken with every other attorney at my firm to determine whether they have any relationship with either of the proposed cy pres beneficiaries. No attorneys in my firm have any relationship with Capital Pro Bono, Inc., or the Center for Workers Rights.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on January 23, 2024 in Long Beach, California.