| DocuSign Env | relope ID: 69F65836-CD8A-4960-B6DE-DBD79A43CC45 | ELECTRONIC ALLY FILED Superior Court of California County of Sacramento 02/09/2024 By: K. Fay Decuty | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Galen T. Shimoda (Cal. State Bar No. 226752) | Departy | | | | | | |
| 2 | Justin P. Rodriguez (Cal. State Bar No. 278275) Brittany V. Berzin (Cal. State Bar No. 325121) | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Shimoda & Rodriguez Law, PC 9401 East Stockton Boulevard, Suite 120 Elk Grove, CA 95624 | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Telephone: (916) 525-0716 Facsimile: (916) 760-3733 | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Attorneys for Plaintiffs CLINT DAVIDSON and | d | | | | | | |
| 6 | PATRICK WIRTH | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA | | | | | | | |
| 9 | FOR THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | JOE HART, individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated employees, | Case No. 34-2022-00320564 | | | | | | |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | CLASS ACTION | | | | | | |
| 13 14 | į į | | | | | | | |
| 15 | vs. | DECLARATION OF CLINT DAVIDSON IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR | | | | | | |
| 16 | ALUMINUM COATING TECHNOLOGIES, SINC., a California Corporation; | PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT | | | | | | |
| 17 | BRUCE CENICEROS, an individual; ANDREA CENICEROS, an individual; and | Reservation No. A-320564-001 | | | | | | |
| 18 | DOES 1 to 100, inclusive, | Date: March 8, 2024 | | | | | | |
| 19 | Defendants. | Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept.: 22 | | | | | | |
| 20 | | Judge: Hon. Lauri A. Damrell | | | | | | |
| 21 | | Filed: May 23, 2022 | | | | | | |
| 22 | | FAC Filed: July 29, 2022 SAC Filed: June 13, 2023 | | | | | | |
| 23 | | Trial Date: none set | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | | | |

- -,

I, Clint Davidson, declare:

- 1. I am a competent adult, I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration, and I am making this declaration on behalf of myself, as a named plaintiff, and in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement.
- 2. I worked for Defendants Aluminum Coating Technologies, Inc., Bruce Ceniceros, and Andrea Ceniceros ("Defendants") from approximately March 2020 to August 2020 as a non-exempt employee. I was subject to Defendants' policies and practices that have been alleged as unlawful in the Complaint and the notice sent to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency, including the following: failure to pay overtime, failure to pay split shift premiums, failure to provide meal and rest periods or pay premiums in lieu thereof, failure to provide accurate wage statements, failure to timely pay final wages, failure to reimburse expenses, and engaging in unfair competition
- 3. In March 2023, I received a notice with information about this pending class action case against Defendants. The notice stated that the employee who filed the action was unwilling and unable to continue to serve as the class representative and asked me to fill out a form if I was interested in assisting in the prosecution of the lawsuit by serving as the class representative. The notice also had information about the claims and a class representative's fiduciary duty and responsibilities. I expressed interest in serving as the class representative because I believed that the lawsuit's claims had merit and I was willing to participate, provide information and produce documents, give testimony if there was a deposition and/or trial, and assist Shimoda & Rodriguez Law, PC in prosecuting the action for the benefit of all Class Members.
- 4. Since I became a class representative in May 2023, I have actively participated in the litigation of this case for the benefit of all Class Members. My attorneys explained to me the risks and benefits of bringing a class action and a claim under the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"). I understood that pursuing the case as a class and PAGA action meant that it would take substantially longer than pursuing my claims individually, whether in Court or with the California Labor Commissioner, and that I risked getting nothing in the end, but I believed it was important to make sure that Defendants followed the law for everyone. I understood that I took on the risk, both professionally and financially, in pursuing the case as a class and PAGA action rather than pursing my claims

individually, including the risk of having to pay Defendants' costs if we were unsuccessful in bringing our claims.

- 5. During the course of this litigation, I have given detailed accounts of all the facts related to my employment. I searched for any documentation that I believe supported the claims brought against Defendants to provide to my attorneys. I also assisted my attorneys by providing information about Defendants' employment policies and payroll process as it applied to me and how I believed it applied to Defendants' other employees. I worked for Defendants for several months and was familiar with their policies and practices, so I was able to discuss the similarities of the wage and hour policies and practices among all Class Members.
- 6. I kept in touch with my attorneys and received frequent updates on the status of the case. I also had multiple conversations with my attorneys to go over relevant facts about my employment and answer any questions my attorneys had. I provided substantial information about the way Defendants' tracked employees hours worked, what a typical workday looked like, what policies Defendants' communicated to Class Members, how Defendants communicated policies to Class Members, Class Members' roles and job duties, Defendants' policies and practices regarding lunches and breaks, Defendants' practices regarding work communications with employees on their personal cellphones, Defendants' policies and practices regarding reimbursing expenses, bonuses Defendants paid, Defendants' sick time policies and practices, Defendants' practices regarding paying overtime wages, and Defendants' practices regarding paying final wages. My attorneys kept me informed about the policies, time records, and payroll records produced by Defendants for mediation and their findings after their analysis of the time and pay records. I also answered questions my attorneys had related to the informal information and document exchange to aid in mediation. Overall, I have spent a substantial amount of time assisting in the prosecution of this case.
- 7. I participated in the negotiations and settlement discussions in this case, including participating in mediation by phone speaking with my attorney several times throughout the mediation to answer questions, provide any additional information needed to assist the negotiations, and discussing the potential terms of the Agreement to evaluate its adequacy. Throughout the course of this

litigation, I have asked questions when I needed clarification about various aspects of this case to make sure there were continually steps taken to advance the interests of Class Members.

- 8. Although I have already spent a substantial amount of time on this case and assisting my attorneys, I expect the amount of time I spend on this case may increase if preliminary approval is granted because Class Members may reach out to me about the Settlement and the process for receiving payment.
- 9. I have not received any benefits as a result of filing this lawsuit. I have, however, experienced substantial burdens as a result of filing the lawsuit that other Class Members were not subject to. For example, in bringing the lawsuit, I assumed the risk of having to pay Defendants' costs if the Court or jury rules against us on the claims. My understanding is that this is a substantial risk because the costs associated with litigation, in general, are very high and this cost is multiplied many times over in complex litigation like class actions. I have spent a substantial amount of time in this case, securing a monetary benefit for many individuals who have not had to do anything whatsoever to have the opportunity to receive it. I have been employed full/part-time during the majority of the time this case has been pending. As a result, the time I have spent on this case has to occur during my lunch and/or breaks times, after hours, and on my days off for the benefit of Class Members. Finally, as part of the Settlement, I am the only individual providing a general release of all my individual claims and waiving the protections of California Civil Code section 1542 for unknown claims. No other Class Member is subject to such a broad release.
- 10. I have reviewed the final Joint Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement and Release, discussed the terms with my attorney, and asked my attorney any questions I had. I believe the terms of the Settlement and allocations are fair and reasonable given the facts of the case.
- 11. I understand that any Class Representative Enhancement Payment I may receive is for my participation as a Class Representative and it is not contingent on my support or approval of the Agreement.

| I declare | under penalty | of perjury | under the | laws of the | State of | California | that the | foregoing is |
|-------------------|---------------|------------|-----------|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|--------------|
| true and correct. | Executed on | 2/9/2024 | in | Jackson | , | California. | | |

| DocuSign Env | elope ID: 69F65836-CD8A-4960-B6DE-DBD79A43CC45 |
|--------------|--|
| | |
| | |
| 1 | CouSigned by: |
| 2 | —————————————————————————————————————— |
| 3 | FB811F245728452 |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | |
| | DC DECL. ISO MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT |