1	Justin F. Marquez (SBN 262417)	FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles	
2	justin@wilshirelawfirm.com Christina M. Le (SBN 237697)	06/13/2024	
3	cle@wilshirelawfirm.com	David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court	
	Arsiné Grigoryan (SBN 319517)	By: T. Lewis Deputy	
4	agrigoryan@wilshirelawfirm.com WILSHIRE LAW FIRM		
5	3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor		
6	Los Angeles, California 90010 Telephone: (213) 381-9988		
7	Facsimile: (213) 381-9989		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
11	AMEENA BROWN, individually, and on behalf	Case No.: 22STCV40574	
12	of all others similarly situated,		
13	Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION	
14	v.	[Assigned for all purposes to: Hon. David S. Cunningham, Dept. 11]	
15	HEY BUI LLC DBA REFORMATION, a	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING	
16	California limited liability company, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT	
17	Defendants.		
18		[Filed with Notice of Motion and Motion, Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the Declaration of Justin F. Marquez, and the	
19		Declaration of Ameena Brown]	
20		PRELIMINARY APPROVAL HEARING Date: March 18, 2024	
21		Time: 11:00 a.m. Dept: 11	
22		1	
23		Complaint filed: December 28, 2022 FAC filed: April 20, 2023 Trial date: Not set	
24		111an date. 1 tot set	
25			
26			
27			
28			

The Court has before it Plaintiff Ameena Brown's ("Plaintiff") Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. Having reviewed the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, the Declaration of Justin F. Marquez, the Joint Stipulation of Settlement Agreement and Release (which is referred to here as the "Settlement Agreement"), and good cause appearing, the Court hereby finds and orders as follows:

- 1. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the Settlement Agreement appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable and therefore meets the requirements for preliminary approval. The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement and the Settlement Class based upon the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement between Plaintiff Ameena Brown and Defendant Hey Bui LLC dba Reformation. ("Defendant"), attached to the Declaration of Justin F. Marquez in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement as Exhibit 1.
- 2. The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness of a settlement which could ultimately be given final approval by this Court, and appears to be presumptively valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at the Final Approval Hearing and final approval by this Court. The Court notes that Defendants have agreed to create a common fund of \$175,000.00 to cover (a) settlement payments to class members who do not validly opt out; (b) a \$10,000.00 payment to the State of California, Labor & Workforce Development Agency for its share of the settlement of claims for penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act, with 75% of which (\$7,500.00) will be paid to the LWDA and 25% (\$2,500.00) will be paid to eligible Aggrieved Employees; (c) Class Representative service payment of up to \$7,500.00 for Plaintiff Ameena Brown; (d) Class Counsel's attorneys' fees, not to exceed 35% of the Gross Settlement Amount (\$61,250.00), and up to \$15,000.00 in costs for actual litigation expenses incurred by Class Counsel; and (e) Settlement Administration Costs of up to \$15,000.00.
- 3. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement appear to be within the range of possible approval, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and applicable law. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that: (1) the settlement amount is fair and reasonable to the class members when balanced against the probable outcome of further

litigation relating to class certification, liability and damages issues, and potential appeals; (2) significant informal discovery, investigation, research, and litigation have been conducted such that counsel for the parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions; (3) settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the litigation; and (4) the proposed settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties with the assistance of a well-respected class action mediator. Accordingly, the Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement Agreement was entered into in good faith.

- 4. A final fairness hearing on the question of whether the proposed settlement, attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, payment to the State of California, Labor & Workforce Development Agency for its share of the settlement of claims for penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act, and the class representative's enhancement award should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to the members of the class is hereby set in accordance with the Implementation Schedule set forth below.
- 5. The Court provisionally certifies for settlement purposes only the following class (the "Settlement Class"): "all current and former employees of Hey Bui LLC dba Reformation in California during the period July 3, 2018 through the date of preliminary approval of the settlement."
- 6. "Class Period" means the period from July 3, 2018 through the date of preliminary approval of the settlement.
- 7. The Court finds, for settlement purposes only, that the Settlement Class meets the requirements for certification under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 in that: (1) the Settlement Class Members are so numerous that joinder is impractical; (2) there are questions of law and fact that are common, or of general interest, to all Settlement Class Members, which predominate over individual issues; (3) Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class Members; (4) Plaintiff and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class Members; and (5) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

- 8. The Court appoints as Class Representative, for settlement purposes only, Plaintiff Ameena Brown. The Court further preliminarily approves Plaintiff's ability to request an incentive award up to \$7,500.00.
- 9. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, Justin F. Marquez, Christina M. Le, and Arsiné Grigoryan of Wilshire Law Firm, PLC as Class Counsel. The Court further preliminarily approves Class Counsel's ability to request attorneys' fees of up to 35% of the Total Settlement Amount (\$61,250.00), and costs not to exceed \$15,000.00.
- 10. The Court appoints Apex Class Action LLC as the Settlement Administrator with reasonable administration costs estimated not to exceed \$15,000.00.
- 11. The Court approves, as to form and content the Class Notice, attached to the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that plan for distribution of the Notice to Settlement Class Members satisfies due process, provides the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.
- 12. The parties are ordered to carry out the Settlement according to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 13. Any class member who does not timely and validly request exclusion from the settlement may object to the Settlement.
 - 14. The Court orders the following Implementation Schedule:

Defendants to provide Class List to the Settlement Administrator	14 days after notice of entry of the Court's order granting Motion for Preliminary Approval
Settlement Administrator to mail the Notice	14 days after receipt of the Class List from
Packets	the Defendant
Response Deadline	60 days after Notice is mailed out by the Settlement Administrator

Deadline to Provide Written Objections, if	60 days after Notice is mailed out by the
any	Settlement Administrator
Deadline to file Motion for Final Approval, Request for Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Service Award to Plaintiff	16 court days before hearing on Motion for Final Approval, which is
Final Approval Hearing	P[ç^{ à^!AFFOCG at JR€€ a.m./p.m., or first available date thereafter, in Department 11. The hearing may be continued to another date without further notice to the Class Members.

15. The Court further ORDERS that, pending further order of this Court, all proceedings in this lawsuit, except those contemplated herein and in the settlement, are stayed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: 06/13/2024

Hon. David S. Cunningham III Los Angeles County Superior Court

1	Ameena Brown v. Hey Bui LLC DBA Reformation, et al.		
2	22STCV40574		
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) ss		
4	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES) 355		
5	I, Sandy S. Sespene, state that I am employed in the aforesaid County, State of California; I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action; my business address is 3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12 th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90010. My electronic service address is ssespene@wilshirelawfirm.com.		
6			
7 8 9	On February 22, 2024, I served the foregoing [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT, on the interested parties by placing a true copy thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope by following one of the methods of service as follows:		
10	Leslie L. Abbott (SBN 155597) LeslieAbbott@paulhastings.com Aja S. Nunn (SBN 347676)		
11			
12	ajanunn@paulhastings.com Irma Gamino		
13	irmagamino@paulhastings.com PAUL HASTINGS LLP 515 South Flower Street, Twenty-Fifth Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071		
14			
15	Telephone: (213) 683.6000 Facsimile: (213) 996.3310		
16	Attorneys for Defendant Hey Bui LLC DBA Reformation		
17 18	(X) BY UPLOAD: I hereby certify that the documents were uploaded by my office to the State of California Labor and Workforce Development Agency Online Filing Site.		
19	(X) BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept electronic service, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic		
20	service addresses listed above via third-party cloud service CASEANYWHERE .		
21	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this February 22, 2024 , at Los Angeles, California.		
22			
23			
24	Sandy S. Sespene		
25	Sandy St Sespene		
26			
27			
28			