1	Kane Moon (SBN 249834)	FILED Superior Court of California	
2	kmoon@moonlawgroup.com H. Scott Leviant (SBN 200834)	County of Los Angeles	
3	hsleviant@moonlawgroup.com Mariam Ghazaryan (SBN 341119)	07/09/2024 David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court	
4	mghazaryan@moonlawgroup.com MOON LAW GROUP, PC	By: N. Navarro Deputy	
5	1055 W. Seventh St., Suite 1880 Los Angeles, California 90017 Tolenhane: (212) 222 2128		
6	Telephone: (213) 232-3128 Facsimile: (213) 232-3125		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Michele Lewana Evans and Melody Sprouse		
8			
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	COUNTY OF	LOS ANGELES	
11			
12	MICHELE LEWANA EVANS and MELODY SPROUSE, individually, and on behalf of all	Case No.: 22STCV06578	
13	others similarly situated,	[Assigned to Hon. Laura A. Seigle, Dept. 17]	
14	Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION	
15 16	vs.	[ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT	
17	DOWNTOWN WOMEN'S CENTER, a		
18	California corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	Date:         July 9, 2024           Time:         9:00 a.m.	
19	Defendants	Courtroom: Dept. 17 Judge: Hon. Laura A. Seigle	
20		Complaint Filed: February 23, 2022 Trial: None Yet Set	
21		Inal: None i et Set	
22		_	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		Page 1Evans, et al. v. Downtown Women's CenterARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT	

## 

## TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

This matter came on for hearing on July 9, 2024, upon Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of the proposed settlement of this action on the terms set forth in the CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND CLASS NOTICE (the "Agreement"). (*See* Declaration of H. Scott Leviant in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class and Representative Action Settlement ["Leviant Decl."], at Exh. 1.)

After reviewing the Agreement, the Notice, having reviewed the entire record on this action, having heard the argument of Counsel for respective Parties, and good cause appearing, the Court Orders as follows:

1. To the extent defined in the Agreement, the terms in this Order shall have the meanings set forth therein.

2. The Court finds that the Settlement has been reached as a result of intensive, serious and non-collusive arms-length negotiations. The Court further finds that the Parties have conducted thorough investigation and research, and the attorneys for the Parties are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. The Court also finds that settlement at this time will avoid additional substantial costs, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the action. The Court finds that the risks of further prosecution are substantial.

3. The Parties' Settlement is granted preliminary approval as it meets the criteria for preliminary settlement approval. In granting preliminary approval of the class action settlement the Court has considered the factors identified in *Dunk v. Ford Motor Co.*,48 Cal. App. 4th 1794 (1996), as approved in *Wershba v. Apple Computer, Inc.*, 91 Cal. App. 4th 224 (2001) and *In re Microsoft IV Cases*, 135 Cal. App. 4th 706 (2006). The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the proposed class action Settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 382. The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness and appears to be presumptively valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at the final fairness hearing.

4. The Class meets the requirements for conditional certification for settlement purposes
only under Code of Civil Procedure § 382. The Court finds that it is appropriate to notify the members
of the proposed settlement Class of the terms of the proposed settlement.

 Case No.: 22STCV06578
 Page 1
 Evans, et al. v. Downtown Women's Center

 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

3       best         4       suff         5       thei         6       to p         7       app         8       9         9       a se         10       1         12       1         13       1         14       1         15       1         16       Rep         17       hou         18       adea         19       Lev         20       21         21       to th         22       23         23       rece         24       with         25       ///         26       ///         27       ///         28       ///	5. The Parties' proposed notice plan is constitutionally sound because individual notices		
4       suff         5       thei         6       to p         7       app         8       app         9       a se         10       1         12       1         13       1         14       1         15       6         16       Rep         17       hou         18       adea         19       Lev         20       20         21       to th         22       23         23       rece         24       with         25       ///         26       ///         27       ///         28       ///	Il be mailed to all Class Members whose identities are known to the Parties, and such notice is the		
5       thei         6       to p         7       app         8       app         9       a se         10       1         12       1         13       1         14       1         15       1         16       Rep         17       hou         18       adea         19       Lev         20       20         21       to th         22       23         23       recce         24       with         25       ///         26       ///         27       ///         28       ///	best notice practicable. The Parties' proposed Class Notice, attached to the Settlement as Exhibit A, is		
6       to p         7       app         8	sufficient to inform Class Members of the terms of the Settlement, their rights under the settlement,		
7       app.         8	eir rights to object to the Settlement, their right to receive a payment under the settlement or elect not		
8       9       a set         10       1         11       1         12       1         13       1         14       1         15       1         16       Rep         17       hou         18       adea         19       Lev         20       21         21       to th         22       23         23       recce         24       with         25       ///         26       ///         27       ///         28       ///	participate in the settlement, and the processes for doing so, and the date and location of the final		
9       a set         10       11         11       12         12       13         13       14         15       16         16       Rep         17       hou         18       adea         19       Lev         20       21         21       to th         22       23         23       recce         24       with         25       ///         26       ///         27       ///         28       ///	approval hearing and are therefore approved.		
10         11         12         13         14         15         16       Rep         17       hou         18       adea         19       Lev         20       21         21       to th         22       23         23       rece         24       with         25       ///         26       ///         27       ///         28       ///	6. The following persons are certified as Class Members solely for the purpose of entering		
11         12         13         14         15         16       Rep         17       hou         18       adea         19       Lev         20       21         21       to th         22       23         23       recce         24       with         25       ///         26       ///         27       ///         28       ///	a settlement in this matter:		
12 13 14 15 16 Rep 17 hou 18 adea 19 Lev 20 21 to th 22 23 recce 24 with 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28 ///	All individuals employed at any time during the Class Period as non-exempt employees of DWC in California, avaluding participants in the LA: PISE program, who received		
13         14         15         16       Rep         17       hou         18       adea         19       Lev         20       20         21       to th         22       23         23       rece         24       with         25       ///         26       ///         27       ///         28       ///	of DWC in California, excluding participants in the LA:RISE program, who received bonuses and whose regular rate of pay was used for purposes of calculating overtime pay, meal period premiums or rest period premiums (the "Class Period" is February 23, 2018, to the date on which preliminary approval for the Settlement is granted). "Participating Class Member" means a Class Member who does not submit a valid and		
14         15         16       Rep         17       hou         18       adea         19       Lev         20			
15       Rep         16       Rep         17       hou         18       adea         19       Lev         20	timely Request for Exclusion from the Class portion of the Settlement. (Settlement, $\P\P$ 1.5, 1.9, 1.12, 1.34.)		
16       Rep         17       hou         18       adea         19       Lev         20	1.5, 1.7, 1.12, 1.57.7		
17       hou         18       adea         19       Lev         20	7. Plaintiffs Michele Lewana Evans and Melody Sprouse are appointed as the Class		
18       adea         19       Lev         20	Representatives. The Court finds Plaintiffs' counsel are adequate, as they are experienced in wage and		
19       Lev         20	hour class action litigation and have no conflicts of interest with absent Class Members, and that they		
20       to th         21       to th         22	adequately represented the interests of absent class members in the Litigation. Kane Moon, H. Scott		
21       to th         22	eviant, and Mariam Ghazaryan, of Moon Law Group, PC, are appointed Class Counsel.		
22       23     rece       24     with       25     ///       26     ///       27     ///       28     ///	8. The Court appoints Apex Class Action to act as the Settlement Administrator, pursuant		
23     rece       24     with       25     ///       26     ///       27     ///       28     ///	the terms set forth in the Agreement.		
24     with       25     ///       26     ///       27     ///       28     ///	9. Defendant is directed to provide the Settlement Administrator the names and most		
25     ///       26     ///       27     ///       28     ///	cent known mailing addresses of Class Members and any other information required in accordance		
26     ///       27     ///       28     ///	th the Agreement, adhering to the following dates and deadlines:		
27 /// 28 ///	/		
28 ///	/		
	/		
	/		
<u>Ca</u>	Case No.: 22STCV06578 Page 2 Evans, et al. v. Downtown Women's Center [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT		
	p KOLOSEDJOKDEK OKALTING I KELIMINAKT AT I KOVAL OF CLASS ACTION SET TLEMENT		

Event	DATE OR DEADLINE
Class data delivered to Administrator	No later than 30 days after preliminary approval
Notice mailed to Class Members	No later than 14 days after receiving the Class data
Response Deadline	60 days after notice issues
Extended Response deadline for re-mails	60 days after notice issues plus up to a maximum of an additional 14 days for Class Members whose Cla
Deadline to file Motion for Final Approval	Notice is re-mailed         16 Court days before Final Approval
Final Approval Hearing	Ræ)`æ <sup>s</sup> ^Á∓Í, 202Í_, at JK€€a.m./ <del>p.m</del> .

10. The Settlement Administrator is directed to mail the approved Class Notice by firstclass mail to the Class Members in accordance with the Agreement. Before mailing, the Settlement Administrator or Class Counsel shall include the appropriate dates in the Class Notice and insert the correct time and place for the Final Approval Hearing.

11. Class Members will be bound by the Agreement unless they submit a timely and valid written request to be excluded from the Settlement, postmarked by the response deadline. Any request for exclusion shall be submitted to the Settlement Administrator rather than filed with the Court. Class members are not required to send copies of their exclusion request to counsel. The Settlement Administrator shall file, or provide to Counsel for filing, a declaration stating the number of Requests for Exclusions and identifying all individuals who timely requested exclusion from the proposed Class, among other information to be provided, as set forth in the Agreement.

12. Written objections by Class Members must be timely sent to the Administrator in accordance with the Agreement. Written objections must be attached to the Administrator's declaration and authenticated by the Administrator.

7 13. Upon completion of the Notice process, the Settlement Administrator shall provide a
8 report of the results of that process to Counsel for all Parties.

 Case No.: 22STCV06578
 Page 3
 Evans, et al. v. Downtown Women's Center

 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

14. A final approval hearing will be held on Rad at the set Ariant, 2021, at

JKEEÁst È , in Department 17, to determine whether the settlement should be granted final approval as fair, reasonable, and adequate as to the Class Members. At that time, the Court will hear all evidence and arguments necessary to evaluate the Settlement. Class Members and their counsel may support or oppose the Settlement, if they so desire, in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Class Notice and this Order.

15. As set forth in the Notice, any Class Member may appear at the final approval hearing in person (which "in person" appearance may be telephonic) or by his or her own attorney and show cause why the Court should not approve the settlement.

16. The Court reserves the right to continue the date of the final approval hearing without further notice to Class Members.

17. Class Counsel shall give notice to any objecting party of any continuance of the hearing of the motion for final approval.

18. The Court retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or in connection with the settlement.

19. In the event that the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Agreement, then this Preliminary Approval Order shall be rendered null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Agreement and shall be vacated, and, in such event, all orders entered and releases delivered in connection herewith shall be null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Agreement, shall be null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Agreement, and each party shall retain his or its rights to proceed with litigation of the Action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 

07/09/2024

rle

ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE Laura A. Seigle / Judge

Case No.: 22STCV06578 Page 4 Evans, et al. v. Downtown Women's Center [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

1	PROOF OF SERVICE		
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
3	I am employed in the State of California, County of Los Angeles. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within suit; my business address is 1055 W. 7 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1880, Los Angeles, CA 90017.		
4	On the date indicated below, I served the document described as: [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING		
5 6	<b>PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT</b> on the interested parties in this action by sending [] the original [or] [ $\checkmark$ ] a true copy thereof [ $\checkmark$ ] to interested parties as follows [or] [] as stated on the attached service list:		
	Katherine Forster		
7	katherine.forster@mto.com		
8	Erica Tooch erica.tooch@mto.com		
9	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 350 South Grand Avenue, Fiftieth Floor		
10	Los Angeles, California 90071-3426 Facsimile: (213) 687-3702		
11	Attorneys for Defendant DOWNTOWN		
12	WOMEN'S CENTER		
13	[✓] BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept electronic service, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic service addresses		
14	listed above via third-party cloud service CASEANYWHERE. I did not receive an error message.		
15	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and		
16	correct. Executed this May 21, 2024 at Los Angeles, California.		
17	H. Scott Leviant		
18	Type or Print Name Signature		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
-	Case No.: 22STCV06578 Page 1 Evans, et al. v. Downtown Women's Center		
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT		