4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FILED KERN COUNTY

ZAKAY LAW GROUP, APLC Shani O. Zakay (State Bar #277924) Jackland K. Hom (State Bar #327243) Julieann Alvarado (State Bar #334727) Rachel N. Newman (State Bar #350826) 1 2

5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600

San Diego, CA 92121 Telephone: (619) 255-9047 Facsimile: (858) 404-9203 shani@zakaylaw.com jackland@zakaylaw.com

julieann@zakaylaw.com rachel@zakaylaw.com

JCL LAW FIRM, APC Jean-Claude Lapuyade (State Bar #248676) 5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600

San Diego, CA 92121 Telephone: (619)599-8292 Facsimile: (619) 599-8291 jlapuyade@jcl-lawfirm.com

Attorneys For Plaintiff PASSION MORRIS

## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KERN

PASSION MORRIS, an individual, on behalf of herself and on behalf of all persons similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

٧.

**HABERFELDE** FORD, California corporation; JIM BURKE FORD LINCOLN, an unknown business entity; and DOES 1-50, Inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. BOY-23-100471

**GRANTING** [PROPOSED] ORDER **FOR** PLAINTIFF'S **MOTION** PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT

Date: August 13, 2024

Time: 8:30 a.m.

Location: Metropolitan Division Justice Building

1415 Truxtun Ave Bakersfield, CA 93301

Judge: Hon. Thomas S. Clark

Dept.: 17

This matter having come before the Honorable Judge Thomas S. Clark of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of Kern, at 8:30 a.m. on August 13, 2024. The Court, having carefully considered the briefs, argument of counsel and all the matters presented to the Court, and good cause appearing, hereby GRANTS Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement.

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. The Court preliminarily approves the Stipulation of Settlement of Class and PAGA Action Claims and Release of Claims ("Settlement Agreement" or "Agreement"). This is based on the Court's determination that the Settlement Agreement is within the range of possible final approval, pursuant to the provisions of Section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure and California Rules of Court, rule 3.769.
- 2. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Agreement, and all terms defined therein shall have the same meaning in this Order as set forth in the Agreement.
- 3. Subject to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Gross Settlement Amount that Defendants shall pay is One Million Five Hundred Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$1,500,000.00). It appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that the settlement amount and terms are fair, adequate, and reasonable as to all Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to certification, liability, and damages issues. It further appears that investigation and research have been conducted such that counsel for the Parties are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. It further appears to the Court that settlement at this time will avoid substantial additional costs by all Parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the litigation. It further appears that the Settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive arms-length negotiations.
- 4. The Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement appears to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that could ultimately be given final approval by this Court. The Court has reviewed the monetary recovery that is being granted as part of the Settlement and preliminarily finds that the monetary settlement awards made available to the Class Members are fair, adequate, and reasonable when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to

certification, liability, and damages issues.

- 5. Plaintiff seeks Attorneys' Fees and Expenses in the amount of up to thirty-five percent (35%) of the Gross Settlement Amount for attorneys' fees, currently estimated at Five Hundred Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$525,000.00), an award of litigation expenses incurred not to exceed Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$25,000.00), and proposed Enhancement Award to the Class Representative, Passion Morris, in an amount not to exceed Ten Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$10,000.00). While these awards appear to be within the range of reasonableness, the Court will not approve the Attorneys' Fees and Expenses or Enhancement Award until the Final Approval Hearing.
- 6. The Court recognizes that Plaintiff and Defendants stipulate and agree to certification of a class for settlement purposes only. This stipulation will not be deemed admissible in this, or any other proceeding should this Settlement not become final. For settlement purposes only, the Court conditionally certifies the following Class:

"All non-exempt employees who are or previously were employed by Defendants, who performed work in California during the period of February 14, 2019 through June 13, 2024."

- 7. The Court concludes that, for settlement purposes only, the Class meets the requirements for certification under section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure in that: (a) the Class is ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all members of the Class Members is impracticable; (b) common questions of law and fact predominate, and there is a well-defined community of interest amongst the Class Members with respect to the subject matter of the litigation; (c) the claims of the Class Representative are typical of the claims of the Class Members; (d) the Class Representative will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class Members; (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for the efficient adjudication of this controversy; and (f) Class Counsel are qualified to act as counsel for the Class Representative in his individual capacity and as the representative of the Class Members.
- 8. The Court provisionally appoints plaintiff Passion Morris as the representative of the Class.

- 9. The Court provisionally appoints Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq., of the JCL Law Firm, A.P.C., Shani Zakay, of the Zakay Law Group, APLC, and Edwin Aiwazian, of Lawyers for Justice, PC, as Class Counsel for the Class Members.
- 10. The Court hereby approves, as to form and content, the Proposed Notice of Pendency of Class Action Settlement and Final Hearing Date ("Notice Packet") attached to the Agreement as Exhibit "A". The Court finds that the Notice Packet appears to fully and accurately inform the Class Members and Aggrieved Employees of all material elements of the proposed Settlement, including the right of any Class Member to be excluded from the Class by submitting a written request for exclusion, and of each Class Member's right and opportunity to object to the Settlement. The Court further finds that the distribution of the Notice Packet substantially, in the manner and form set forth in the Agreement and this Order meets the requirements of due process, is the most reasonable notice under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto. The Court orders the mailing of the Notice Packet by first class mail, pursuant to the terms set forth in the Agreement.
- 11. The Court hereby appoints APEX Class Action, LLC as Settlement Administrator. Within ten (10) calendar days of the Preliminary Approval Date, Defendants shall provide to the Settlement Administrator the Class Data, including information regarding Class Members that Defendants will in good faith compile from its records, including the Class Member's name, last-known mailing address, Social Security number, and start dates and end dates of employment. Within twenty-one (21) calendar days after preliminary approval of the Settlement, the Settlement Administrator shall mail the Notice Packet to all identified, potential Class Members via first class U.S. Mail using the most current mailing address information available.
- 12. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the proposed procedure for exclusion from the Settlement. Any Class Member may individually choose to opt out of and be excluded from the Settlement as provided in the Notice Packet by following the instructions for requesting exclusion from the Settlement of the Released Class Claims that are set forth in the Notice Packet. All requests for exclusion must be postmarked or received by the Response Deadline which is forty-five (45) calendar days after the date the Notice Packet is mailed to the Class Members or, in the case of a re-

mailed Notice, not more than fifteen (15) calendar days from the date of re-mailing of the Notices. Any such person who chooses to opt out of and be excluded from the Settlement will not be entitled to an Individual Settlement Payment under the Settlement and will not be bound by the Settlement, or have any right to object, appeal or comment thereon. Class Members who have not requested exclusion shall be bound by all determinations of the Court, the Agreement and Judgment. A request for exclusion may only opt out that particular individual, and any attempt to affect an opt-out of a group, class, or subclass of individuals is not permitted and will be deemed invalid.

- 13. Any Class Member who has not opted out may appear at the final approval hearing and may object or express the Class Member's views regarding the Settlement and may present evidence and file briefs or other papers that may be proper and relevant to the issues to be heard and determined by the Court as provided in the Notice. Class Members will have forty-five (45) calendar days from the date the Settlement Administrator mails the Notice Packet to postmark their written objections to the Settlement Administrator.
- 14. A final approval hearing shall be held before this Court on 1-17-25 at 3:30 AM in Department 17 of the Kern County Superior Court to determine all necessary matters concerning the Settlement, including: whether the proposed settlement of the Action on the terms and conditions provided for in the Agreement is fair, adequate and reasonable and should be finally approved by the Court; whether an Order Granting Final Approval should be entered herein; whether the plan of allocation contained in the Agreement should be approved as fair, adequate and reasonable to the Class; and to finally approve the Attorneys' Fees and Expenses, Service Award, and the Administration Costs.
- 15. Neither the Settlement nor any exhibit, document, or instrument delivered thereunder shall be construed as a concession or admission by Defendants in any way, and shall not be used as evidence of, or used against Defendants as an admission or indication in any way, including with respect to any claim of any liability, wrongdoing, fault, or omission by Defendants or with respect to the truth of any allegation asserted by any person. Whether or not the Settlement is finally approved, neither the Settlement, nor any exhibit, document, statement, proceeding or conduct related to the Settlement, nor any reports or accounts thereof, shall in any event be construed as, offered or

admitted in evidence as received as or deemed to be evidence for any purpose adverse to the Defendants, including, but not limited to, evidence of a presumption, concession, indication or admission by Defendants of any liability, fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession or damage.

- 16. In the event the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Agreement, or the Settlement is not finally approved, or is terminated, canceled, or fails to become effective for any reason, this Order shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and the Parties shall revert to their respective positions as of before entering into the Agreement. In such an event, the Court's orders regarding the Settlement, including this Preliminary Approval Order, shall not be used or referred to in litigation for any purpose. Nothing in this paragraph is intended to alter the terms of the Settlement Agreement with respect to the effect of the Settlement Agreement if it is not approved.
- 17. Pending final determination of whether the Settlement should be approved, Class Representative and all Class Members are barred and enjoined from filing, commencing, prosecuting, intervening in, instigating or in any way participating in the commencement or prosecution of any lawsuit, action or administrative, regulatory, arbitration or other proceeding, in any forum, asserting any claims that are, or relate in any way to, the Released Claims, unless and until they submit a timely request for exclusion pursuant to the Agreement.
- 18. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the final approval hearing and all dates provided for in the Agreement without further notice to Class Members and retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the proposed Settlement.

Dated: 8 - 13 - 24

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

THOMAS S. CLARK