1 2 3	Timothy B. Del Castillo (SBN: 277296) Lisa L. Bradner (SBN: 197952) CASTLE LAW: CALIFORNIA EMPLOYM 2999 Douglas Blvd., Suite 180 Roseville, CA 95661 Telephone: (916) 245-0122	FILED Superior Court of California County of Sacramento 08/26/2024 T. Shaddix, Deputy				
4 5	Attorneys for Plaintiff KALI BATES on behalf of herself and similarly situated employees					
6 7 8 9	Galen T. Shimoda (Cal. State Bar No. 226752) Justin P. Rodriguez (Cal. State Bar No. 278275) Brittany V. Berzin (Cal. State Bar No. 325121) Shimoda & Rodriguez Law, PC 9401 East Stockton Boulevard, Suite 120 Elk Grove, CA 95624 Telephone: (916) 525-0716 Facsimile: (916) 760-3733					
1011	Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL JOHNSON on behalf of himself and similarly situated employees					
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA					
13	FOR THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO					
141516	KALI BATES and MICHAEL JOHNSON, individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated employees,	Case No. 34-2022-00317653 Assigned for All Purposes to Hon. Jill H. Talley, Department 23				
17 18	Plaintiffs, vs.	CLASS ACTION				
19 20	MVP EVENT PRODUCTIONS, LLC, and LEGENDS HOSPITALITY, LLC; Does 1 through 20, inclusive,					
2122	Defendants.	Reservation No. A-317653-001				
23 24		Date: July 26, 2024 Time: 9:00 a.m Dept.: 23				
25		Judge: Hon. Jill H. Talley				
26		Filed: May 18, 2022				
27		FAC Filed: October 25, 2022 Trial Date: None Set				
28						

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL OF RECORD:

The Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement ("Motion") in the above referenced case initially came before this Court, on May 10, 2024 at 9:00 a.m., in Department 23 before the Honorable Jill H. Talley, presiding. In its tentative ruling for the May 10, 2024, hearing, the Court identified several items that required additional briefing, and continued the hearing to July 26, 2024. Upon receiving and considering the additional briefing and the original moving papers, the Court now issues this Order. Named Plaintiffs Kali Bates and Michael Johnson ("Plaintiffs") filed this putative class action on May 18, 2022. The operative Complaint alleges that Defendant Legends Hospitality, LLC ("Defendant") violated California law by violating the California Unfair Competition law, failing to provide accurate wage statements, failing to pay minimum wages for all hours worked, failing to provide meal and rest periods, waiting time penalties, failing to pay overtime wages, failing to reimburse business expenses, and failing to timely pay wages during employment. Plaintiffs have also alleged Defendant is liable for a civil penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA") based on these violations. Plaintiffs sought attorneys' fees and costs as part of this Action. Defendant denied all of Plaintiffs' claims and denied that this case was appropriate for class treatment. No class has been certified.

The parties have agreed to settle the class and PAGA claims. Defendants will provide monetary consideration in exchange for a release of claims consistent with the terms of the proposed settlement as set forth in the Joint Stipulation Regarding Class Action and PAGA Settlement and Release ("Agreement" or "Settlement"). Any capitalized terms herein shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Agreement. The Court, having received and considered Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement, the declarations in support, the Agreement, the proposed Notice of Settlement, the proposed Claim Form, and other evidence, HEREBY ORDERS AND MAKES DETERMINATIONS AS FOLLOWS:

I. PRELIMINARILY CERTIFYING A SETTLEMENT CLASS; APPOINTMENT OF CLASS REPRESENTATIVES; APPOINTMENT OF CLASS COUNSEL

The Court finds that certification of the following class for settlement purposes only is appropriate under the California Code of Civil Procedure and related case law:

11 12

10

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

23

21

24 25

26

27

28

All individuals who were staffed to Legends Hospitality, LLC by MVP Event Productions, LLC, and performed work for Legends Hospitality, LLC, whether as an employee or independent contractor, at any time from April 1, 2018 and up to December 22, 2023.

The Court recognizes that the foregoing definition is for Class Member identification purposes only and is not intended to capture the claims at issue or limit or alter the released claims under the Agreement.

The Court finds that Class Members meet the ascertainability and numerosity requirements since the parties can identify with a matter of certainty, based on time records, individuals who fall within the definition and the number of Class Members would make joinder impractical. The commonality and predominance requirements are met for settlement purposes since there are questions of law and fact common to Class Members. The common questions of law or fact in this case all stem from Plaintiffs' contentions that Defendants caused the violations outlined above by 1) willfully misclassifying workers as independent contractors; 2) failing to pay all minimum wages; 3) failing to pay all overtime wages; 4) failing to keep accurate records; 5) failing to provide meal periods or pay premiums in lieu thereof; 6) failing to provide rest periods or pay premiums in lieu thereof; 7) failing to provide accurate wage statements; 8) failing to pay final wages; 9) failing to timely pay wages during employment; 10) failing to pay reimbursements for personal cellphone use and milage; 11) failing to pay reporting time; 12) failing to provide or pay all sick time; and 13) failing to correctly calculate employees' regular rates of pay when bonuses were paid. The PAGA, waiting time penalty, wage statement violation, and unfair competition claims also derive from these violations. Additionally, Class Members seek the same remedies under state law. The typicality requirement for settlement purposes is also satisfied since the claims of the class representatives are based on the same facts and legal theories as those applicable to the class members.

The Court also finds that preliminarily and conditionally certifying the settlement class is required to avoid each Class Member from litigating similar claims individually. This Settlement will achieve economies of scale for Class Members with relatively small individual claims and conserve the resources of the judicial system.

The Court finds that Plaintiffs Kali Bates and Michael Johnson and Plaintiffs' counsel, Galen T. Shimoda and Justin P. Rodriguez of Shimoda & Rodriguez Law, PC and Tim Del Castillo and

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

Lisa Bradner of Castle Law: California Employment Counsel, PC, to be adequate representatives of the settlement class. The Court appoints them as Class Representatives and Class Counsel, respectively.

II. PRELIMINARILY APPROVING CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT

The Court has reviewed the Agreement, which was submitted with Plaintiffs' Motion as Exhibit A. The Court finds, on a preliminary and conditional basis, that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate and falls within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that could ultimately be given final approval by this Court. The Court finds the Settlement was agreed upon only after extensive investigation, litigation, and arms-length negotiations by counsel experienced in complex litigation, who took reasonable steps and measures to weigh the potential value of the disputed claims against the risks of continued litigation. The Court also acknowledges that Class Members may present any objections to the Settlement at a fairness hearing approved by this Court or opt-out of being bound by the preliminarily approved Agreement. The Court preliminarily approves the Agreement and all terms therein as if stated here in full, including the \$175,000 Gross Settlement Amount, which will be paid on a claims made basis as set forth in the Agreement. Plaintiff has provided adequate reasoning and explanation as to why a claims made settlement is appropriate under the circumstances of this case.

The Court approves of Apex Class Action acting as the Claims Administrator in this case and hereby appoints them to fulfill those duties in this case as outlined in the Agreement.

The Court finds that an award of fees under the common fund doctrine may be appropriate in this case because there is a sufficiently identifiable class of beneficiaries (i.e. Class Members), the benefits that Plaintiffs and Class Counsel were able to negotiate on behalf of Class Members can be accurately traced as set forth in the Agreement, and the fee can be shifted with exactitude to those benefiting as the fee request is a specific, lump-sum percentage of the Gross Settlement Amount. See Laffitte v. Robert Half Internat., Inc., 1 Cal.5th 480, 506 (2016); Paul, Johnson, Alston & Hunt v. Graulty, 886 F.2d 268, 271 (9th Cir. 1989); Boeing Co. v. Van Gemert, 444 U.S. 472, 477-478 (1980) ("A lawyer who recovers a common fund for the benefit of persons other than . . . her client is entitled to a reasonable attorney's fee from the fund as a whole."). The amounts allocated under the Agreement for attorney's fees and costs, for an Enhancement Payment to the Class Representatives, and Settlement Administrator Costs shall be included in the Notice of Settlement to enable Class Members to review and comment thereon.

1 The Court will consider the reaction of Class Members when evaluating the reasonableness of the 2 requested amounts at final approval. See In re Heritage Bond Litig., 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 13555, 71 3 (C.D. Cal. 2005) ("the absence of objections or disapproval by class members to class counsel's fee 4 request further supports finding the fee request reasonable"). Plaintiffs and Class Counsel are directed 5 to provide information in connection with the motion for final approval that will enable the Court to assess the appropriateness of any requested fee percentage, to perform a lodestar cross check of the 6 7 requested fee percentage, and to quantify the amount of time spent by Plaintiffs on this case and any 8 further risks and/or burdens incurred as a result of acting as Class Representatives. Class Counsel is also 9 directed to provide an updated declaration and itemization regarding actual litigation costs incurred. 10 The Claims Administrator shall also submit a declaration attesting to Claims Administrator Costs 11 incurred. The Court will review these amounts and allocations in connection with the final approval 12 hearing. To the extent the Court ultimately awards less than the amounts allocated under the Agreement 13 for attorney's fees and costs, for an Enhancement Payment to the Class Representatives, and/or Claims 14 Administrator Costs, the difference between the amounts awarded and the amounts requested shall be 15 added to the Net Settlement Amount for distribution to Participating Class Members pro rata as set forth in the Agreement. 16

The Court approves of the Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000) PAGA Payment, which shall be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount, not in addition to the Gross Settlement Amount, to resolve the alleged PAGA claims. Seventy-Five percent (75%) of the PAGA Payment will be paid to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") and Twenty-Five percent (25%) will be paid to Aggrieved Employees on a pro rata basis as described in the Agreement. The Court also finds that the Agreement provides a recovery that creates an effective, substantial deterrent to any potential future noncompliance, furthering the purpose of the Labor Code and LWDA.

The Court approves of the identified *cy pres* beneficiaries and distribution plan wherein any checks issued to Participating Class Members and/or Aggrieved Employees that are not cashed by the deadline to do so shall be donated equally, *i.e.* 50/50, to Capital Pro Bono, Inc., and the Center for Workers' Rights.

28 | //

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

The releases and waivers for Class Members who do not opt out of being bound by the Agreement, Aggrieved Employees, and the Class Representatives are also approved by the Court as set forth in the Agreement.

Plaintiffs are also granted leave to file the proposed second amended complaint included with the Motion as Exhibit I. The second amended complaint must be filed by August 9, 2024.

III. APPROVAL OF THE DISTRIBUTION METHOD OF NOTICE TO THE CLASS, INCLUDING THE NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT AND CLAIM FORM

The Court finds that the proposed Notice of Settlement and proposed Claim Form, which were submitted with Plaintiffs' Motion as Exhibit F and G respectively, fairly and adequately advise Class Members of the terms of Agreement, the rights being waived, their right to opt out, the ability to dispute the number of workweeks worked during the Class Period, their pro rata share of the Net Settlement Amount, how to participate in the settlement, how to file documentation in opposition to the proposed settlement, and when to appear at the fairness hearing to be conducted on the date set forth below. The Court further finds that the Notice of Settlement and Claim Form and proposed distribution of them comports with all constitutional requirements, including those of due process.

The Court also finds that because there is a strong interest in providing Class Members the opportunity to participate in the settlement, along with the Parties' efforts to minimize any intrusion to privacy rights, the sharing of employment information with the Claims Administrator, including social security numbers, is not a serious intrusion on their privacy rights. Hence, the Court orders Defendant MVP Event Productions, LLC to provide the last known address and social security number for each Class Member to the Claims Administrator and Defendant Legends Hospitality, LLC to provide available telephone numbers, e-mail addresses, start and end dates for shifts worked, and locations worked for each Class Member to the Claims Administrator. The Claims Administrator shall only use this information for the purposes identified in the Agreement and shall keep this information confidential consistent with the terms of the Agreement.

The Court further orders Defendant MVP Event Production, LLC, to post an ad on its social media sites and on Ridgeview Vista, Inc.'s, social media sites to the extent MVP Event Production,

LLC, has access to Ridgeview Vista, Inc.,'s social media sites. The ads shall direct Class Members to the Claims Administrator's website where the Notice of Settlement and Claim Form will be located.

IV. IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

1

2

3

4

5

Accordingly, with good cause shown, the Court hereby approves and orders that the following implementation schedule be adhered to:

6 7	Last day for Defendant and MVP Event Productions, LLC, to provide Claims	Within 14 calendar days after the Preliminary Approval Date	
8	Administrator with Class Member and Aggrieved Employee information		
9			
10	Last day for Claims Administrator to complete procedure to notify Class Members and	Within 14 calendar days after the Claims Administrators' receipt of Class Members'	
11	Aggrieved Employees	and Aggrieved Employees' information	
12			
	Last day for MVP Event Production, LLC, to post		
13	social media ads as set forth in Agreement directing Class Members and Aggrieved	Preliminary Approval Date	
14	Employees to the Claims Administrator's website		
15			
16	Last day for Class Members to opt-out, submit disputes, submit objections, submit requests for	60 calendar days after mailing or publication of Notice of Settlement or within 10 days	
17	inclusion, and submit Claim Form	after Notice of Settlement is re-mailed, whichever is later	
18			
19	Last day for Claims Administrator to provide Parties with signed declaration reporting on	Within 14 calendar days after end of the Notice Period	
20	settlement administration statistics	Trouber of the	
21			
	Last day for Claims Administrator to calculate the final Net Settlement Amount, the final Claim	Within 7 calendar days after the Effective Date	
22	Amounts for Participating Class Members and/or	Dute	
23	Aggrieved Employees, any applicable taxes thereon, and report the results of these		
24	calculations to Class Counsel and Defendant's Counsel		
25	Counsel		
26	Last day for Defendant to fund settlement	Within 21 calendar days after the Effective Date	
27		Date	
28			

	ı
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	

Last day for Claims Administrator to deliver payment of Class Counsel's attorney's fees and costs, Enhancement Payments, PAGA Payment, Claims Administrator Costs, payment to Participating Class Members, and payment to Aggrieved Employees	Within 7 calendar days after funds are deposited with the Claims Administrator
Last day for Participating Class Members and Aggrieved Employees to cash settlement checks	180 calendar days after issuance of checks to Participating Class Members and Aggrieved Employees
Last day for Claims Administrator to deliver value of uncashed settlement checks to <i>cy pres</i> beneficiaries	Within 14 calendar days after settlement check cashing deadline
Last day for Claims Administrator to provide Parties with compliance declaration	Within 21 calendar days after settlement check cashing deadline
Last day for Defendant to provide Claims Administrator with Class Member and Aggrieved Employee information	Within 14 calendar days after the Preliminary Approval Date

FINAL APPROVAL AND HEARING

The Court hereby grants Plaintiffs' Motion and sets final approval hearing for January 10, 2025, at 9:00 a.m., with briefs and supporting documentation to be submitted according to the California Code of Civil Procedure, in this Department. Class Members who have not opted out and who object in a timely manner as set forth in the Agreement may appear and present such objections at the fairness hearing in person or by counsel. If for any reason the Court does not grant final approval of the Agreement, all evidence and proceedings held in connection therewith shall be without prejudice to the status quo and rights of the parties to the litigation, including all challenges to personal jurisdiction and to class certification for any purpose other than approving a settlement class. The parties will revert to their respective positions as if no settlement had been reached at all.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: <u>08/26/2024</u>

Judge of the Superior Court Jill Talley

1	Johnson v. MVP Event Productions, LLC Sacramento County Superior Court of California 34-2022-00317653				
2	PROOF OF SERVICE — CCP §§ 1013a and 2015.5 and California Rules of Court, Rule 1.21 and Rule 2.150				
3		and California Rules of Court,	Rule 1.21 and Rule 2.150		
4	I, Shar	I, Shaniya Baird, declare that:			
5	1	I am a citizen of the United States and am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to			
6	the within above-entitled action.				
7	On August 27, 2024, I served the following documents on the party below:				
8	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY				
9		APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION A	AND PAGA SETTLEMENT		
10		(SBN 209104)	Timothy B. Del Castillo (SBN 277296)		
11	-	(SBN 280706) er (SBN 258281)	Lisa L. Bradner (SBN 197952) Castle Law: California Employment		
12	K&L Gates	LLP	Counsel, PC		
13	Los Angeles	Monica Blvd., Ste. 700 , CA 90067	2999 Douglas Boulevard, Suite 180 Roseville, California 95661		
14	Telephone: (gene.ryu@kl	310) 553-5000	Telephone: (916) 245-0122 tdc@castleemploymentlaw.com		
15	penny.fox@		lb@castleemploymentlaw.com		
		da@klgates.com er@klgates.com	<u>rb@castleemploymentlaw.com</u>		
16	Amy.portille	@klgates.com			
17		ha@klgates.com			
18	MVP Event 347 James B	Productions, LLC owie Ct.			
19	Alamo, CA 9	94507			
20	Served via F	irst Class Mail			
21	[XXX]	[By Mail] I am familiar with my empl	over's practice for the collection and		
22		processing of correspondence for ma	uiling with the United States Postal		
23		Service and that each day's mail is de Service that same day in the ordinary	course of business. On the date set		
24		forth above, I served the aforementic said action by placing a true copy the with postage thereon fully prepaid, for	ereof enclosed in a sealed envelope		
25		following ordinary business practices as set forth above.	at Salt Lake City, Utah, addressed		
26	1		delivering a true copy thereof to the		
27		[By Personal Service] By personally delivering a true copy thereof to the office of the addressee above.			
28	[XXX]	[By Electronic Mail] I e-mailed the dabove. No error was reported by the e-			

1	[By Overnight Courier] By causing a true copy and/or original thereof to be personally delivered via the following overnight courier service:
2	be personally delivered via the following overnight courier service:
3	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on August 27, 2024, at Salt Lake City,
4	Utah.
5	
6	Shariya Baird
7	Shamya Danu
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
40	

PROOF OF SERVICE