.6	Tig.	E' L E D		
1	Lauren N. Vega (State Bar No. 306525)	Clerk of the Superior Court		
2	Nicholas J. Ferraro (State Bar No. 306528) Ferraro Vega Employment Lawyers, Inc.	SEP 2 0 2024		
3	3160 Camino del Rio South, Suite 308	D		
3	San Diego, California 92108	By: T. Abas		
4	(619) 693-7727 / (619) 350-6855 facsimile			
5	lauren@ferrarovega.com / nick@ferrarovega.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs Nataly Gomez and Isabe			
	Theories for Flaments Natary Comez and Isabe	1 Dominiguez		
6	Additional counsel on following page*			
7	O'HAGAN MEYER LLP			
8	THALIA S. ROFOS, SB# 309065			
0	E-Mail: TRofos@OHaganMeyer.com			
9	ANITA LODI, SB# 347554 E-Mail: ALodi@OHaganMeyer.com			
10	4695 MacArthur Ct., Suite 900 Newport Beach, CA 92660			
11	Telephone: (949) 942-8537			
12	Attorneys for Defendants,			
12	AKASH MANAGEMENT, LLC; PIE VENTURE, LLC			
13				
14		IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FY OF SAN DIEGO		
15	NATALY GOMEZ, as an individual and on	Case No. 37-2022-00002648-CU-OE-CTL		
16	behalf of all others similarly situated; ISABEL	Assigned to the Hon. Gregory W. Pollack,		
	DOMINGUEZ, as an individual and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Dept. C-71		
17		Liet		
18	Plaintiffs	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING		
19	v.	MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION		
	PIE VENTURE, LLC; AKASH	SETTLEMENT		
20	MANAGEMENT LLC; and DOES 1through	1		
21	50, inclusive,	Data: Santambar 20, 2024		
22	Definition	Date: September 20, 2024 Time: 9:30 AM		
	Defendants	Dept.: C-71		
23	IONNATUAN A DODINGON individually	Case No. 23STCV09374; filed in Los Angeles		
24	JONNATHAN A. ROBINSON, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	County on April 26, 2023		
25	Plaintiff,			
	[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[I .		
26		*		
2627	V.			

 \widehat{g}

î e	ā	
1	inclusive,	
2	Defendants	
3		
4	JONNATHAN A. ROBINSON, on behalf of the general public as private attorney general,	Case No. 23STCV15487; filed in Los Angeles County on July 3, 2023
5		County on July 3, 2023
6	Plaintiff,	
7	v.	
8	AKASH MANAGEMENT, LLC dba	
9	PIEOLOGY PIZZERIA, a California Limited Liability Company; and DOES 1-50,	
10	inclusive,	
11	Defendants.	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	JAMES HAWKINS APLC				
2	James R. Hawkins (#192925)				
	Gregory Mauro (#222239)				
3	Michael Calvo (#314986) Lauren Falk (#316893)				
4	Ava Issary (#342252)				
_	9880 Research Drive, Suite 200				
5	Irvine, CA 92618				
6	Tel.: (949) 387-7200 Fax: (949) 387-6676				
7	Email: James@jameshawkinsaplc.com				
	Email: Greg@jameshawkinsaplc.com				
8	Email: Michael@jameshawkinsaplc.com				
9	Email: Lauren@jameshawkinsaplc.com Email: Ava@jameshawkinsaplc.com				
10					
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff JONNATHAN A. ROBINSON,				
11	individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated.				
12					
13					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
10					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	II				

ORDER

This matter came on for hearing on September 20, 2024 at 9:30 AM in Department C-71 of the above-captioned court on the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Stipulation To Resolve Class Action and PAGA Claims (hereinafter "Settlement Agreement").

The Court, having fully reviewed the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities and Declarations filed in support thereof, the Settlement Agreement, including the proposed Class Notice, and in recognition of the Court's duty to make a preliminary determination as to the reasonableness of any proposed class action settlement, and if preliminarily determined to be reasonable, to ensure proper notice is provided to Class Members in accordance with due process requirements, and to set a Final Approval Hearing to consider the proposed Settlement Agreement as to the good faith, fairness, adequacy and reasonableness of any proposed settlement, and having heard the argument of Counsel for the respective parties, the Court HEREBY MAKES THE FOLLOWING DETERMINATIONS AND ORDERS:

It appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that the Gross Settlement Amount ("GSA") is fair and reasonable to the Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further

litigation relating to class certification, the liability and damages issues involved, and the potential for appeals. It further appears that sufficient investigation, research, and litigation has been conducted such that counsel for the Parties at this time is able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. It further appears that the Settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the litigation. It further appears that the proposed Settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties. ACCORDINGLY, GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, THE MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT IS HEREBY GRANTED, AND AS A PART OF SAID PRELIMINARY APPROVAL, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS THAT THE CLASS BE CONDITIONALLY CERTIFIED FOR SETTLEMENT PURPOSES ONLY, AND THAT FERRARO VEGA EMPLOYMENT LAWYERS, INC. and

JAMES HAWKINS, APLC BE CONDITIONALLY AND PRELIMINARILY APPOINTED CLASS COUNSEL. MORE SPECIFICALLY, THE COURT FINDS AS FOLLOWS:

This Order incorporates by reference all definitions in the Parties' Settlement Agreement in the actions entitled (1) Nataly Gomez, et al. v. Pie Venture, LLC, San Diego County Superior Court Case No. 37-2022-00002648-CU-OE-CTL., (2) Jonnathan A. Robinson v. Akash Management, LLC dba Pieology Pizzeria, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. 23STCV09374, and (3) Jonnathan A. Robinson v. Akash Management, LLC dba Pieology Pizzeria, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. 23STCV15487 (the "Lawsuits") and all terms used herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

The Court preliminarily approves the Settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement on the basis that the Settlement between Plaintiffs and Defendants appear to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement which could ultimately be given final approval by this Court. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate, pursuant to Section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure.

The Court notes that Defendants has agreed to a non-reversionary GSA of \$750,000.00. The GSA includes without limitation any and all payments Defendants may be responsible for under the Settlement, including any Attorney's Fees and Costs, Enhancement Award, the Class Member Payments, the PAGA Payments (which includes payment to the LWDA), Claims Administration Costs, and all payroll taxes (excluding employer-side payroll taxes) due and owing as a result of the Settlement.

The Court finds the requirements of Code of Civil Procedure 382 are satisfied for purposes of settlement and conditionally certifies the Class for settlement purposes, with Plaintiffs acting as the Class Representatives.

The Court hereby appoints, for settlement purposes, Plaintiffs JONNATHAN A. ROBINSON, NATALY GOMEZ and ISABEL DOMINGUEZ as the Class Representatives and finds Plaintiffs are adequate representatives for the Class for settlement purposes. The Court further finds that and Ferraro Vega Employment Lawyers, Inc. and James Hawkins APLC have preliminarily established adequacy to be appointed as Class Counsel and appoints them as Class

Counsel.

The Class as identified in the Settlement Agreement is provisionally certified by this Order.

The Court finds that the proposed manner of class notice is adequate.

The Court approves APEX Class Administration, to serve as the Settlement Administrator.

The Court further hereby approves, as to form and content, the proposed Notice of Class Action Settlement and Orders the notice to be mailed to the Class.

The Court finds that the Notice of Class Action Settlement constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances, is in full compliance with the laws of the State of California and, to the extent applicable, the United States Constitution and the requirements of due process. The Court further finds that the Class Notice fully and accurately informs Class Members of all material elements of the proposed Settlement, of each Class Member's right to be excluded from the Class, and each Class Member's right and opportunity to object to the proposed Settlement. The Notice of Class Action Settlement adequately advises the Class about: the Class Action; the terms of the proposed Settlement and the benefits available to each Class Member; each Class Member's right to participate, submit an exclusion/Opt-Out, or Objection to the proposed Settlement, and the timing and procedures for doing so; the temporary and conditional certification of the Class for settlement purposes only; preliminary Court approval of the proposed Settlement; timing and procedures for distributing the Gross Settlement and the Individual Settlement Payments to the Participating Class Members; and the date of the Final Approval Hearing as well as the rights of the Class to file documentation in support of or in opposition to and appear in connection with said hearing.

ACCORDINGLY, GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, THE COURT HEREBY APPROVES THE PROPOSED CLASS NOTICE PACKET TO THE CLASS AND FINDS that mailing to the last known address of the Class, as specifically described within the Settlement Agreement, constitutes an effective method of notifying Class Members of their rights with respect to the proposed Settlement. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

Within 21 calendar days after entry of the Preliminary Approval Order, Defendants will provide to the Settlement Administrator a list of Class Members that identifies each Class Member

by name, Social Security Number, and last-known address; and specifies the number of weeks worked by each Class Member in a non-exempt position during the Class Period and the PAGA Period (the "Class List"). Defendants will provide the Class List in an Excel file or other format reasonably acceptable to the Settlement Administrator. The Settlement Administrator will keep the list confidential, except it shall be provided to Class Counsel upon request with Social Security Numbers and address information redacted, and Class Counsel agrees to use such information only for the purposes described in this Agreement.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED Upon receipt of the Class List, the Settlement Administrator shall perform a search based upon the National Change of Address Database to update and correct any known or identifiable address changes. The Settlement Administrator shall exercise its best judgment to determine the current mailing address for each Class Member. Within 14 calendar days after receipt of the Class List from Defendants, the Settlement Administrator will send the Class Notice to each Class Member via First Class U.S. Mail. Receipt of the Class Notice shall be presumed as to each and every Class Member whose Class Notice is not returned to the Settlement Administrator as undeliverable within 14 calendar days after mailing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED The Settlement Administrator will re-mail any notice packet returned by the United States Postal Service with a forwarding address on or before the expiration of the Notice Period. It shall be conclusively presumed that those Class Members whose remailed Class Notice is not returned to the Settlement Administrator as undeliverable within 14 calendar days after re-mailing, received the Class Notice. Class Members who receive a re-mailed Class Notice shall have 45 days from the date of the re-mailing to object, opt out, or dispute the workweeks attributed to him or her.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED The Class Notice will include a procedure by which a Class Member may dispute the number of workweeks allocated to the Class Member by submitting a written dispute sent via U.S. Mail to the Settlement Administrator postmarked no later than the expiration of the Notice Period ("Workweek Dispute"). To be valid, a Workweek Dispute must contain the following: (i) the Class Member's full name and current address; (ii) the Action name and/or case number; (iii) the number of workweeks the Class Member maintains is correct; and

(iv) documentary evidence sufficient to prove that Defendants' calculation of the workweeks for the Class Member is incorrect, if any. Upon receipt of notice of a Workweek Dispute, the Settlement Administrator shall promptly serve Defendants' counsel with a copy of the Workweek Dispute and any accompanying papers. Defendants' counsel will inform Class Counsel of any such dispute. No Workweek Dispute shall be effective or considered for any purpose unless it is timely mailed by U.S. mail to and received by the Settlement Administrator as provided above. Defendants shall have the right to respond to the Workweek Dispute by any Class Member. The Settlement Administrator will also attempt to resolve the Workweek Dispute. To the extent the Workweek Dispute cannot be resolved amongst the Parties, the Court will make a final and binding determination of any unresolved dispute.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED Unless a Class Member opts out of the settlement described in this Agreement, the Class Member will be bound by the terms and conditions of this Agreement, including the release of the Released Claims that arose during the Class Period. A Class Member will not be entitled to opt out of the settlement established by this Agreement unless the Class Member submits a valid opt-out request ("Opt-Out Request"). A valid Opt-Out Request must: (i) contain the Class Member's full name and current address; (ii) the Action name and/or case number; (iii) a statement clearly expressing the Class Member's desire to be excluded from (or opt out of) the Settlement; and (iv) be returned so that it is postmarked on or before the expiration of the Notice Period. Alternatively, a Class Member may fill out the Opt-Out Request Form attached to the Class Notice and return it so that it is postmarked on or before the expiration of the Notice Period. Any Class Members who worked during the PAGA Period and who opt out of the Settlement will still be considered Aggrieved Employees for purposes of this Agreement.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED Any Class Member may object to the Settlement. Any written objection must be mailed to the Settlement Administrator (who shall promptly provide a copy to Class Counsel and counsel for Defendants) by the close of the Notice Period. Class Counsel will ensure that any written objections get filed with the Court concurrently with the final approval documents by having it attached to the Settlement Administrator's Declaration. Class Members who have not objected in writing may still appear and be heard at the Settlement Hearing. Written

objections to the Settlement must contain at least the following: (i) the objecting Class Member's full name and current address; (ii) a clear reference to the Action by name and/or case number; and (iii) a statement of the specific reasons why the objector believes the Settlement is unfair or objects to the Settlement. Alternatively, a Class Member may fill out the Notice of Objection to Class and PAGA Action Settlement Form attached to the Class Notice. In addition, though not required, the Parties ask that any objecting Class Member also include a statement of whether the objector intends to appear at the final approval hearing, either in person or through counsel and, if through counsel, a statement identifying that counsel by name, bar number, address, and telephone number. In addition, Class Members may appear at the final approval hearing to state their objection even if they do not submit a written objection during the Notice Period.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a Final Approval hearing shall be held on 2/21/25 at 9.30 as before the Honorable Gregory W. Pollack in Department C-71. At the Final Approval hearing, the Court will determine whether the Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate, and whether the terms of this Preliminary Approval Order and any other applicable legal perquisites to Judgment are satisfied. The Court will also determine the amount properly payable for (i) Attorneys' Fees and Costs, (ii) Enhancement Award, (iii) the Settlement Administration Costs, (iv) Class Member Payments; and (v) the PAGA Payments.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all briefs and materials in support of an Order Granting Final Approval and application for attorneys' fees and costs and class representative Enhancement Award shall be filed with this Court No later than thirty (30) calendar days before the Final Approval Hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if for any reason the Court does not execute and file an Order Granting Final Approval and Judgment, or if the Effective Date does not occur for any reason whatsoever, the Settlement Agreement and the proposed Settlement which is the subject of this Order and all evidence and proceedings had in connection therewith shall be without prejudice to the status quo ante rights of the Parties to the litigation as more specifically set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pending further order of this Court, all proceedings in

1	"a					
1	this matter except those contemplated herein and in the Settlement Agreement are stayed.					
2	The Court expressly reserves the right to adjourn or continue the Final Fairness Approval					
3	Hearing	Hearing from time to time without further notice to the Class.				
4		IT IS SO ORDERED.				
5				1,011		
6	Dated:		_, 2024	Sel Tollar 370124		
7				Honorable Gregory W. Pollack		
8				JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
	11					