

ZAKAY LAW GROUP, APLC

Shani O. Zakay (State Bar #277924)
Jackland K. Hom (State Bar #327243)
Julieann Alvarado (State Bar #334727)
Rachel N. Newman (State Bar #350826)
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Telephone: (619)255-9047
Facsimile: (858) 404-9203
shani@zakaylaw.com
jackland@zakaylaw.com
julieann@zakaylaw.com
rachel@zakaylaw.com

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

SEP 10 2024

By 
Deputy

JCL LAW FIRM, APC

Jean-Claude Lapuyade (State Bar #248676)
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Telephone: (619)599-8292
Facsimile: (619) 599-8291
jlapuyade@jcl-lawfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff PERLA GONZALES

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

PERLA GONZALES, an individual, on behalf
of herself, and on behalf of all persons similarly
situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CM SCHOOL SUPPLY, INC., a California
corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, Inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. CIVSB2133207

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
AND PAGA ACTION SETTLEMENT**

Date: August 20, 2024

Time: 8:30 a.m.

Judge: Hon. Jessica Morgan

Dept.: S-26

1 This matter having come before the Honorable Judge Jessica Morgan of the Superior Court of
2 the State of California, in and for the County of San Bernardino, at 8:30 a.m. on August 20, 2024, with
3 Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq., of the JCL Law Firm, APC and Shani O. Zakay, Esq. of the Zakay Law
4 Group, APLC, as counsel for plaintiff PERLA GONZALES (“Plaintiff”), and Carlson & Jayakumar,
5 LLP appearing for defendant CM SCHOOL SUPPLY, INC., (hereinafter “Defendant”). The Court,
6 having carefully considered the briefs, argument of counsel and all the matters presented to the Court,
7 and good cause appearing, hereby GRANTS Plaintiff’s Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class
8 Action Settlement.

9 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

10 1. The Court preliminarily approves the Stipulation of Class and PAGA Action Claims and
11 Release of Claims (“Settlement Agreement” or “Agreement”), a true and correct copy of which is
12 attached hereto as **Exhibit “1.”** This is based on the Court’s determination that the Settlement
13 Agreement is within the range of possible final approval, pursuant to the provisions of Section 382 of
14 the California Code of Civil Procedure and California Rules of Court, rule 3.769.

15 2. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Agreement, and all terms
16 defined therein shall have the same meaning in this Order as set forth in the Agreement.

17 3. Subject to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Gross Settlement Amount that
18 Defendant shall pay is Three Hundred Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$300,000.00). It appears to
19 the Court on a preliminary basis that the settlement amount and terms are fair, adequate, and reasonable
20 as to all Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to
21 certification, liability, and damages issues. It further appears that investigation and research have been
22 conducted such that counsel for the Parties are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. It
23 further appears to the Court that settlement at this time will avoid substantial additional costs by all
24 Parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the
25 litigation. It further appears that the Settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious, and
26 non-collusive arms-length negotiations.

27 4. The Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement appears to be within the range of
28

