1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	James R. Hawkins, Esq. SBN 192925 Isandra Fernandez, Esq. SBN 220482 Anthony L. Draper, Esq. SBN 344391 JAMES HAWKINS APLC 9880 Research Drive, Suite 200 Irvine, CA 92618 TEL: (949) 387-7200 FAX: (949) 387-6676 Isandra@jameshawkinsaplc.com Anthony@jameshawkinsaplc.com Staff@jameshawkinsaplc.com Attorneys for Plaintiff, ELOY MONDRAGON on behalf of himself and all others similarly situation	FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 11/26/2024  David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court By: E. Muñoz Deputy
9 10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
11	ELOY MONDRAGON on behalf of himself	Case No. 22STCV31131
12	and all others similarly situated	ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO: JUDGE: Hon. William F. Highberger
13	Plaintiff,	DEPT: 10
14	vs.	
15	SAINT-GOBAIN PERFORMANCE	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF
16	PLASTICS CORPORATION, a California	CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
17	corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	1	

WHEREAS, this action is pending before this Court as a putative class action (the "Action"); and

WHEREAS, Plaintiff, through an unopposed motion for preliminary approval, has applied to this Court for an order preliminarily approving the settlement of the Action in accordance with the Settlement Agreement), and any exhibit annexed thereto, which sets forth the terms and conditions for a proposed settlement and final resolution of the Action upon the terms and conditions set forth therein; and the Court having read and considered the Settlement Agreement and the exhibit annexed thereto;

## NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the final version of the Settlement Agreement which has been filed with the Court and all terms defined therein shall have the same meaning in this Order as set forth in the Settlement Agreement;
- 2. The Court hereby conditionally certifies the Class for settlement purposes only. For the purposes of this settlement, the Class is defined as: All current and former non-exempt employees employed by Defendant in California at any time between April 8, 2021 and April 16, 2024 (or if any such person is incompetent, deceased, or unavailable due to military service, that person's legal representative or successor in interest evidenced by reasonable verification.)

  Should for whatever reason the Settlement not become final, the fact that the Parties were willing to stipulate to class certification as part of the Settlement shall have no bearing on, nor be admissible in connection with, the issue of whether a class should be certified in a non-settlement context;
- 3. Aggrieved Employees shall mean Plaintiff and all current and former non-exempt employees employed in California at any time between September 22, 2021 and April 16, 2024;
- 4. The Court hereby authorizes the retention of Apex Class Administration. as Settlement Administrator for the purpose of this Settlement;
- 5. The Court hereby conditionally finds that James R. Hawkins, Isandra Fernandez, and Anthony Draper of the law firm of James Hawkins, APLC may act as counsel for the Class.

The Court further conditionally finds that Plaintiff Eloy Mondragon may act as the class representative for the Class;

- 6. The Court hereby preliminarily APPROVES the proposed Notice of Class Action Settlement (attached as Exhibit 2 hereto). The Court further finds that the Class Notice appears to fully and accurately inform the Class Members of all material elements of the proposed Settlement Agreement, of the Class Members' right to be excluded from the Class, and of each Class Member's right and opportunity to object to the Settlement. The Notice shall be mailed to the Class Members as set forth in the Settlement Agreement;
- 7. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the Settlement Agreement appears to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that could ultimately be given final approval by this Court. It appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that the settlement amount is fair, adequate and reasonable as to all potential Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to liability and damages issues. It further appears that investigation and research have been conducted such that counsel for the Parties, at this time, are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. It further appears to the Court that settlement at this time will avoid substantial additional costs by all Parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the Action. It further appears that the Settlement has been reached as the result of serious and non-collusive, armslength negotiations;
  - 8. The Court orders the following implementation schedule for further proceedings:

Preliminary approval order.	FFFEE FEE
Deadline for Defendants to provide the	FCEQÎ EQ , 202_ (within twenty
Class List to the Settlement Administrator.	(30) calendar days after Court Grants
	Preliminary Approval).

Mail notices to Settlement Class Members.	2025 (within fifteen (30)
	calendar days after Settlement
	Administrator Receives Class List from
	Defendant).
Deadline for postmark by registered mail	
of any Request for Exclusion.	days after Settlement Administrator first
	mails Notice of Class Settlement to
	Settlement Class Members).
Deadline for receipt by the Settlement	
Administrator of any objections to the	days after Settlement Administrator first
Settlement.	mails Notice of Class Settlement to
	Settlement Class Members).
Deadline for Class Counsel to file Motion	
for Final Approval of Settlement.	
Final Fairness and Final Approval Hearing.	

IT IS SO ORDERED.

**Dated:** 11/26/2024

Hon. William F Highberger

Judge of the Los Angeles County Superior Court