1 2 3 4 5 6 7	MELMED LAW GROUP P.C. Jonathan Melmed (SBN 290218) jm@melmedlaw.com Laura Supanich (SBN 314805) lms@melmedlaw.com Rebecca Harteker (SBN 349793) rh@melmedlaw.com 1801 Century Park East, Suite 850 Los Angeles, California 90067 Phone: (310) 824-3828 Fax: (310) 862-6851		FILED Superior Court of California County of Sacramento 12/17/2024 V. Aleman, Deputy		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff, the Putative Class, and the Aggrieved Employees				
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO				
11 12	GEORGIA GARCIA, an individual, on behalf of herself, the State of California, as a private	Case Number: 23	CV008460		
13	attorney general, and on behalf of all others	[Proposed] Order	r Granting Preliminary		
14	similarly situated,	Approval of Clas	ss Action Settlement		
15	Plaintiff,	Hearing Date:	December 6, 2024		
16	v.	Hearing Time: Dept.:	9:00 a.m. 22		
17		Judge:	Hon. Lauri A. Damrell		
18	SHUTTERFLY LIFETOUCH, LLC, a Minnesota Limited Liability Company; and	Complaint Filed:	,		
19	DOES 1 TO 50,	Trial Date:	None Set		
20	Defendants.				
21					
22					
23					
24					
. 25					
26					
27					
28					

Electronically Received 12/12/2024 03:03 PM

2 3 4

5

6 7

9

8

10 11

13

12

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

23

22

24

25 26

27

28

PROPOSED ORDER

This matter came for hearing on December 6, 2024, at 9:00 a.m., regarding Plaintiff's unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (the "Motion") on the terms set forth in the parties' Settlement Agreement and Release of Class Action (the "Settlement Agreement") attached as Exhibit A to the concurrently filed Declaration of Jonathan Melmed in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. Having considered the Settlement Agreement, all papers and proceedings held herein, and having reviewed the entire record in this action, the Court hereby finds and orders:

- 1. The Court grants Plaintiff leave file the overlength brief attached to the Motion.
- 2. All terms used in this order shall have the same meaning as defined in the Motion.
- 3. The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement Agreement and the "Class" (as that term is defined in the Motion) based on the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 4. The resolution set forth in the Settlement Agreement appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable to the Class, including the payment of the non-reversionary gross settlement amount of \$757,320.00 ("Gross Settlement Amount") by Defendant.
- 5. The Settlement Agreement, including the Gross Settlement Amount, falls within the range of reasonableness and is presumptively valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at the final fairness hearing and final approval by this Court.
- 6. A final fairness hearing on the question of whether the proposed Settlement Agreement, the attorneys' fees and costs to Plaintiff's counsel, and Plaintiff's service award should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate as to the members of the Class is scheduled in this department on the date and time set forth in the implementation schedule below.
- 7. This Court approves, as to form and content, the proposed class notice attached as Exhibit 1 to the Settlement Agreement ("Class Notice") to be distributed to the Class Members pursuant to the Settlement Agreement in substantially the same form. The Court approves the procedure for Class Members to participate in, to opt out of, and to object to the settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

- 8. The Court directs the mailing of the Class Notice by first class mail to the Class Members in accordance with the implementation schedule set forth below. The Court finds the dates selected for the mailing and distribution of the Class Notice, as set forth in the implementation schedule, meet the requirements of due process and constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances and due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.
- 9. The Court preliminarily certifies the Class, as defined in the Motion, for settlement purposes.
 - 10. The Court confirms Plaintiff Georgia Garcia ("Plaintiff") as class representative.
- 11. The court confirms Jonathan Melmed, and Laura Supanich of Melmed Law Group P.C. as class counsel.
 - 12. The Court appoints APEX Class Action Administration as the settlement administrator.
- 13. To facilitate administration of the Settlement Agreement pending final approval, the court hereby enjoins Plaintiff and all Class Members from filing or prosecuting any claims, suits, or administrative proceedings (including filing claims with the Division of Labor Standards Enforcement of the California Department of Industrial Relations) regarding the claims to be released by the Settlement Agreement, unless and until such Class Members have filed valid requests for exclusion with the Settlement Administrator and the time for filing claims with the Settlement Administrator has elapsed.
 - 14. The Court orders the following implementation schedule for further proceedings:

a.	Deadline for Defendant to Submit Class List to the Settlement Administrator	[Within thirty (30) calendar days of this order.]	
b.	Deadline for Settlement Administrator to Mail Notice Packets to all Class Members	[Within twenty-eight (28) calendar days of receipt of the Class Data from Defendants.]	
c.	Deadline for Class Members to Postmark Workweeks Challenges	[Within forty-five (45) days after mailing of the Class Notice.]	
d.	Deadline for Class Members to Postmark Requests for Exclusion	[Within forty-five (45) days after mailing of the Class Notice.]	
e.	Deadline for Class Members to Submit Any Objections to Settlement	[Within forty-five (45) days after mailing of the Class Notice.]	

			<u>, </u>			
1 2	f.	Deadline for Settlement Administrator to Provide Class Counsel with Declaration of Due Diligence	[At least thirty (30) calendar days prior to the deadline for Plaintiff's motion for final approval to be filed.]			
3	f	Final Approval and Fairness Hearing	June 6, 2025 at 9:00 a.m.			
4	<u> </u>					
5	15. If any of the dates in this implementation schedule fall on a weekend, bank or court					
6	holid	holiday, the time to act shall be extended to the next business day.				
7		IT IS SO ORDERED.				
8 9		12/17/0824	Zalle			
10	Dated		LAURI A. DAMRELL			
11			of the Superior Court, County of Sacramento			
12		On the state of th	•			
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
20						