Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 03/10/2025

Ву	R. Arraiga	Deputy

### JCL LAW FIRM, APC

Jean-Claude Lapuyade (State Bar #248676)

Perssia Razma (State Bar #351398)

5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600

San Diego, CA 92121

Telephone: (619) 599-8292

ilapuyade@jcl-lawfirm.com

prazma@jcl-lawfirm.com

# **ZAKAY LAW GROUP, APLC**

Shani O. Zakay (State Bar #277924)

Eden Zakay (State Bar #339536)

5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600

San Diego, CA 92121

Telephone: (619) 255-9047

shani@zakaylaw.com

eden@zakaylaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff UVALDO CHAVEZ

#### SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

UVALDO CHAVEZ, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated:

Plaintiff,

VS.

BORRMANN METAL CENTER, a California corporation; CONTRACTORS HOLDING, LLC, a Delaware corporation; **CONTRACTORS** STEEL COMPANY. Michigan corporation; UPG ENTERPRISES, LLC, Delaware limited liability company; UP INVESTMENT HOLDINGS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 22STCV34521

[PROPOSED] AMENDED ORDER AND JUDGMENT **GRANTING** FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT, APPROVAL OF CLASS COUNSEL AWARD **AND** CLASS REPRESENTATIVE SERVICE AWARD

Date: February 4, 2025 Time: 10:00 a.m.

Judge: Hon. Elaine Lu

Dept.:

Plaintiff's motion for an order finally approving the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement and Class Notice, fully executed on December 13, 2024 (jointly referred to as the "Agreement") and Motion for Class Counsel Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Costs Payment and Class Representative Award duly came on for hearing on February 4, 2025, before the above-entitled Court. The Zakay Law Group, APLC, appeared on behalf of Plaintiff Uvaldo Chavez ("Plaintiff"). Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak, & Stewart, P.C. appeared on behalf of Defendants Borrmann Metal Center; Contractors Steel Holding, LLC; Contractors Steel Company; UPG Enterprises, LLC; And Up Investment Holdings, LLC. ("Defendants").

## I. FINDINGS

Based on the oral and written argument and evidence presented in connection with the motion, the Court makes the following findings:

- 1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Agreement.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation pending in the California Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles ("Court"), Case No. 22STCV34521, entitled *Chavez v. Borrmann Metal Center, et al.*, and over all Parties to this litigation, including the Class.

## **Preliminary Approval of the Settlement**

3. On August 12, 2024, the Court granted preliminary approval of a class-wide settlement. At this same time, the Court approved certification of a provisional settlement class for settlement purposes only. The Court confirms this Order and finally approves the settlement and the certification of the Class.

# **Notice to the Class**

4. In compliance with the Preliminary Approval Order, the Notice Packet was mailed by first class U.S. Mail to the Class Members at their last known addresses on September 9, 2024. Mailing of the Notice Packet to the Class Members' last known addresses was the best notice practicable under the circumstances and was reasonably calculated to communicate actual notice of the litigation and the proposed settlement to the members of the Class Members. The Court finds

1	that the Notice Packet provided fully satisfies the requirements of California Rules of Court, rule		
2	3.769.		
3	5. The Response Deadline for opting out or objecting was October 24, 2024.		
4	There was an adequate interval between notice and deadline to permit Class Members to choose		
5	what to do and act on their decision. Zero Class Members objected. Zero Class Members requested		
6	to be excluded.		
7	Fairness Of The Settlement		
8	6. The Agreement provides for a Gross Settlement Amount of \$900,000.00 The		
9	Agreement is entitled to a presumption of fairness. (Dunk v. Ford Motor Co. (1996) 48 Cal.App.4th		
10	1794, 1801.)		
11	a. The settlement was reached through arms-length bargaining between		
12	the parties. There is no evidence of any collusion between the parties in reaching the proposed		
13	settlement.		
14	b. The Parties' investigation and discovery have been sufficient to allow		
15	the Court and counsel to act intelligently.		
16	c. Counsel for all parties are experienced in similar employment class		
17	action litigation and have previously settled similar class claims on behalf of employees claiming		
18	compensation. All counsel recommended approval of the Settlement.		
19	d. No objections were received. No requests for exclusion were		
20	received.		
21	e. The participation rate is high. 213 Class Members will be		
22	participating in the Settlement and will be sent settlement payments.		
23	7. The consideration to be given to the Class Members under the terms of the		
24	Agreement is fair, reasonable, and adequate considering the strengths and weaknesses of the claims		
25	asserted in this Action and is fair, reasonable, and adequate compensation for the release of the		
26	Released Class Claims, given the uncertainties and risks of the litigation and the delays which would		
27	ensue from continued prosecution of the Action.		
28			

8. The Agreement is finally approved as fair, adequate, and reasonable and in the best interests of the Settlement Class Members.

## **PAGA Payment**

9. The Agreement provides for a payment of PAGA Payment in the amount of \$40,000.00. The Court has reviewed the PAGA Payment and finds and determines that the PAGA Payment and the allocation of \$30,000.00 to the LWDA and \$10,000.00 to the Aggrieved Employees is fair and reasonable and complies with the requirements set forth in *Moniz v. Adecco USA, Inc.* (2021) 72 Cal.App.5th 56.

# Class Counsel Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Expenses Payment

- 10. The Agreement provides for a Class Counsel Attorneys' Fees of not more than one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount and Litigation Expenses not to exceed \$30,000.00. The Gross Settlement Amount is \$900,000, one-third of which is \$300,000. Litigation Expenses are \$20,195.70.
- 11. Class Counsel Attorneys' Fees of \$300,000.00 and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses of \$20,195.70 are reasonable in light of the contingent nature of Class Counsel's fee, the hours worked by Class Counsel, and the results achieved by Class Counsel. The requested attorneys' fee award represents one-third (1/3) of the Gross Settlement Amount, which is reasonable and at the low end of the range for fee awards in common fund cases and is supported by Class Counsel's lodestar.

## **Class Representative Service Payment**

12. The Agreement provides for a Class Representative Service Payments of up to \$10,000.00 for the Plaintiff, subject to the Court's approval. The Court finds that the amount of \$7,500 is reasonable in light of the risks and burdens undertaken by the Plaintiff in this class action litigation.

## **Settlement Administration Expenses Payment**

13. The Agreement provides for Settlement Administration Expenses Payment to be paid in an amount not to exceed \$8,000.00. The Declaration of the Settlement Administrator provides that the actual claims administration expenses were \$8,000.00. The amount of this

1	ayment is reasonable in light of the work performed by the Settlement Administrator.		
2	II. ORDERS		
3	Based on the foregoing findings, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:		
4	1. The Class is certified for the purposes of settlement only. The Settlemen		
5	Class is hereby defined as:		
6	All non-exempt employees who are or previously were employed by Borrmann Metal		
7	Center and performed work in California at any time during the Class Period. The		
8	Class Period is October 27, 2018 through February 29, 2024.		
9	2. There are 213 participating members of the Class. Every person in the Class		
0	who did not opt out is a Settlement Class Member. After providing Notice to the Class of 213		
1	individuals, there were zero opt-outs to the Settlement.		
2	3. The Agreement is hereby approved as fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the		
3	best interest of the Class. The Parties are ordered to effectuate the Settlement in accordance with		
4	this Order and the terms of the Agreement.		
5	4. Defendants shall fund the Gross Settlement Amount on the Funding Date.		
6	Upon entry of final judgment and funding of the Gross Settlement Amount, (i) Plaintiff Uvaldo		
7	Chavez and each member of the Settlement Class shall release the "Released Class Claims"		
8	against the Defendants and all of the "Released Parties," as set forth in the Agreement, (ii)		
9	Plaintiff Uvaldo Chavez, the Labor and Workforce Development Agency, the State of California,		
20	and each of the "Aggrieved Employees" shall release the "Released PAGA Claims" against the		
21	Defendants and all of the "Released Parties," as set forth in the Agreement, and (iii) Plaintiff		
22	Uvaldo Chavez shall be conclusively determined to have given a general release of all claims,		
23	known and unknown, against the Released Parties, as set forth in the Agreement.		
24	a. The Released Parties are defined Defendants Borrmann Metal		
25	Center, Inc., Contractors Steel Holding, LLC, Contractors Steel Company, UPG Enterprises, LLC		
26	and UP Investment Holdings, LLC, and each of their past, present and/or future, direct and/or		
27	indirect, officers, directors, members, managers, employees, agents, representatives, attorneys,		
, ,			

12

13

14

20

19

18

21

23 24

25

26

27

28

insurers, partners, investors, shareholders, owners, administrators, parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, divisions, predecessors, successors, assigns, and joint venturers,

h. The Released Class Claims are defined as all causes of action and factual or legal theories that (i) are alleged in the Operative Complaint or (ii) reasonably could have been alleged based on the facts and legal theories contained in the Operative Complaint including all of the following claims for relief: (a) failure to pay all and overtime wages due; (b) failure to provide proper meal periods and to properly provide premium pay in lieu thereof; (c) failure to provide proper rest periods, and to properly provide premium pay in lieu thereof; (d) failure to pay all minimum wages due: (e) failure to pay all wages timely during employment; (f) failure to pay all wages timely at the time of termination; (g) failure to provide complete, accurate or properly formatted wage statements; (h) failure to reimburse business expenses; (i) unfair business practices that could have been premised on the claims, causes of action or legal theories of relief described above or any of the claims, causes of action or legal theories of relief pleaded in the operative complaint; (j) failure to keep requisite payroll records; (k) any other claims or penalties under the wage and hour laws pleaded in the Action; and (1) all damages, penalties, interest and other amounts recoverable under said claims, causes of action or legal theories of relief. The Released Class Claims expressly exclude claims for penalties under the Private Attorney General Act (PAGA). The period of the release of Released Class Claims shall extend to the limits of the Class Period. The res judicata effect of the Judgment will be the same as that of this release of Released Class Claims. Defendants and each of the Released Parties shall be entitled to a release of all Released Class Claims which occurred during the Class Period only during such time that the Settlement Class member was classified as non-exempt, and expressly excluding all other claims, including claims for vested benefits, wrongful termination, unemployment insurance, disability, social security, workers' compensation, claims while classified as exempt, and claims outside of the Class Period.

The Released PAGA Claims are defined as all causes of action and c. factual or legal theories for civil penalties under the California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 against any of the Released Parties that (i) were alleged in the Operative

13 14

15

16 17

19

18

20 21

24

22

25

27 28 Complaint and in Plaintiff's notice of claims to the LWDA, or (ii) reasonably could have been alleged based on the facts and legal theories contained in the Operative Complaint and in Plaintiffs notice of claims to the LWDA. The period of the release of Released PAGA Claims shall extend to the limits of the PAGA Period. The res judicata effect of the Judgment will be the same as that of this release of Released PAGA Claims. Defendants and each of the Released Parties shall be entitled to a release of all Released PAGA Claims which occurred during the PAGA Period only during such time that the Aggrieved Employee was classified as non-exempt, and expressly excluding all other claims, including claims for vested benefits, wrongful termination, unemployment insurance, disability, social security, workers' compensation, claims while classified as exempt, and claims outside of the PAGA Period.

- The term "Aggrieved Employees" is hereby defined as all nond. exempt employees who are or previously were employed by Borrmann Metal Center and performed work in California during the PAGA Period. The PAGA Period is defined as the period beginning on November 30, 2022 through February 29, 2024.
- 5. Class Counsel are awarded a Class Counsel Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Expenses Payment in the amount of Three Hundred Twenty Thousand One Hundred Ninety-Five Dollars and Seventy Cents (\$320,195.70) comprised of attorneys' fees in the amount of Three Hundred Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$300,000.00) and reimbursement of costs and expenses in the amount of Twenty Thousand One Hundred Ninety-Five Dollars and Seventy Cents (\$20,195.70). Class Counsel shall not seek or obtain any other compensation or reimbursement from Defendants, Plaintiffs, or members of the Class.
- The payment of the Class Representative Service Payment to Plaintiff in the amount of \$10,000 is reduced to \$7,500 and approved. The payment of \$8,000.00 to the Settlement Administrator for the Administration Expenses Payment is approved.
- 7. The PAGA Payment of \$40,000.00 is hereby approved as fair, reasonable, adequate, and adequately protects the interests of the public and the LWDA. Further, the Court finds that Plaintiffs and Class Counsel negotiated the PAGA Payment at arms-length, absent of any fraud or collusion.

4

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26 27

28

- 8. The Court further finds and determines that Class Counsel satisfied California Labor Code § 2699(s)(2) by giving the LWDA notice of the proposed Settlement of claims arising under the Private Attorney General Act ("PAGA").
- 9. The Court orders Class Counsel to comply with California Labor Code § 2699(1)(3) by providing the LWDA a copy of this order within ten (10) calendar days of the Court's entry of this Order.
- 10. The Agreement is not an admission by Defendants, nor is this Final Approval Order nor the Final Judgment (as that term is defined, below) a finding, of the validity of any claims in the Action or of any wrongdoing by Defendants. Neither this Final Approval Order, the Final Judgment, the Settlement, nor any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Settlement is, may be construed as, or may be used as an admission by or against Defendants of any fault, wrongdoing, or liability whatsoever. The entering into or carrying out of the Agreement, and any negotiations or proceedings related thereto, shall not in any event be construed as, or deemed to be evidence of, an admission or concession with regard to the denials or defenses by Defendants and shall not be offered in evidence in any action or proceeding against Defendants in any court, administrative agency or other tribunal for any purpose as an admission whatsoever other than to enforce the provisions of this Final Approval Order, the Final Judgment, the Settlement, or any related agreement or release. Notwithstanding these restrictions, any of the Parties may file in the Action or in any other proceeding this Final Approval Order, the Final Judgment, the Agreement, or any other papers and records on file in the Action as evidence of the Settlement to support a defense of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, or other theory of claim or issue preclusion or similar defense as to the claims being released by the Settlement.
- 11. Notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be given to Class Counsel on behalf of Plaintiff and all Class Members. It shall not be necessary to send notice of entry of this Final Approval Order to individual Class Members and the Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be posted on Settlement Administrator's website as indicated in the Notice Packet.

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

28