1	KENNETH H. YOON (State Bar No. 198443) STEPHANIE E. YASUDA (State Bar No. 265480)					
2	YOON LAW, APC	50)				
3	751 N. Fair Oaks Avenue, Suite 102 Pasadena, California 91103					
4	Telephone: (213) 612-0988 Facsimile: (213) 947-1211					
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff Pierre Redmond					
6						
7						
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
9	FOR THE COUNTY					
10	TOX THE COUNTY	OI LOS MIGLELS				
11	PIERRE REDMOND, as an individual and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No.: 23STCV06591				
12	Plaintiff,	[Assigned for all purposes to Hon. Carolyn B.				
13	V.	Kuhl, Dept. 12]				
14	FISHER TANK COMPANY, a corporation;	NOTICE OF ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF PIERRE REDMOND'S				
15	and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS AND PAGA				
16	Defendants.	ACTION SETTLEMENT				
17		<u>Final Approval Hearing</u> Date: July 15, 2025				
18		Time: 10:30. Place: Department 12				
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27 28						
20						
		1				

NOTICE OF MINUTE ORDER AND INITIAL STATUS CONFERENCE

TO THE PARTIES AND ALL COUNSEL OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on February 13, 2025, the Court issued an Order and set a Final Approval Hearing in the above-referenced action. Copies of the Court's Order is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

6 Dated: February 13, 2025

YOON LAW, APC

Kenneth H. Yoon

Attorneys for Plaintiff Pierre Redmond

EXHIBIT A

1		FILED				
2		Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles				
3		02/13/2025				
4		Dawkd W. Sbaγton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Colum By:L. Mf GreenéDeputy				
5						
6						
7						
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES					
10						
11	PIERRE REDMOND, as an individual and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No.: 23STCV06591				
12	Plaintiff,	[Assigned for all purposes to Hon. Carolyn B. Kuhl, Dept. 12]				
13	v.	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING				
13	FISHER TANK COMPANY, a corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	PLAINTIFF PIERRE REDMOND'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY				
	Defendants.	APPROVAL OF CLASS AND PAGA ACTION SETTLEMENT				
15	Defendants.	ACTION SETTLEMENT				
16		Date: February 13, 2025				
17		Time: 10:00 a.m. Place: Department 12				
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
		-1-				

<u>ORDER</u>

WHEREAS, Plaintiff Pierre Redmond ("Plaintiff" or "Class Representative"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, has filed an unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class and PAGA Action Settlement ("Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval") requesting an order preliminarily approving the settlement of the above-entitled action ("Action") in accordance with the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement"), entered into by Plaintiff and Defendant Fisher Tank Company (collectively "Defendant") (Plaintiff and Defendant shall sometimes be collectively referred to herein as the "Parties").

The Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings herein and otherwise being fully informed of the premises and good cause appearing therefore,

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. This Preliminary Approval Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the settlement and all terms defined therein shall have the same meaning in this Order.
- 2. The settlement is hereby PRELIMINARILY APPROVED as it appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that (a) the settlement is fair, adequate and reasonable; (b) the Gross Settlement Amount and Net Settlement Amount are fair, adequate and reasonable when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to liability and damages issues; (c) extensive and costly investigation and research have been conducted such that the Parties' respective counsel at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions; (d) settlement at this time will avoid substantial additional costs by all Parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the Action; and (e) the settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious and non-collusive, arms-length negotiations.
- 3. The Court finds preliminarily, and for purposes of proceeding pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 for approval of the Settlement only, that Class Members are ascertainable and sufficiently numerous that joinder of all Class Members is impracticable, there are questions of law and fact common to the Class that predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class Members, Plaintiff's claims are typical of those in the Class, class certification is a

superior method for implementing the Settlement and adjudicating this Action in a fair and efficient manner, the Class Representative can fairly and adequately protect the Class's interests, and Class Counsel are qualified to serve as counsel for the Plaintiff in both individual and representative capacities and for the Class.

- 4. Accordingly, solely for purposes of effectuating this Settlement, this Court hereby conditionally certifies the "Class" and preliminarily approves the definition of the "Class Period."
- 5. The Court hereby preliminarily appoints Kenneth Yoon and Stephanie Yasuda, Yoon Law, APC as Class Counsel for settlement purposes only. Class Counsel are authorized to act on behalf of the Class Members with respect to all acts or consents required by, or which may be given pursuant to, the Settlement, and such other acts reasonably necessary to consummate the Settlement. Any Class Member may enter an appearance through counsel of such individual's own choosing and at such individual's own expense. Any Class Member who does not enter an appearance or appear on his or her own will be represented by Class Counsel.
- 6. The Court hereby preliminarily appoints and designates Plaintiff for all purposes, as the Class Representative of the Class.
- 7. Should, for whatever reason, the Settlement not become final, the fact that the Parties were willing to stipulate to certification of the Class as part of the Settlement shall have no bearing on, nor be admissible in connection with, the issue of whether a class should be certified in a non-settlement context.
- 8. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the definition and disposition of the Gross Settlement Amount and Net Settlement Amount and related matters provided for in the settlement.
- 9. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the settlement appears to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that could ultimately be given final approval by this Court. The Court has reviewed the monetary recovery that is being granted as part of the Settlement and recognizes its significant value to the Class.
- 10. The Court hereby preliminarily approves Class Counsel Fees Payment in the amount of \$68,250.00, actual litigation expenses (no more than \$15,000.00), Class Representative Service Payment to Plaintiff in the amount of \$15,000.00, actual Administrator Expenses Payment (no more

than \$4,000.00) and the PAGA Penalties of \$5,600.00 (\$4,200.00 of which is being awarded to the State of California). The Court hereby approves, as to form and content, the Class Notice to be distributed to Class Members attached as **Exhibit A** to the Agreement.

- 11. The Court finds that distribution of the Class Notice, substantially in the manner and form set forth in the settlement and this Order, meets the requirements of due process, is the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.
- 12. The Court hereby appoints Apex Class Action LLC, as Administrator and hereby directs (a) Defendant to provide to the Settlement Administrator within fourteen (14) calendar days of this Order the Class Data containing the information required in the Agreement and (b) the Administrator to mail or cause to be mailed to Class Members the Class Notice, by first class mail within three (3) business days of receipt of the class list and using the procedures set forth in the Agreement. Class Members who wish to participate in and be bound by the settlement do not need to do anything.
- 13. All costs of mailing of the Class Notice, whether foreseen or not, shall be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount, including the cost of searching for Class Members' addresses as provided in the settlement. All other reasonable costs of the Administrator shall also be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount as provided in the Settlement.
- 15. Pending the Final Approval Hearing, all proceedings in this Action, other than proceedings necessary to carry out or enforce the terms of the Agreement and this Order, are hereby stayed.
- 16. If any Class Member decides to participate in the Settlement and become a Participating Class Member but disagrees with Defendant's records as to his or her employment information during the Class Period as reflected in the Class Notice, the Participating Class Member

shall have an opportunity to challenge the information by following the instructions that are set forth in the Agreement and Class Notice, within the required time period described therein. In the event of a challenge, it shall be resolved pursuant to the procedures set out in the Class Notice.

- 17. Any Class Member may choose to opt-out of and be excluded from the Class by following the instructions for submitting a request for exclusion from the Class that are set forth in the Agreement and Class Notice, within the required time period described therein. Any such person who chooses to opt-out of and be excluded from the Class will not be entitled to any recovery under the settlement (excepting the Released PAGA Claims) and will not be bound by the settlement or have any right to object, appeal or comment thereon. Each Class Member who has not requested exclusion/opted-out shall be a Participating Class Member and bound by all determinations of the Court, the Settlement and the final judgment.
- 18. Any Participating Class Member may object to or submit comments by following the instructions for filing and serving objections or comments that are set forth in the Agreement and Class Notice, within the required time period described therein. The Court shall retain final authority with respect to the consideration and admissibility of any objections. Any Participating Class Member who objects to the settlement shall be bound by the order of the Court. It is further ordered that pending further order of this Court, all proceedings in this matter except those contemplated herein and as part of the settlement are stayed.
- 19. The settlement is not a concession or admission, and shall not be used against the Released Parties as an admission or indication with respect to any claim of any fault or omission by the Releasees or any Cross-Defendant. Whether or not the settlement is finally approved, neither the settlement, nor any document, statement, proceeding or conduct related to the settlement, nor any reports or accounts thereof, shall in any event be: (a) construed as, offered or admitted in evidence as, received as or deemed to be evidence for any purpose adverse to the Released Parties or any Cross-Defendant, including, but not limited to, evidence of a presumption, concession, indication or admission by the Released Parties of any liability, fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession or damage; or (b) disclosed, referred to, offered or received in evidence against any of the Released

1	Parties in any further proceeding in the Action, or in any other action or proceeding, except for				
2	purposes of enforcing the settlement once it receives final approval.				
3	20. Jurisdiction is hereby retained over this Action, the Parties to the Action, and each of				
4	the Class Members for all matters relating to this Action, and this Settlement, including (without				
5	limitation) all matters relating to the administration, interpretation, effectuation, and/or enforcement				
6	of this Settlement and this Order, including per California Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6 and				
7	California Rule of Court 3.769(h).				
8	21. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the Final Approval				
9	Hearing and all dates provided for in the settlement without further notice to Class Members, and				
10	retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the proposed				
11	settlement.				
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
13	02/13/2025 Carolyn B. Kuhl / Judge				
14	Dated: Hon. Carolyn B. Kuhl				
15	Judge of the California Superior Court				
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

1	STAT	E OF CALIFORNIA)		
2	COUN	NTY OF LOS ANGELES	}	SS.	
3	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 year and not a party to the within action; my business address is 751 N. Fair Oaks Avenue, Pasadena, Californi				
5	91103	91103. On February 13, 2025, I served the following documents described as:			
6	NOTICE OF ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF PIERRE REDMOND'S MOTION				
7	FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS AND PAGA ACTION SETTLEMENT				
9	on all interested parties in this action by placing true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as shown on the attached mailing list.				
10	[]	(BY FACSIMILE)	0.55 ****	ations of this office. The telephone number of the	
11	facsimile machine I used was (213)		ess practices of this office. The telephone number of the 489-9961. This facsimile machine complies with Rules Court. Upon transmission, no error was reported by the		
12	facsimile machine and a printed copy of the machine's transmission record indicating that transmission was successfully completed is attached to this declaration.				
13 14	[] (BY PERSONAL SERVICE) By having copies personally delivered to the designated party(ies).				
	[X] (BY E-MAIL)				
15 16	Pursuant on a Court order or on an agreement by both parties to accept electronic service, transmitted the document(s) listed above to the persons at the e-mail addresses set forth below of this date. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful confirming that the message and				
17					
18	[]	(BY MAIL)			
19	I am familiar with my employer's medical collected and deposited with the Unit		nail collection and processing practices; know that mail is ted States Postal Services on the same day it is deposited in ostage thereon is fully prepaid.		
20		•		• • •	
21	II -	's prac	tice of collection and processing correspondence		
22	Express Courier on that same day at			actice it would be deposited with the Federal ngeles, California in the ordinary course of	
23				1	
24	[X]	(State) I declare under penalty of per	jury tha	t the above is true and correct.	
25	[]	(Federal) I declare that I am employ direction the service was made.	ed in th	e office of a member the Bar of this Court at whose	
26		Executed on February 13, 2025, at Pa	sadena	, California.	
27		•		0 2	
28				CLAMENA CONCIDI	

PROOF OF SERVICE

Pierre Redmond v. Fisher Tank Company 1 Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. 23STCV06591 2 **SERVICE LIST** 3 **Attorneys for Defendant Fisher Tank Company** 4 Michael Kessler 5 <mkessler@ohaganmeyer.com> Clint Robison 6 <crobison@ohaganmeyer.com> Angelina Allen-Rothwell <aallen-rothwell@ohaganmeyer.com> 8 Erica Berkovics <eberkovics@ohaganmeyer.com> 9 Ediel Camacho <ECamacho@ohaganmeyer.com> 10 O'HAGAN MEYER 21650 Oxnard Street, Suite 530 11 Woodland Hills, CA 91367 12 Telephone: (213) 306-1610 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

26

27

28