ı							
	James R. Hawkins, Esq. SBN 192925						
	Isandra Fernandez, Esq. SBN 220482 Lance Dacre, Esq. SBN 190305 JAMES HAWKINS APLC	<b>FILE D</b> Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles					
	9880 Research Drive, Suite 200 Irvine, CA 92618			02/24/2025			
	TEL: (949) 387-7200 FAX: (949) 387-6676			yton, Executive Officer.			
	TAA. (747) 307-0070		Ву:	l. Arellanes	Deputy		
	Attorneys for Plaintiff, SAMANTHA VVANTI on behalf of herself and all others similarly situate [Additional Counsel on Next Page]	ed					
	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
	COUNTY OF	LOS ANG	GELES				
		LOGIAN	<b>JEEL</b> S				
	SAMANTHA VVANTI on behalf of herself and	Case N	o. 19STC	V00091			
11	all others similarly situated,	ASSIG	NED FOF	R ALL PURPOSE	ES TO:		
	Plaintiff,	Hon. K. Dept.: 1	enneth R. 14	Freeman			
	,	1		AMENDED OD	DED		
	V.			AMENDED ORI RELIMINARY	DEK		
	O'REILLY AUTO ENTERPRISES, LLC a Delaware limited liability company and DOES 1	APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT					
	through 50, inclusive,	TAGA	SETTL				
	Defendant.						
11	Michael Nourmand & James A. De Sario						
11	THE NOURMAND LAW FIRM, APC 8822 West Olympic Boulevard						
	Beverly Hills, California 90211						
	Attorneys for Plaintiff Julio Mejia						
ш	Matthew R. Bainer						
Ш	THE BAINER LAW FIRM 1901 Harrison Street, Suite 1100						

7

5

12

10

15

19 20

18

21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

WHEREAS, this action is pending before this Court as a putative class and PAGA action (the "Action"); and

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs, through an unopposed motion for preliminary approval, has applied to this Court for an order preliminarily approving the settlement of the Action in accordance with the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement") and any exhibit annexed thereto, which sets forth the terms and conditions for a proposed settlement and final resolution of the Action upon the terms and conditions set forth therein; and the Court having read and considered the Settlement Agreement and the exhibit annexed thereto;

## NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the final version of the Settlement Agreement which has been filed with the Court and all terms defined therein shall have the same meaning in this Order as set forth in the Settlement Agreement;
- 2. The Court hereby conditionally certifies the Class for settlement purposes only. For the purposes of this settlement, the Class is defined as: all current and former employees of O'Reilly who worked at an "O'Reilly Auto Parts" retail store in California in a non-exempt capacity at any time during the Class Period. The Class Period means the period from January 5, 2015 to September 20, 2023. Should for whatever reason the Settlement not become final, the fact that the Parties were willing to stipulate to class certification as part of the Settlement shall have no bearing on, nor be admissible in connection with, the issue of whether a class should be certified in a non-settlement context;
- 3. The Court hereby authorizes the retention of Apex Class Action LLC as Settlement Administrator for the purpose of this Settlement:
- 4. The Court hereby conditionally finds that James Hawkins APLC, the Nourmand Law Firm, APC, the Bainer Law Firm and Haines Law Group, APC, may act as counsel for the Class. The Court further conditionally finds that Plaintiffs Samantha Vvanti, Julio Mejia, Edith Arellano Puga and Isabel Hernandez may act as the Class Representatives for the Class;
- 5. The Court hereby preliminarily APPROVES the proposed Notice of Class Action Settlement and Hearing Date for Final Approval. ("Class Notice") The Court further finds that the

Class Notice appears to fully and accurately inform the Class Members of all material elements of the proposed Settlement Agreement, of the Class Members' right to be excluded from the Class, and of each Class Member's right and opportunity to object to the Settlement. The Class Notice shall be mailed to the Class Members as set forth in the Settlement Agreement;

- 6. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the Settlement Agreement appears to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that could ultimately be given final approval by this Court. It appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that the settlement amount is fair, adequate and reasonable as to all potential Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to liability and damages issues. It further appears that investigation and research have been conducted such that counsel for the Parties, at this time, are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. It further appears to the Court that settlement at this time will avoid substantial additional costs by all Parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the Action. It further appears that the Settlement has been reached as the result of serious and non-collusive, arms-length negotiations;
  - 7. The Court orders the following implementation schedule for further proceedings:

Preliminary approval order.	,2025
Deadline for Defendants to provide the	, 2025 (within thirty (30)
Class Data to the Settlement	calendar days after Court Grants
Administrator.	Preliminary Approval).
Mail notices to Settlement Class Members.	, 2025 (within fourteen (14)
	calendar days after Settlement
	Administrator Receives Class Data from
	Defendant).

Response Deadline for postmark by mail,	,, 2025 (forty five (45) days
fax or email of any Request for Exclusion.	after Settlement Administrator first mails
	Notice of Class Settlement to Settlement
	Class Members).
Deadline for receipt by the Settlement	2025 (forty five (45) days
Administrator of any objections to the	after Settlement Administrator first mails
Settlement.	Notice of Class Settlement to Settlement
	Class Members).
Response Deadline extension for re-mailed	2025 (14 calendar days after
notices	Response Deadline expires)
Deadline for Class Counsel to file Motion	2025
for Final Approval of Settlement.	
Final Approval Hearing.	July 17 , 2025, at 10:00 a.m.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: <u>02/24/2025</u>

Hon. Kenneth R. Freeman
Judge of the Los Angeles County Superior Court
Kenneth R. Freeman Judge

1								
2								
3	PROOF OF SERVICE							
4 5	I am a resident of the State of California, County of Orange. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 9880 Research Drive., Suite 200, Irvine, California 92618.							
6	On 2/13/2025, I served on the interested parties in this action the following document(s) entitled:							
7								
8	- [PROPOSED] AMENDED ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT							
9	- [PROPOSED] AMENDED ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT							
10								
11	[XX] BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: Based on a court Order or an agreement by the parties to accept service by e-mail or electronic transmission, I caused the document(s) to be sent from the							
12	email address citlalli@jameshawkinsaplc.com to the persons at the e-mail addresses listed in the Service List below. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic							
13	message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.							
15	SEE SERVICE LIST BELOW     [X] STATE: I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the charge is true and correct.							
16								
17	above is true and correct.							
18	Executed on 2/13/2025, at Irvine, California.							
19	John Lithing							
20	Cıtlallı Gutierrez							
21 22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								

1	
2	
3	SEDVICE LIST
4	James M. Peterson, Esq. SBN 137837
5	peterson@higgslaw.com Edwin M. Boniske, Esq. SBN 265701
6	boniske@higgslaw.com
7	HIGGS FLETCHER & MACK LLP 401 West "A" Street, Suite 2600
8	San Diego, CA 92101-7913
9	Tel: (619) 236-1551 FAX: (619) 696-1410
10	Attorneys for Defendant
	O'Reilly Auto Enterprises, LLC
11	Michael Nourmand & James A. De Sario
12	THE NOURMAND LAW FIRM, APC
13	8822 West Olympic Boulevard Beverly Hills, California 90211
14	mnourmand@knlawyers.com
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff Julio Mejia
16	Matthew R. Bainer
17	THE BAINER LAW FIRM
18	1901 Harrison Street, Suite 1100 Oakland, California 94612
19	mbainer@bainerlawfirm.com
20	Attorneys for Plaintiff Edith Arellano Puga
21	Paul Haines and Matthew K. Moen
22	HAINES LAW GROUP, APC 2155 Campus Dr., Suite 180
23	phaines@haineslawgroup.com
24	Attorneys for Plaintiff Isabel Hernandez
25	
26	
27	
28	