1	Danny Yadidsion, Esq., SBN 260282 Noël Harlow, Esq., SBN 256124	
2	LABOR LAW PC	
	100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 700	
3	Santa Monica, California 90401	
4	Telephone: (310) 494-6082 Danny@LaborLawPC.com	
5	Noel.Harlow@LaborLawPC.com	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
7	HAYLEY AARSVOLD	
8	MCGUIREWOODS LLP Sabrina A. Beldner (SBN 221918)	
9	Email: sbeldner@mcguirewoods.com	
	David Szwarcsztejn (SBN 272371)	
10	Email: dszwarcsztejn@mcguirewoods.com	
11	Natalie M. Lagunas (SBN 318634)	
12	Email: nlagunas@mcguirewoods.com 1800 Century Park East, 7th Floor	
	Los Angeles, CA 90067	
13	Telephone: (310) 315-8200	
14	Facsimile: (310) 315-8210	
15	Attorneys for Defendant	
16	ALLIANCE TECHNICAL GROUP, LLC	
17	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
18	FOR THE COUNTY OF VENTURA	
19		
20	HAYLEY AARSVOLD, individually and on	CASE NO.: 2023CUOE007584
21	behalf of the putative class,	JOINT STIPULATION RE: CLASS
22	Plaintiff,	ACTION AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT
	v.	
23	ALLIANCE TECHNICAL CROUD LLC	
24	ALLIANCE TECHNICAL GROUP, LLC, a Limited Liability Company; and DOES 1-50,	
25	Inclusive,	
26	Defendants.	
27		
28		
- ~	I and the second	

196583789.1 1
JOINT STIPULATION RE: CLASS ACTION AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT
Doc ID: 75698cd19b8ba567bf1c35dbe1807bd35379424d

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

This Joint Stipulation re: Class Action and Representative Action Settlement ("Settlement" or "Agreement" or "Settlement Agreement") is made by and between plaintiff HAYLEY AARSVOLD ("Plaintiff") individually and on behalf of the Settlement Classes, on the one hand; and defendant ALLIANCE TECHNICAL GROUP, LLC ("ATG" or "Defendant"), on the other hand, in the current lawsuit entitled Hayley Aarsvold v. Alliance Technical Group, LLC, filed in Ventura County Superior Court, Case No. 2023CUOE007584 (the "Action"). Plaintiff and ATG shall be, at times, collectively referred to as the "Parties." This Agreement is intended by the Parties to fully, finally, and forever resolve the claims pled in the Action and as otherwise set forth herein, based upon and subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement.

1. **DEFINITIONS**

- "Action" means Hayley Aarsvold v. Alliance Technical Group, LLC, filed in Α. Ventura County Superior Court, bearing Case No. 2023CUOE007584.
- В. "Aggrieved Employees" means (a) all persons employed in a non-exempt position by Defendant in California at any time during the PAGA Period, and (b) all persons employed in an exempt Project Manager position by Defendant in California at any time during the PAGA Period.
- C. "Aggrieved Employees PAGA Payment" is the twenty-five percent (25%) share of the PAGA Payment, equivalent to Twelve Thousand Five Hundred Dollars and Zero Cents (\$12,500.00), that will be distributed to Aggrieved Employees on a pro rata basis based on the Pay Periods in which an Aggrieved Employee was paid wages for hours worked as a non-exempt employee or exempt Project Manager in California during the PAGA Period. Any Aggrieved Employee PAGA Payment to an Aggrieved Employee shall be in addition to his or her Individual Settlement Payment if he or she is also a Participating Class Member.
- D. "Class Counsel" means: Danny Yadidsion and Noël Harlow of Labor Law PC. The term "Class Counsel" shall be used synonymously with the term "Plaintiff's Counsel."

- **E.** "Class Period" means the period from April 7, 2019 through the date of preliminary approval.
- **F.** "Class Notice" means and refers to the notice sent to Class Members after preliminary approval of the Settlement in the manner described in Paragraph 9 of this Agreement.
- **G.** "Court" means the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Ventura.
- **H.** "Final Approval Date" means the later of: (1) the date the Court signs an Order granting final approval of this Settlement ("Final Approval") and Judgment; (2) if there is an objector, 60 days from the date the Final Approval and Judgment; or (3) to the extent any appeals have been filed, the date on which they have been resolved or exhausted.
 - I. "Defendant" means Alliance Technical Group, LLC.
- "Effective Date" is the date on which this Settlement Agreement shall become J. effective, and when the settlement is considered to be Final. For purposes of this Settlement Agreement, "Effective Date" and "Final" mean: (i) in the event that the Settlement has received Final Approval by the Court, then sixty-five (65) calendar days after the Court's issuance of the Final Approval Order without a timely appeal being filed, regardless of whether any timely objections to the Settlement were filed or withdrawn; or, (ii) in the event that a timely appeal of the Court's Final Approval Order has been filed, then the Settlement Agreement shall be "Final" when the applicable appellate court has rendered a final decision or opinion affirming the Court's Final Approval Order without material modification, and the applicable date for seeking further appellate review has passed without further appellate review being sought. In the event that the Court fails to approve the Settlement, or if the appropriate appellate court fails to approve the Settlement: (1) this Settlement Agreement shall have no force and effect and the Parties shall be restored to their respective positions prior to entering into it, and no Party shall be bound by any of the terms of the Settlement Agreement; (2) Defendants shall have no obligation to make any payments to the LWDA, Class Members, Plaintiff or Plaintiff's Counsel; and (3) any preliminary

approval order and/or Final Approval Order shall be vacated.

- **K.** "Employer Taxes" means employer-funded taxes and contributions imposed on the wage portions of the Individual Settlement Payments under the Federal Insurance Contributions Act, the Federal Unemployment Tax Act, and any similar state and federal taxes and contributions required to be paid to federal and state tax authorities as a result of this Settlement.
- L. "General Release" means the broader release of claims by Plaintiff, which is in addition to Plaintiff's limited release of claims as a Participating Class Member.
- M. "Gross Settlement Amount" means a non-reversionary fund in the sum of Eight Hundred and Seventy Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$875,000.00), 1 which shall be paid by Defendant and from which all payments for the Individual Settlement Payments to Participating Class Members, the Court-approved amounts for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses to Class Counsel, Settlement Administration Costs, the Service Award, the PAGA Payment, and the LWDA Payment shall be paid. It expressly excludes Employer Taxes, which shall be paid by Defendant separate, apart, and in addition to the Gross Settlement Amount. Defendant shall not be obligated to pay any other sums in conjunction with this Settlement except as set forth herein.
- N. "Individual PAGA Payment" means a payment made to an Aggrieved Employee for his or her share of the Aggrieved Employee PAGA Payment, which may be in addition to his or her Individual Settlement Share if he or she is also a Participating Class Member.
- O. "Individual Settlement Payment" means a payment to a Participating Class Member of his or her net share of the Net Settlement Amount.
- P. "Individual Settlement Share" means the gross amount of the Net Settlement Amount that a Participating Class Member is projected to receive based on the number of Qualifying Workweeks that he or she worked as a Settlement Class Member during the Class

¹ This amount may be increased in accordance with Paragraph 17, below.

Period, which shall be reflected in his or her Class Notice.

- Q. "LWDA Payment" means the payment to the State of California Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") for its seventy-five percent (75%) share of the PAGA Payment, equivalent to Thirty-Seven Thousand Five Hundred Dollars and Zero Cents (\$37,500.00)
- R. "Net Settlement Amount" means the portion of the Gross Settlement Amount that is available for distribution to the Participating Class Members after deductions for the Courtapproved allocations for Settlement Administration Costs, a Service Award to Plaintiff, an award of attorneys' fees, reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses to Class Counsel, and the PAGA Payment. The Net Settlement Amount shall be allocated between the Non-Exempt Class and the Project Manager Class as follows: 87% of the Net Settlement Amount shall be allocated and distributed to those Participating Class Members who are Non-Exempt Class Members on a *prorata* basis as set forth in Paragraph 10 below, and 13% of the Net Settlement Amount shall be allocated and distributed to those Participating Class Members who are Project Manager Class Members on a *pro-rata* basis as set forth in Paragraph 10 below.
- S. "Operative Complaint" or "Complaint" means the Second Amended Complaint to be filed with the Court in *Hayley Aarsvold v. Alliance Technical Group, LLC*, filed in Ventura County Superior Court, Case No. 2023CUOE007584, pursuant to the terms of the Parties' Agreement.
- T. "PAGA Payment" is the gross amount of Fifty Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$50,000.00) that is allocated toward PAGA penalties under this Agreement, all of which is payable from the Gross Settlement Amount. Out of the PAGA Payment, seventy-five percent (75%), equivalent to Thirty-Seven Thousand Five Hundred Dollars and Zero Cents (\$37,500.00), will be paid to the LWDA (*i.e.*, the LWDA Payment), and twenty-five percent (25%), equivalent to Twelve Thousand Five Hundred Dollars and Zero Cents (\$12,500.00), will be paid to Aggrieved Employees on a *pro rata* basis based on the number of Qualifying Pay Periods worked for

Defendant in the PAGA Period (i.e. the Aggrieved Employee PAGA Payment).

- U. "PAGA Period" means the period from April 21, 2022 through the end of the ClassPeriod.
- V. "Participating Class Members" means all Settlement Class Members who do not submit a timely and valid Request for Exclusion. Settlement Class Members who are not Participating Class Members will still be eligible to receive an Individual PAGA Payment if such individual(s) qualify as an "Aggrieved Employee" within the meaning of this Settlement Agreement.
- W. "Participating Individual Settlement Share" means the gross amount of the Net Settlement Amount that a Participating Class Member is eligible to receive based on the number of Qualifying Workweeks in which he or she received wages for hours worked as a Settlement Class Member during the Class Period, after allocation of the Net Settlement Amount between the Non-Exempt Class and the Project Manager Class, and once opt-outs have been factored in. A Participating Class Member's Participating Individual Settlement Share excludes any Individual PAGA Payment to which he or she may be entitled if he or she is also an Aggrieved Employee.
- X. "Plaintiff," "Named Plaintiff," or "Class Representative" shall refer to plaintiff Hayley Aarsvold.
- Y. "Preliminary Approval Date" means the date on which the Court enters an Order granting preliminary approval of the Settlement.
- **Z.** "Qualifying Workweek" means a workweek during which a Settlement Class Member or Aggrieved Employee (as applicable) was employed by Defendant and was paid wages for hours worked as a non-exempt employee or as an exempt Project Manager during the Class Period or PAGA Period (as applicable).
- AA. "Qualifying Pay Period" is any pay period during the Class Period or PAGA Period in which a Settlement Class Member or Aggrieved Employee (as applicable) had a Qualifying Workweek.

- BB. "Released Parties" shall mean Defendant Alliance Technical Group, LLC and each of its past, present, and future respective subsidiaries, dba's, affiliates, parents, insurers and reinsurers, and company-sponsored employee benefit plans of any nature and their successors and predecessors in interest (including without limitation, Alliance Source Testing, LLC and Horizon Air Measurement Services, Inc.), including all of their officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, principals, heirs, representatives, accountants, auditors, consultants, attorneys, administrators, fiduciaries, trustees, and agents.
- CC. "Response Deadline" means the deadline for Settlement Class Members to mail any Requests for Exclusion, Objections, or Qualifying Workweek Disputes to the Settlement Administrator, which is forty-five (45) calendar days from the date that the Class Notice is first mailed by the Settlement Administrator, unless a Class Member's notice is re-mailed. In such an instance, the Response Deadline shall be fifteen (15) calendar days from the re-mailing, or forty-five (45) calendar days from the date of the initial mailing, whichever is later, in which to postmark a Request for Exclusion, Qualifying Workweek Dispute or Objection. The date of the postmark shall be the exclusive means for determining whether a Request for Exclusion, Objection, or Qualifying Workweek Dispute was submitted by the Response Deadline.
- **DD.** "Request for Exclusion" means a written request to be excluded from the Settlement Class pursuant to Paragraph 9 below.
- **EE.** "Service Award" means monetary amounts to be paid to Plaintiff of up to Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$25,000.00), which subject to Court approval, will be paid out of the Gross Settlement Amount.
- FF. "Settlement Administration Costs" means all costs incurred by the Settlement Administrator in administration of the Settlement, including, but not limited to, the distribution of the Settlement Class Notice, calculating Individual Settlement Shares, Individual Settlement Payments, Individual PAGA Payments, and Participating Individual Settlement Shares, as well as processing associated taxes and withholdings, providing declarations, generating Individual

Settlement Payment checks and related tax reporting forms, doing administrative work related to unclaimed checks, transmitting payment to Class Counsel for the Court-approved amounts for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses, to Plaintiff for his Service Award, and to the LWDA for the LWDA Payment, providing weekly reports of opt-outs, objections and related information, and any other actions of the Settlement Administrator as set forth in this Agreement, all pursuant to the terms of this Agreement. The Settlement Administration Costs are estimated not to exceed \$5,500.00. If the actual amount of the Settlement Administration Costs is less than \$5,500.00, the difference between \$5,500.00 and the actual Settlement Administration Costs shall be a part of the Net Settlement Amount. If the Settlement Administration Costs exceed \$5,500.00 then such excess will be paid solely from the Gross Settlement Amount and Defendants will not be responsible for paying any additional funds in order to pay these additional costs.

- **GG.** "Settlement Administrator" means the Third-Party Administrator mutually agreed upon by the Parties that will be responsible for the administration of the Settlement including, without limitation, the distribution of the Individual Settlement Payments to be made by Defendant from the Gross Settlement Amount and related matters under this Agreement.
- HH. "Settlement Classes" and "Settlement Class Members" means, for purposes of this settlement, all persons employed in a non-exempt position or in an exempt Project Manager position by Defendant in California at any time during the Class Period. The Settlement Classes are comprised of two separate classes: the "Non-Exempt Class" means all persons employed in a non-exempt position by Defendant in California at any time during the Class Period; and the "Project Manager Class" means all persons employed in an exempt Project Manager position in California at any time during the Class Period.

2. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

A. On April 7, 2023, Plaintiff filed this Action, styled as a putative class action and alleging claims against Defendant for purported violations of California wage-and-hour law during

the Class Period as follows: (1) failure to pay overtime wages, (2) failure to provide meal periods, (3) failure to provide rest periods, (4) failure to furnish timely and accurate itemized wage statements, (5) failure to pay all compensation due upon discharge, and (6) unfair, unlawful, or fraudulent business practices.

- **B.** On April 21, 2023, Plaintiff filed with the LWDA and served on Defendant a notice under Labor Code section 2699.3 stating Plaintiff intended to serve as a proxy of the LWDA to recover civil penalties on behalf of the Aggrieved Employees for various Labor Code violations (the "PAGA Notice"). Plaintiff mailed the PAGA Notice letter to Defendant on April 26, 2023.
- C. On August 30, 2023, Plaintiff filed the operative First Amended Complaint ("FAC") in this action which alleges causes of action for: (1) failure to pay overtime wages, (2) failure to provide meal periods, (3) failure to provide rest periods, (4) failure to furnish timely and accurate itemized wage statements, (5) failure to pay all compensation due upon discharge, (6) unfair, unlawful, or fraudulent business practices, and (7) civil penalties under PAGA.
- D. Shortly thereafter, the Parties agreed to exchange informal discovery and attend a mediation, in advance of which Class Counsel was provided with, among other things: (1) a sampling of time and payroll records for the Non-Exempt Class Members, Project Manager Class Members, and Aggrieved Employees; (2) data points including the number of Non-Exempt Class Members, Project Manager Class Members, and Aggrieved Employees, number of separated Non-Exempt Class Members, Project Manager Class Members, and Aggrieved Employees, the number of shifts, workweeks, and pay periods worked, the number of wage statements issued to the Non-Exempt Class Members and Project Manager Class Members, and the average rates of pay for the Non-Exempt and Project Manager Classes; (3) relevant policy and other documents pertaining to Defendant's operations and wage and hour practices; and (4) all personnel records, time records, payroll records and wage statements relating to Plaintiff.
- E. On January 23, 2024, the Parties participated in a full-day mediation before Jason Marsili, Esquire, a well-regarded mediator experienced in mediating complex labor and

employment matters. Although the Parties were unable to reach an agreement at the mediation, the Parties subsequently reached the Settlement to resolve the Action on the terms set forth in this Agreement. As part and parcel to the Settlement, the Parties have agreed that Plaintiff shall: (1) file with the LWDA and serve on Defendant an amended PAGA notice letter which asserts claims for PAGA penalties for potential wage and hour violation allegations discovered by Plaintiff during the course of Plaintiff's investigation and during the Parties' mediation preparation (the "Amended PAGA Notice"); and (2) be afforded leave to file a Second Amended Complaint ("SAC") in the Action which includes revised class definitions that are ascertainable and conform with the scope of the Parties' Settlement, and assert, all claims, causes of action, factual theories, and alleged Labor Code violations asserted by Plaintiff which have not been pleaded to date including, without limitation, those set forth in the PAGA Notice and the Amended PAGA Notice.

- F. Further, as additional non-monetary consideration, Defendant has adopted revised meal and rest period policies which comport to the minimum standards under California law. Following the Court's granting of preliminary approval of the settlement and prior to final approval, Defendant shall provide Plaintiff's Counsel, under the confidentiality of a protective order, a declaration stating that it adopted revised meal period and rest period policies which comport to the minimum standards under California law, which declaration shall be made available to Plaintiff's Counsel and Plaintiff only. The declaration provided by Defendant for these purposes shall not be filed in this Action.
- G. Class Counsel has conducted significant investigation of the law and facts relating to the claims asserted in the Action, the PAGA Notice, and the Amended PAGA Notice, and have concluded that that the Settlement set forth herein is fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the Settlement Classes, taking into account the sharply contested issues involved, the expense and time necessary to litigate the Action through trial and any appeals, the risks and costs of further litigation of the Action, the risk of an adverse outcome, the uncertainties of complex litigation, the information learned through informal discovery regarding Plaintiff's allegations, and

the substantial benefits to be received by Settlement Class Members.

- H. Defendant has concluded that, because of the substantial expense of defending against the Action, the length of time necessary to resolve the issues presented herein, the inconvenience involved, and the concomitant disruption to its business operations, it is in its best interest to accept the terms of this Agreement. Defendant denies each of the allegations and claims asserted against it in the Action, the PAGA Notice, and the Amended PAGA Notice. However, Defendant nevertheless desires to settle the Action for the purpose of avoiding the burden, expense and uncertainty of continuing litigation and for the purpose of putting to rest the controversies engendered by the Action.
- I. This Agreement is intended to and does effectuate the full, final, and complete resolution of all Class Released Claims of Plaintiff and Participating Class Members, and all PAGA Released Claims of Plaintiff and, to the extent permitted by law, of the State of California and Aggrieved Employees. The Plaintiff identified herein is also providing a General Release, as that term is defined herein and set forth in Paragraph 7 of this Settlement Agreement.

3. <u>JURISDICTION</u>

The Court has jurisdiction over the Parties and the subject matter of the Action. The Action includes claims that, if proven, would authorize the Court to grant relief pursuant to the applicable statutes. After the Court has granted Final Approval of the Settlement and entered judgment, the Court shall retain jurisdiction over the Parties to enforce the terms of the judgment pursuant to California Rule of Court, rule 3.769, subdivision (h).

4. <u>STIPULATION OF CLASS CERTIFICATION</u>

The Parties stipulate to the certification of the Settlement Classes under this Agreement for purposes of settlement only.

5. <u>AMENDMENT OF PLEADING AND MOTIONS FOR APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT</u>

The Parties hereby stipulate, and leave will be requested to file a SAC in the Action (a copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit "A"**), which adds the PAGA claims asserted by

Plaintiff in her Amended PAGA Notice and any other claims, causes of action, factual theories, and alleged Labor Code violations asserted by Plaintiff which have not been pleaded to date. Upon the SAC being filed, Defendant shall be deemed to have generally denied all of the allegations set forth in the SAC pending final approval of this settlement, without any need to file a separate answer to the SAC.

After full execution of this Agreement, Plaintiff will move for an order granting preliminary approval of the Settlement, approving the filing of the SAC, approving and directing the mailing of the proposed Notice of Class Action Settlement ("Class Notice") attached hereto as **Exhibit "B"**, conditionally certifying the Settlement Classes for settlement purposes only, and approving the deadlines proposed by the Parties for the submission of Requests for Exclusion, Qualifying Workweek Disputes, and Objections. If and when the Court preliminarily approves the Settlement, and after administration of the Class Notice in a manner consistent with the Court's Preliminary Approval Order, Plaintiff will move for an order finally approving the Settlement and seek entry of a Judgment in line with this Settlement. The Parties may both respond to any Objections lodged to final approval of the Settlement up to five (5) court days before the Final Approval Hearing.

Not later than five (5) business days prior to the submission of the motion for preliminary approval to the Court, Plaintiff's counsel will submit near-final drafts thereof (including all supporting papers, declarations, and proposed order) to counsel for Defendant for their review and comment. Plaintiff shall file the motion for preliminary approval no later than 45 days after the execution of the long form agreement. Plaintiff's counsel will also prepare Plaintiff's motion for final approval of the settlement (including all supporting papers, declarations, and proposed order) and provide them to counsel for Defendant for review and comment no less than five (5) business days prior to its filing. Defendant will provide its comments to the draft motions for preliminary

approval and final approval (and all supporting papers, declarations, and proposed order) as soon as practicable after receipt and prior to any motion filing deadline.

For purposes of settlement only, the Parties agree that all statutes of limitations shall be waived with respect to the claims asserted in the SAC to be filed as a condition of preliminary approval, with all such limitations to run from the earliest possible date based on the filing of the Action and the sending of the PAGA Notice. In the event the Court does not grant final approval of the settlement, then the SAC will be deemed withdrawn *ab initio* and the original limitations periods shall apply.

6. STATEMENT OF NO ADMISSION

Defendant denies any wrongdoing of any sort and further denies any liability to Plaintiff and the Settlement Classes and/or Aggrieved Employees with respect to any claims or allegations asserted in the Action, the PAGA Notice, and Amended PAGA Notice. This Agreement shall not be deemed an admission by Defendant of any claims or allegations asserted in the Action, the PAGA Notice, and/or the Amended PAGA Notice. Except as set forth elsewhere herein, in the event that this Agreement is not approved by the Court, or any appellate court, is terminated, or otherwise fails to be enforceable, Plaintiff will not be deemed to have waived, limited or affected in any way any claims, rights or remedies, or defenses in the Action, the PAGA Notice, and/or the Amended PAGA Notice, and Defendant will not be deemed to have waived, limited, or affected in any way any of its objections or defenses in the Action, the PAGA Notice, and/or the Amended PAGA Notice. The Parties shall be restored to their respective positions in the Action prior to the entry of this Settlement.

7. RELEASE OF CLAIMS

a. Release by Non-Exempt Class Members.

Effective only upon the entry of an Order granting Final Approval of the Settlement, entry of Judgment, and payment by Defendant to the Settlement Administrator of the full Gross Settlement Amount and Employer's Taxes necessary to effectuate the Settlement, Plaintiff and all

28

Participating Non-Exempt Class Members release the Released Parties of any and all claims that have been or could reasonably have been asserted based on the factual allegations in the Operative Complaint as follows: For the duration of the Class Period, the release includes, for Participating Class Members: all claims for failure to pay overtime wages (including, without limitation, for failure to properly calculate the regular rate and/or overtime rate of pay); all claims for failure to pay minimum or other wages for all hours worked; all claims for failure to provide meal periods or compensation in lieu thereof (including, without limitation, break premiums); all claims for failure to provide rest periods or compensation in lieu thereof (including, without limitation, break premiums); all claims for failure to pay all wages due upon separation from employment; all claims for failure to issue accurate wage statements (including, without limitation, for failure to include and/or accurately itemize the employees' total hours worked, the hours worked at each rate of pay, gross and net wages, meal and/or rest period premiums, overtime rates, and the name of the entity that is the employer); all claims for failure to timely pay wages during employment; all claims asserting a failure to properly reimburse employees for business-related expenses; all claims asserted through California Business & Professions Code section 17200, et seq. arising out of the Labor Code violations referenced in the SAC; and all claims for relief, including damages, statutory and/or civil penalties, equitable and/or injunctive relief, attorneys' fees, interest, costs, and any other kind of relief whatsoever that could be sought based on the factual allegations and theories of liability asserted in the SAC (the "Non-Exempt Class Released Claims"). The Parties additionally agree that the Class Released Claims shall include the release of related claims under the federal Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") pursuant to Rangel v. Check Cashers, 899 F.3d 1106 (9th Cir. 2018).

b. Release by Participating Project Manager Class Members.

Effective only upon the entry of an Order granting Final Approval of the Settlement, entry of Judgment, and payment by Defendant to the Settlement Administrator of the full Gross Settlement Amount and Employer's Taxes necessary to effectuate the Settlement, Plaintiff and all

Participating Project Manager Class Members release the Released Parties of any and all claims that have been or could reasonably have been asserted based on the factual allegations in the Operative Complaint as follows: For the duration of the Class Period, the release includes, for Participating Class Members: all claims for alleged misclassification; all claims for improper deductions from salary of exempt employees for partial-day absences; all claims for failure to pay overtime wages (including, without limitation, for failure to properly calculate the regular rate and/or overtime rate of pay); all claims for failure to pay minimum or other wages for all hours worked; all claims for failure to provide meal periods or compensation in lieu thereof (including, without limitation, break premiums); all claims for failure to provide rest periods or compensation in lieu thereof (including, without limitation, break premiums); all claims for failure to pay all wages due upon separation from employment; all claims for failure to issue accurate wage statements (including, without limitation, for failure to include and/or accurately itemize the employees' total hours worked, the hours worked at each rate of pay, gross and net wages, meal and/or rest period premiums, overtime rates, and the name of the entity that is the employer); all claims for failure to timely pay wages during employment; all claims asserting a failure to properly reimburse employees for business-related expenses; all claims asserted through California Business & Professions Code section 17200, et seq. arising out of the Labor Code violations referenced in the SAC; and all claims for relief, including damages, statutory and/or civil penalties, equitable and/or injunctive relief, attorneys' fees, interest, costs, and any other kind of relief whatsoever that could be sought based on the factual allegations and theories of liability asserted in the SAC (the "Project Manager Class Released Claims"). The Parties additionally agree that the Project Manager Class Released Claims shall include the release of related claims under the federal Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") pursuant to Rangel v. Check Cashers, 899 F.3d 1106 (9th Cir. 2018).

The Non-Exempt Class Released Claims and the Project Manager Class Released Claims are collectively referred to herein as the "Class Released Claims."

25

c. PAGA Release by All Aggrieved Employees

Effective only upon the entry of an Order granting Final Approval of the Settlement, entry of Judgment, and payment by Defendant to the Settlement Administrator of the full Gross Settlement Amount and Employer's Taxes necessary to effectuate the Settlement, Plaintiff, the Aggrieved Employees, and, to the extent permitted by law, the State of California, release the Released Parties for the duration of the PAGA Period from all claims alleged in the SAC for PAGA civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code section 2699 et seq. in connection with alleged violations of Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 218.5, 218.6, 221, 226, 226.2, 226.3, 226.7, 510, 512, 515, 558, 1174, 1174.5, 1194, 1194.2, 1194.3, 1197, 1198, and 2802, including for alleged: failure to pay overtime wages (including, without limitation, for failure to properly calculate the regular rate and/or overtime rate of pay); improper deductions from salary of exempt employees for partial-day absences; failure to pay minimum or other wages for all hours worked; regular rate of pay violations (with respect to overtime, and meal and rest period premiums); failure to provide meal periods or compensation in lieu thereof (including, without limitation, break premiums at the regular rate of pay); failure to provide rest periods or compensation in lieu thereof (including, without limitation, break premiums at the regular rate of pay); failure to pay all wages due upon separation from employment; failure to issue accurate wage statements (including, without limitation, for failure to include and/or accurately itemize the employees' total hours worked, the hours worked at each rate of pay, gross and net wages, meal and/or rest period premiums, rates of pay including overtime rates, and the name of the entity that is the employer); failure to timely pay wages during employment; failure to maintain accurate required records including payroll records; and failure to properly reimburse employees for business-related expenses (the "PAGA Released Claims").

The Class Released Claims and PAGA Released Claims shall be collectively referred to herein as the "Released Claims."

d. Claims Not Released

28

The Released Claims expressly excludes all other claims, including claims for vested benefits, wrongful termination, unemployment insurance, disability, social security, workers' compensation, and any other claims outside of the Class Released Claims of Participating Class Members arising during the Class Period and the PAGA Released Claims of Aggrieved Employees (and, to the extent permitted by law, the State of California) arising outside of the PAGA Period.

e. General Release by Plaintiff

Effective only upon the entry of an Order granting Final Approval of the Settlement, entry of Judgment, and payment by Defendant to the Settlement Administrator selected of the full Gross Settlement Amount and Employer's Taxes necessary to effectuate the Settlement, in addition to the Release provided by the Plaintiff in Sections 7.a. through 7.d. of this Agreement, Plaintiff makes the additional following General Release: Plaintiff releases the Released Parties from all claims, demands, rights, liabilities and causes of action of every nature and description whatsoever, known or unknown, asserted or that might have been asserted, whether in tort, contract, or for violation of any state or federal statute, rule, law or regulation arising out of, relating to, or in connection with any act or omission of the Released Parties through the date of final approval of this Agreement in connection with Plaintiff's employment with Defendant or the termination thereof, except for any and all other claims that may not be released as a matter of law through this Agreement. To the extent of the General Release provided herein, Plaintiff stipulates and agrees that, upon entry of an Order granting Final Approval of the Settlement, entry of Judgment, and payment by Defendant to the Settlement Administrator selected of the full Gross Settlement Amount and Employer's Taxes necessary to effectuate the Settlement, they shall have expressly waived and relinquished, to the fullest extent permitted by law, the provisions, rights and benefits of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, or any other similar provision under federal or state law, which provides:

> A general release does not extend to claims that the creditor or releasing party does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release and that, if known by him or her,

196583789 1

would have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor or released party.

8. <u>SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATOR</u>

A. Plaintiff and Defendant, through their respective counsel, have selected Apex Class Action Administration to administer the Settlement, which includes but is not limited to distributing the Class Notice, distributing and responding to inquiries about the Class Notice and calculating all amounts to be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount. Charges and expenses of the Settlement Administrator, currently estimated to be \$5,500.00 will be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount. If the actual amount of the Settlement Administration Costs is less than \$5,500.00, the difference between \$5,500.00 and the actual Settlement Administration Costs shall be a part of the Net Settlement Amount. If the Settlement Administration Costs exceed \$5,500.00, then such excess will be paid solely from the Gross Settlement Amount and Defendants will not be responsible for paying any additional funds in order to pay these additional costs.

9. NOTICE, WORKWEEK DISPUTE, OBJECTION, AND EXCLUSION PROCESS

A. Notice to the Settlement Class Members

A. Within thirty (30) days after the Preliminary Approval Date, Counsel for Defendant shall provide the Settlement Administrator with information with respect to each Settlement Class Member and/or Aggrieved Employee, including his or her: (1) name; (2) position(s) held; (3) last known address(es) currently in Defendant's possession, custody, or control; (4) last known telephone number(s) currently in Defendant's possession, custody, or control; (5) last known Social Security Number(s) in Defendant's possession, custody, or control; (6) the dates of employment (*i.e.*, hire dates, and, if applicable, re-hire date(s) and/or separation date(s)); (7) the number of Qualifying Workweeks for each Settlement Class Member for the Class Period, and (8) the number of Qualifying Pay Periods for each Aggrieved Employee for the PAGA Period (hereafter, the "Class List"). The final Class List will be designated as Highly Confidential, Attorneys' Eyes Only, pursuant to a Court-approved and entered Stipulated Protective Order to be

28

submitted by the Parties in the Action prior to the filing of the motion for preliminary approval, and provided by Defendant after the Settlement Administrator signs and returns to Counsel for Defendant the Acknowledgment and Agreement to be Bound attached as Exhibit A to such Protective Order. The final Class List shall be used only by the Settlement Administrator and only for purposes of administering the Settlement and shall not be provided to Class Counsel, and nothing in this Settlement Agreement limits the use of the Class List by the Settlement Administrator for that purpose. The Settlement Administrator shall perform an address search using the United States Postal Service National Change of Address ("NCOA") database and update the addresses contained on the Class List with the newly-found addresses, if any. Within seven (7) calendar days, or soon thereafter, of receiving the Class List from Defendant, the Settlement Administrator shall mail the Class Notice to the Settlement Class Members via first-class regular U.S. Mail using the most current mailing address information available. The Settlement Administrator shall maintain the Class List and digital copies of all the Settlement Administrator's records evidencing the giving of notice to any Settlement Class Member and/or other records pertaining to its administration of the Settlement (including, without limitation, records pertaining to Class Member queries, requests for exclusions, opt-outs, Workweek disputes, and undeliverable mailing), for at least four (4) years from the Final Approval Date or to the extent any appeals have been filed, (4) years from the date on which they have been resolved or exhausted.

- (1) The proposed Class Notice will include verbiage jointly agreed to by the Parties and will set forth:
 - (a) the Settlement Class Member's estimated Individual Settlement Payment and Individual PAGA Payment, as applicable, and the basis for each;
 - (b) the information required by California Rule of Court, rule 3.766, subdivision (d);
 - (c) the material terms of the Settlement;

- 21
- 22 23
- 24 25
- 26 27

- (d) the proposed Settlement Administration Costs;
- the definition of the Settlement Class; (e)
- (f) a statement that the Court has preliminarily approved the Settlement;
- (g) how the Settlement Class Member can obtain additional information, including contact information for Class Counsel;
- information regarding opt-out, objection and dispute procedures; (h)
- (i) the date and location of the Final Approval Hearing; and
- (j) that the Settlement Class Member must notify the Settlement Administrator no later than the Response Deadline if the Settlement Class Member disputes the accuracy of the number of Qualifying Workweeks as set forth on his or her Class Notice ("Qualifying Workweek Dispute"). If a Settlement Class Member fails to timely dispute the number of Qualifying Workweeks attributed to him or her in conformity with the instructions in the Class Notice, then he or she shall be deemed to have waived any objection to its accuracy and any claim to any additional settlement payment based on different data.
- (2) If a Class Notice from the initial notice mailing is returned as undeliverable, the Settlement Administrator will attempt to obtain a current address for the Settlement Class Member to whom the returned Class Notice had been mailed, within five (5) calendar days of receipt of the returned Class Notice, by: (1) contacting the Settlement Class Member by phone, if possible, and (2) undertaking skip tracing. If the Settlement Administrator is successful in obtaining a new address, it will promptly re-mail the Class Notice to the Settlement Class Member. Further, any Class Notices that are returned to the Settlement Administrator with a forwarding

27

28

address before the Response Deadline shall be promptly re-mailed to the forwarding address affixed thereto.

(3) No later than seven (7) calendar days after the Response Deadline, the Settlement Administrator shall provide counsel for the Parties with a declaration attesting to the completion of the notice process, including the number of attempts to obtain valid mailing addresses for and re-sending of any returned Class Notices, as well as the identities, number of, and copies of all Requests for Exclusion and Objections received by the Settlement Administrator, if any.

B. Objections.

Only Participating Class Members may object to the Class Settlement; neither Participating Class Members nor PAGA Aggrieved Employees may object to the PAGA settlement. In order for any Settlement Class Member to object to this Class Settlement in writing, or any term of it, he or she must do so by mailing a written objection to the Settlement Administrator at the address provided on the Class Notice, with such objection postmarked no later than the Response Deadline. The Settlement Administrator shall email a copy of the Objection forthwith to Class Counsel and counsel for Defendant and attach copies of all Objections to the Declaration it provides Class Counsel, which Class Counsel shall file in support of Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval. To be valid, the Objection must be timely submitted and must set forth the following in writing: (1) the Objector's name; (2) the Objector's address; (3) the last four digits of the Objector's Social Security Number; (4) the Objector's signature; (5) a statement of whether the Objector plans to appear at the Final Approval Hearing; and (6) the reason(s) for the Objection, along with whatever legal authority, if any, the Objector asserts in support of the Objection. If a Settlement Class Member objects to the Class Settlement, the Settlement Class Member will remain a member of the Settlement Class and if the Court approves this Agreement, the Settlement Class Member will be bound by the terms of the Settlement in the same way and to the same extent as a Settlement Class Member who does not object. The date of mailing of the Class Notice to the objecting

28

Settlement Class Member shall be conclusively determined according to the records of the Settlement Administrator. Settlement Class Members need not object in writing to be heard at the Final Approval Hearing; they may object or comment in person at the hearing at their own expense. Class Counsel and counsel for Defendant may respond to any objection lodged with the Court up to five (5) court days before the Final Approval Hearing.

C. Requesting Exclusion.

Any Settlement Class Member may request exclusion from (i.e., to "opt out" of) the Class Settlement by mailing a written request to be excluded from the Class Settlement ("Request for Exclusion") to the Settlement Administrator, postmarked on or before the Response Deadline. To be valid, a Request for Exclusion must be timely submitted and must include the following in writing: (1) the Class Member's name; (2) the last four digits of the Class Member's Social Security Number; (3) the Class Member's signature; and (4) a statement that the Class Member seeks to be excluded from the Settlement Class using the same or any other language standing for the proposition the Class Member seeks to be excluded from the Settlement Class: "Please exclude me from the Settlement Class in the Aarsvold v. Alliance Technical Group, LLC, et al. matter. I understand that by requesting exclusion, I will not participate in the class settlement and will not receive any money from the class settlement." The Settlement Administrator shall immediately provide copies of all Requests for Exclusion to Class Counsel and counsel for Defendant and shall report the Requests for Exclusions that it receives, to the Court, in its declaration to be provided in advance of the Final Approval Hearing. Any Settlement Class Member who timely and properly requests exclusion from the Settlement Class using this procedure will not be entitled to receive any Individual Settlement Share from the Settlement and will not be bound by the Settlement Agreement or have any right to object to, appeal, or comment on the Settlement as it relates to the Class Settlement and Class Released Claims. Any Settlement Class Member who does not opt out of the Settlement Class by submitting a timely and valid Request for Exclusion will be bound by all terms of the Settlement, including those pertaining to the Class Released Claims, as well as any

27

28

Judgment that may be entered by the Court if Final Approval of the Class Settlement is granted. A Settlement Class Member cannot submit both a Request for Exclusion and an objection. If a Settlement Class Member submits an Objection and a Request for Exclusion, the Request for Exclusion will control and the Objection will be overruled. Settlement Class Members who worked during the PAGA Period as Aggrieved Employees that submit a valid Request for Exclusion from the Settlement Class will still be deemed to be Aggrieved Employees, will still receive their Individual PAGA Payments, and will be bound by the release of the PAGA Released Claims.

D. Disputes Regarding Settlement Class Members' Qualifying Workweek Data.

Each Settlement Class Member may dispute the number of Qualifying Workweeks attributed to him or her with respect to the Individual Settlement Share on his or her Class Notice ("Workweek Dispute"). Any such disputes must be in writing and mailed to the Settlement Administrator by the Settlement Class Member, postmarked on or before the Response Deadline. To be valid, a Qualifying Workweek Dispute must be timely submitted and must include the following in writing: (1) the Class Member's name, current mailing address, telephone number, the last four digits of his/her social security number, and his/her signature; (2) a statement indicating that the Class Member seeks to dispute the number of Qualifying Workweeks credited to him or her, the time period(s) he or she worked for Defendant during the Class Period, and the number of Qualifying Workweeks that he or she contends should be credited to him or her; and (3) documentation and/or other facts supporting the Class Member's position. The Settlement Administrator shall immediately provide copies of all Qualifying Workweek Disputes (if any) to Class Counsel and counsel for Defendant. In response to any timely Qualifying Workweek Dispute, Defendant will first verify the information contained in the disputing Class Member's personnel file and Defendant's payroll records. Unless the Class Member can establish that the number of Qualifying Workweeks credited to him or her is incorrect, by providing documentation in support thereof or other details to substantiate his or her position, the total number of Qualifying Workweeks established by Defendant's records will control. Class Counsel and counsel for

26

27

28

Defendant will then make a good faith effort to resolve the dispute informally before the Final Approval Hearing. If counsel for the Parties cannot agree, the dispute shall be resolved by the Settlement Administrator before the Final Approval Hearing, who shall examine the records provided by Defendant and the Class Member and shall be the final arbiter of disputes relating to a Class Member's Qualifying Workweeks. The Settlement Administrator's determination regarding any such dispute shall be final for purposes of administering notice of the Settlement, subject to final review, determination, and approval by the Court.

10. <u>INDIVIDUAL SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS AND INDIVIDUAL PAGA</u> <u>PAYMENTS</u>

The Net Settlement Amount shall be allocated between the Non-Exempt Class and the Project Manager Class as follows: 87% of the Net Settlement Amount shall be allocated for and distributed to those Participating Class Members who are Non-Exempt Class Members ("Participating Non-Exempt Class Members"), and 13% of the Net Settlement Amount shall be allocated for and distributed to those Participating Class Members who are Project Manager Class Members ("Participating Project Manager Class Members"). The 87% of the Net Settlement Amount allocated to Participating Non-Exempt Class Members ("Non-Exempt Class NSA Allocation") shall be calculated as follows: Net Settlement Amount x 0.87 = Non-ExemptClass NSA Allocation. The 13% of the Net Settlement Amount allocated to Participating Project Manager Class Members ("Project Manager Class NSA Allocation") shall be calculated as follows: Net Settlement Amount x 0.13 = Project Manager Class NSA Allocation. Individual Settlement Payments will be calculated and distributed to Participating Class Members from the Non-Exempt Class NSA Allocation and/or Project Manager Class NSA Allocation on a pro rata basis, based on the Participating Class Members' respective number of Qualifying Workweeks as a Non-Exempt Class Member and/or Project Manager Class Member during the Class Period, applicable. Individual PAGA Payments to Aggrieved Employees will be calculated and distributed to Aggrieved Employees from the Aggrieved Employee PAGA Payment on a pro-

27

28

rata basis based on Aggrieved Employees' respective number of Qualifying Pay Periods during the PAGA Period. Specific calculations of the Individual Settlement Shares and Individual PAGA Payments to Aggrieved Employees will be made as follows:

Individual Settlement Shares to Participating Non-Exempt Class Members. A.

The Total Number of Qualifying Workweeks worked during the Class Period by each Settlement Class Member in the Non-Exempt Class ("Class Member's Workweeks"), as well as the aggregate number of Qualifying Workweeks worked by all Settlement Class Members in the Non-Exempt Class during the Class Period ("Class Workweeks"), shall be determined from Defendant's records and shall be provided on the Class List provided by Defendant. determine each Participating Non-Exempt Class Member's Participating Individual Class Settlement Share, the Settlement Administrator will determine the aggregate number of Qualifying Workweeks worked by all Participating Non-Exempt Class Members during the Class Period ("Total Participating Non-Exempt Class Member Workweeks") and use the following formula: Individual Settlement Share = (Individual Participating Non-Exempt Class Member's Qualifying Workweeks ÷ Total Participating Non-Exempt Class Member Qualifying Workweeks) × Non-Exempt Class NSA Allocation.

Individual Settlement Shares to Participating Project Manager Class B. Members.

The Total Number of Qualifying Workweeks worked during the Class Period by each Settlement Class Member in the Project Manager Class ("Class Member's Workweeks"), as well as the aggregate number of Qualifying Workweeks worked by all Settlement Class Members in the Project Manager Class during the Class Period ("Class Workweeks"), shall be determined from Defendant's records and shall be provided on the Class List provided by Defendant. To determine each Participating Project Manager Class Member's Participating Individual Class Settlement Share, the Settlement Administrator will determine the aggregate number of Qualifying Workweeks worked by all Participating Project Manager Class Members during the

Class Period ("Total Participating Project Manager Class Member Workweeks") and use the following formula: Individual Settlement Share = (Individual Participating Project Manager Class Member's Qualifying Workweeks ÷ Total Participating Project Manager Class Member Qualifying Workweeks) × Project Manager Class NSA Allocation.

C. Individual PAGA Payments to Aggrieved Employees.

Additionally, the total number of Qualifying Pay Periods worked by each Aggrieved Employee during the PAGA Period ("Aggrieved Employee's Pay Periods"), as well as the aggregate number of Qualifying Pay Periods worked by all Aggrieved Employees during the PAGA Period ("PAGA Pay Periods"), shall be determined from Defendant's records and shall be provided on the Class List provided by Defendant. To determine each Aggrieved Employee's Individual PAGA Payment, the Settlement Administrator will use the following formula: Aggrieved Employee's Individual PAGA Payment = (Aggrieved Employee's Qualifying Pay Periods ÷ Total Aggrieved Employee PAGA Qualifying Pay Periods) x Aggrieved Employee PAGA Payment.

D. Individual Settlement Payments and Individual PAGA Payments shall be paid to Participating Class Members and/or Aggrieved Employees by way of check. When a Participating Class Member is also an Aggrieved Employee, one check may be issued that aggregates both the Individual Settlement Payment and the Individual PAGA Payment.

11. <u>DISTRIBUTION OF PAYMENTS</u>

A. Distribution of Individual Settlement Payments.

Participating Class Members will receive an Individual Settlement Payment and Aggrieved Employees will receive an Individual PAGA Payment as applicable. Individual Settlement Payment and Individual PAGA Payment checks shall remain valid and negotiable for one hundred and eighty (180) calendar days after the date of their issuance. In the event that any checks mailed to Participating Class Members and/or Aggrieved Employees are not cashed, deposited, or otherwise negotiated within the 180-day period, then the checks shall be sent to the

California State Controller's Office Unclaimed Property Fund in the name of the individual, and such Class Members and/or Aggrieved Employees shall nevertheless be bound to the Settlement and the Final Approval Order. Thus, there shall be no "Unpaid Residue" subject to the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 384.

B. Funding of Settlement.

Within thirty (30) business days following the occurrence of the Effective Date of the Settlement as defined above, Defendant shall remit payment of the Gross Settlement Amount (as the same may be escalated pursuant to Paragraph 17 of this Agreement) and Employer Taxes (as that term is defined herein) to the Settlement Administrator pursuant to Internal Revenue Code section 1.468B-1 for deposit in an interest-bearing qualified settlement account ("QSA") with an FDIC insured banking institution, for distribution in accordance with this Agreement and the Court's Orders and subject to the conditions described herein.

C. Time for Distribution.

Within fifteen (15) calendar days after payment of the full Gross Settlement Amount and Employer Taxes by Defendant, or as soon thereafter as practicable, the Settlement Administrator shall distribute Payments from the QSA for: (1) the Service Award to Plaintiff as approved by the Court; (2) the Attorneys' Fees and Cost Award to be paid to Class Counsel, as approved by the Court; (3) the Settlement Administrator Costs, as approved the Court; (4) the LWDA Payment, as approved by the Court; and (5) Individual PAGA Payments as approved by the Court. The balance remaining shall constitute the Net Settlement Amount from which Individual Settlement Payments shall be made to Participating Class Members, less applicable taxes and withholdings.

12. <u>ATTORNEYS' FEES AND LITIGATION COSTS</u>

Class Counsel shall apply for, and Defendant shall not oppose, an award of attorneys' fees of up to 35% of the Gross Settlement Amount, which, unless the Gross Settlement Amount is escalated pursuant to Paragraph 17 of this Agreement, amounts to Three Hundred and Six Thousand Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars and Zero Cents (\$306,250.00). Class Counsel shall

19

2021

2223

24

2526

27

28

further apply for, and Defendant shall not oppose, an application or motion by Class Counsel for reimbursement of actual costs associated with Class Counsel's prosecution of this matter as set forth by declaration testimony in an amount up to Twenty Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$20,000.00). Awards of attorneys' fees and costs shall be paid out of the Gross Settlement Amount, for all past and future attorneys' fees and costs necessary to prosecute, settle, and obtain Final Approval of the settlement in Action. The "future" aspect of the amounts stated herein includes, without limitation, all time and expenses expended by Class Counsel (including any appeals therein). There will be no additional charge of any kind to either the Settlement Class Members or request for additional consideration from Defendants for such work unless, Defendant materially breaches this Agreement, including any term regarding funding, and further efforts are necessary from Class Counsel to remedy said breach, including, without limitation, moving the Court to enforce the Agreement. Should the Court approve attorneys' fees and/or litigation costs and expenses in amounts that are less than the amounts provided for herein, then the unapproved portion(s) shall become a part of the Net Settlement Amount for distribution to Participating Class Members, and any such lesser award shall not be grounds for Plaintiff and/or Class Counsel to terminate the Settlement.

13. SERVICE AWARD TO PLAINTIFF

Named Plaintiff shall seek, and Defendant shall not oppose, a Service Award in an amount not to exceed Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$25,000.00) payable to Plaintiff for participation in and assistance with the Action. Any Service Award awarded to Plaintiff shall be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount and shall be reported to Plaintiff on an IRS Form 1099. If the Court approves the Service Award to Plaintiff in less than the amounts sought herein, then the unapproved portion(s) shall become a part of the Net Settlement Amount for distribution to Participating Class Members, and any such lesser award shall not be grounds for Plaintiff and/or Class Counsel to terminate the Settlement.

14. TAXATION AND ALLOCATION

- a. Each Individual Settlement Share shall be allocated as follows: 20% as wages (to be reported on an IRS Form W-2) and 80% for civil or statutory penalties and interest, with such non-wage portions to be reported on an IRS Form 1099. Each Individual PAGA Payment shall be allocated entirely as penalties. The Parties agree that prior to distribution, each Individual Settlement Share due to a Participating Class Member will be subject to reduction for required employee-side payroll taxes, contributions and withholdings with respect to the wage portion of the Individual Settlement Share, which shall yield each Participating Class Member's "Individual Settlement Payment." The amount of federal income tax withholding will be based upon a flat withholding rate for supplemental wage payments in accordance with Treasury Regulation § 31.3402(g)-1(a)(2) as amended or supplemented. Income tax withholding will also be made pursuant to applicable state and/or local withholding codes or regulations.
- **b.** Forms W-2 and/or Forms 1099 will be timely and correctly prepared and distributed by the Settlement Administrator at times and in the manner required by the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Code") and consistent with this Agreement. If the Code, the regulations promulgated thereunder, or other applicable tax law, is changed after the date of this Agreement, the processes set forth in this Paragraph 14 may be modified in a manner to bring Defendant into compliance with any such changes.
- c. Neither Counsel for Plaintiff nor counsel for Defendant intend anything contained in this Agreement to constitute advice regarding taxes or taxability, nor shall anything in this Agreement be relied upon as such within the meaning of United States Treasury Department Circular 230 (31 C.F.R. Part 10, as amended) or otherwise. Settlement Class Members and Aggrieved Employees will be responsible for correctly characterizing the compensation that they receive pursuant to the Form 1099 and for payment of any taxes owing on said amount(s).

15. PRIVATE ATTORNEYS' GENERAL ACT ALLOCATION AND NOTIFICATION TO THE LWDA

The Parties agree to allocate Fifty Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$50,000.00) of the Gross Settlement Amount toward PAGA penalties. Pursuant to the PAGA, seventy-five percent (75%) of the amount allocated toward PAGA (\$37,500.00) will be paid to the LWDA and twenty-five percent (25%) (\$12,500.00) will be distributed to Aggrieved Employees on a *pro rata* basis based upon their respective Qualifying Pay Periods worked as Aggrieved Employees during the PAGA Period.

Concurrently with the filing of the motion for preliminary approval of the settlement under this Agreement, Plaintiff and his counsel will comply with all requirements under PAGA to give notice and make submissions regarding the proposed settlement to the LWDA, and promptly provide written evidence thereof to counsel for Defendant.

16. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

This Agreement is contingent upon an order by the Court granting Final Approval of the Settlement, and that the LWDA does not intervene and object to the Settlement. In the event it becomes impossible to secure approval of the Settlement by the Court and the LWDA, the Parties shall be restored to their respective positions in the Action prior to entry of this Settlement, except as otherwise set forth herein. If this Settlement Agreement is voided, not approved by the Court or approval is reversed on appeal, it shall have no force or effect and no Party shall be bound by its terms except to the extent: (a) the Court reserves any authority to issue any appropriate orders when denying approval; and/or (b) there are any terms and conditions in this Settlement Agreement specifically stated to survive the Settlement Agreement being voided or not approved, and which control in such an event.

17. <u>INCREASE IN QUALIFYING WORKWEEKS</u>

Defendant represents that the Settlement Classes worked approximately 14,448 Qualifying Workweeks (13,031 workweeks completed by non-exempt employees and 1,417 workweeks completed by Project Managers) between April 7, 2019 and January 23, 2024. Should the actual number of workweeks between April 7, 2019 and January 23, 2024 exceed

15,892 (110% of 14,448), then the Gross Settlement Amount shall be increased by the same number of percentage points (above 10%) by which the actual number of pay periods exceeds 15,892. For instance, if the actual number of workweeks between April 7, 2019 and January 23, 2024 is determined to be 2% higher than 15,892, the Gross Settlement Amount would be increased by \$17,500.

18. NOTICE OF JUDGMENT

In addition to any duties set out herein, the Settlement Administrator shall provide notice of the Final Judgment entered in the Action by posting the same on its website for a period of no less than four (4) years.

19. <u>WITHDRAWAL FROM SETTLEMENT BASED ON REQUESTS</u> <u>FOR EXCLUSION</u>

Defendant shall retain the right to nullify the Agreement in the event that more than ten percent (10%) of Class Members submit timely and valid Requests for Exclusion. Should the 10% threshold for opt outs be exceeded, the Settlement Administrator shall notify lead counsel for all Parties via email immediately, and Defendant must provide written notice to Class Counsel of its withdrawal within ten (10) calendar days of receiving sufficient information to determine that the opt out rate exceeds 10%. If Defendant exercises this right, it shall be solely responsible for the costs incurred for settlement administration up to the date of nullification.

20. <u>CONFIDENTIALITY</u>

Except as otherwise agreed in writing between the Parties, both before and following the execution of this Settlement Agreement by the Parties and their counsel, there will be no direct or indirect comment or publication by Plaintiff and Class Counsel of the settlement in terms of affirmative or responsive media statements/comments, press releases or conferences, website postings or content, social media postings or content, other Internet postings or content, subscribed email messages, newsletters, disseminated updates, mass mailings, or any other comment or publication to the press, media or public at large. This shall not apply to or limit the

public filing of motions or other case materials by Class Counsel in the Action related to seeking and obtaining Court approval of the proposed settlement and the related awards of attorneys fees and costs, enhancements and the other relief set forth in this Settlement Agreement, or to communications between Class Counsel and Plaintiff or Settlement Class Members. Class Counsel may otherwise state only that the Action has been settled on terms mutually agreeable to the Parties. The Parties understand and agree that there may be media coverage of the settlement of the Action not initiated by Plaintiff or Class Counsel, directly or indirectly. It is also agreed and understood that the Parties may disclose the settlement to their families, plus their legal, tax, or accounting advisors, insurance companies, or as required by law, regulatory rules or regulatory requirements. Class Counsel may disclose the names of the Parties in the Action, the venues/case numbers, and settlement details available in the public record, for the limited purpose of allowing Class Counsel to prove adequacy as class counsel in other actions or for purposes of seeking approval of an unrelated settlement.

21. <u>SETTLEMENT WEBSITE</u>

The Parties agree that the Settlement Administrator may use U.S. mail and its own website to provide notice and information about the Settlement to Settlement Class Members. Reference to this Settlement on the Settlement Administrator's website must be taken down within 45 days after the date the Settlement Administrator must remit any unclaimed funds to the State, as set forth in Paragraph 11.A of this Agreement. No information about the Action or the settlement may be posted on Class Counsel's websites or their social media without Defendant's prior written consent, except as expressly set forth in this Agreement.

22. <u>MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS</u>

A. Interpretation of the Agreement, Governing Law.

This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties with respect to its subject matter. Except as expressly provided herein, this Agreement has not been executed in reliance upon any other written or oral representations or terms, and no such extrinsic oral or

written representations or terms shall modify, vary or contradict its terms. In entering into this Agreement, the Parties agree that this Agreement is to be construed according to its terms and may not be varied or contradicted by extrinsic evidence. The Agreement will be interpreted and enforced under the laws of the State of California, both in its procedural and substantive aspects, without regard to its conflict of law provisions. Any claim arising out of or relating to the Agreement, or the subject matter hereof, will be resolved solely and exclusively in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Ventura, and Plaintiff and Defendant hereby consent to the personal jurisdiction of the Court in the Action over it solely in connection therewith. The foregoing is only limited to disputes concerning this Agreement. The Parties, and each of them, participated in the negotiation and drafting of this Agreement and had available to them the advice and assistance of independent counsel. As such, neither Plaintiff nor Defendant may claim that any ambiguity in this Agreement should be construed against the other. The Agreement may be modified only by a writing signed by counsel for the Parties and approved by the Court.

B. Further Cooperation.

The Parties and their respective attorneys shall proceed diligently to prepare and execute all documents, to seek the necessary approvals from the Court, and to do all things reasonably necessary to consummate the Settlement as expeditiously as possible. The Parties agree that they will not take any action inconsistent with this Agreement, including, without limitation, encouraging Class Members to opt out of the Settlement. In the event the Court finds that any Party has taken actions inconsistent with the Settlement, including, without limitation, encouraging Class Members to opt out of the Settlement, the Court may take any corrective actions, including enjoining any Party from communicating regarding the Settlement on an *ex parte* basis, issuing (a) corrective notice(s), awarding monetary, issue, evidentiary and/or terminating sanctions against that Party, and/or enforcing this Agreement despite the presence of opt-outs and/or objections.

C. Counterparts.

The Agreement may be executed in one or more actual or non-original counterparts,

including via DocuSign, all of which will be considered one and the same instrument and all of which will be considered duplicate originals.

D. Authority to Enter into Agreement.

Each individual signing below warrants that he or she has the authority to execute this Agreement on behalf of the Party for whom or which that individual signs. Counsel for all Parties warrant and represent they are expressly authorized by the Parties whom they represent to negotiate this Agreement and to take all appropriate actions required or permitted to be taken by the Parties pursuant to this Agreement to effectuate its terms and to execute any other documents required to effectuate the terms of this Agreement. The Parties and their counsel will cooperate with each other and use their best and good-faith efforts to effect the implementation of the Settlement. If the Parties are unable to reach agreement on the form or content of any document needed to implement the Settlement, or on any supplemental provisions that may become necessary to effectuate the terms of this Settlement, the Parties may seek the assistance of the Court to resolve such disagreement.

E. Binding On Assigns.

This Settlement Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective heirs, trustees, executors, administrators, successors and assigns.

F. Deadlines Falling on Weekends or Holidays.

To the extent that any deadline set forth in this Agreement falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, that deadline shall be continued until the following business day.

G. Jurisdiction of the Court.

Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6, the Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to the interpretation, implementation, and enforcement of the terms of this Settlement Agreement and all orders and judgments entered in connection therewith, and the Parties and their counsel hereto submit to the jurisdiction of the Court for purposes of interpreting, implementing, and enforcing the settlement embodied in this Settlement Agreement and all orders

1 and judgments entered in connection therewith. 2 H. Severability. 3 In the event that one or more of the provisions contained in this Agreement shall for any 4 reason be held invalid, illegal, or unenforceable in any respect, such invalidity, illegality, or 5 unenforceability shall in no way effect any other provision if Defendant's counsel and Class 6 Counsel, on behalf of the Parties and the Settlement Class, mutually elect in writing to proceed as 7 if such invalid, illegal, or unenforceable provision had never been included in this Agreement. 8 9 IT IS SO AGREED: Hayley Aarsvold 10 DATED: <u>11 / 18 / 2024</u>, 2024 Plaintiff Hayley Aarsvold 11 12 13 DATED: <u>November 20</u>, 2024 14 Jim Herr, Chief Administrative Officer Alliance Technical Group, LLC 15 16 APPROVED AS TO FORM: 17 DATED: November 15, 2024 LABOR LAW PC 18 19 20 Danny Yadidsion, Esq. 21 Noël Harlow, Esq. 22 Attorneys for Plaintiff HAYLEY AARSVOLD 23 DATED: November 15, 2024 MCGUIREWOODS LLP 24 25 By: Sabrina A. Beldner, Esq. 26 David Szwarcsztejn, Esq. 27 Attorneys for Defendant ALLIANCE TECHNICAL GROUP, LLC 28

1	Danny Yadidsion, Esq., SBN 260282 Noël Harlow, Esq., SBN 256124		
2	LABOR LAW PC		
3	100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 700 Santa Monica, California 90401		
4	Telephone: (310) 494-6082 Danny@LaborLawPC.com		
5	Noel.Harlow @LaborLawPC.com		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
7	HAYLEY AARSVOLD		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF VENTURA		
10			
11	HAYLEY AARSVOLD, individually and on behalf of the putative class,	CASE NO.: 2023CUOE007584	
12	behalf of the putative class,	SECOND AMENDED CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION	
13	Plaintiff, v.	COMPLAINT FOR:	
14		1. Failure to Pay Overtime Wages;	
15	ALLIANCE TECHNICAL GROUP, LLC, a Limited Liability Company; and DOES 1-50,	2. Failure to Pay Minimum Wages;3. Failure to Provide Meal Periods;	
16	Inclusive,	4. Failure to Provide Rest Periods;	
17	Defendants.	5. Failure to Furnish Timely and Accurate Itemized Wage Statements;	
18	2 0101111111111	6. Failure to Pay All Compensation Due	
19		Upon Discharge; 7. Failure to Timely Pay Wages During	
20		Employment; 8. Failure to Indemnify for Business	
20		Expenses;	
22		9. Unfair, Unlawful, or Fraudulent Business Practices (Cal. Bus. & Prof.	
		Code § 17200 et seq.); and 10. Civil Penalties under the Private	
23		Attorneys General Act (PAGA)	
24		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
25			
26	1. Plaintiff Hayley Aarsvold ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of herself and all others similarly		
27 28	situated, hereby submits this Complaint against Defendant Alliance Technical Group, LLC,		
٥ د			

3

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28

Tennessee Limited Liability Company doing business in California, and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive (collectively, "Defendants"), and each of them, and alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 2. This is a class action under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 seeking damages for unpaid wages, unpaid overtime wages, failure to provide meal and rest breaks, failure to pay minimum wages, failure to timely pay wages during employment, unpaid meal and rest breaks, failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements, unreimbursed business expenses, penalties, interest, and other equitable relief, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs under Cal. Labor Code ("Labor Code") §§ 201-203, 204, 210, 226(e), 226.2, 226.7, 510, 512, 558, 1194, 1194.2, 2802, and IWC Wage Order ("Wage Order") No. 4-2001 §§ 4 and 12, No. 5, Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 1021.5, and restitution under California's Unfair Competition Law ("UCL"), Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 et seg.
- 3. This is also a representative action brought by Plaintiff, as a representative of the State of California and the LWDA, and on behalf of Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees, to collect civil penalties for Defendant's Labor Code violations.
- 4. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated individuals as part of two settlement classes- (a) the "Non-Exempt Class" consisting of all persons employed in a non-exempt position by Alliance Technical Group, LLC ("Alliance" or "Defendant") in California at any time during the Class Period, and (b) the "Project Manager Class" consisting of all persons employed in an exempt Project Manager position by Alliance **Technical Group, LLC in California at any time during the Class Period** (collectively the "Class" or "Class Members") – in the State of California from April 7, 2019 through the date of preliminary approval of settlement ("Class Period"). Defendant's violations of California's wage and hours laws and unfair competition laws, as described more fully below, have been ongoing for at least the past four years, and are continuing at present.
- 5. During the Class Period, Plaintiff and Class Members were employed as nonexempt employees and misclassified exempt Project Managers by Alliance in California, as defined under the Labor Code and were entitled to hourly pay, payment of minimum wages and

1

12

14 15

16

13

17 18

19

20 21

22 23 24

25

26 27 28 all hours worked during their employment, along with premium pay for all overtime worked in accordance with the Labor Code, and they were entitled to either regular meal and rest breaks or premium pay for time worked during meal and rest breaks. Plaintiff and Class Members were also entitled to receive regular, accurate itemized wage statements reflecting the total amount of money which they were owed, meal/rest break premiums, total hours worked, hours worked at each rate of pay, gross and net wages, all wages earned including wages due for all hours worked including work after the finish of the workday, rates of pay including overtime rates, and the accurate name of the company employing them.

- 6. However, Defendant impermissibly classified Plaintiff and the Project Manager Class as exempt employees, and thus refused to pay Plaintiff and other non-exempt Class Members any overtime pay, refused to afford them any meal or rest breaks, and refused to pay them premium pay for denied meal and rest breaks. Indeed, contrary to its claims otherwise, Alliance continued to pay Plaintiff and Project Managers an hourly wage instead of a salary, reflecting their continued treatment as non-exempt hourly employees. Yet Alliance continued to deny overtime pay, meal and rest breaks, and premium pay for denied meal and rest breaks to all Class Members.
- 7. Moreover, Defendant regularly furnished to Plaintiff and all Class Members inaccurate itemized wage statements which failed to include an accurate itemization of the Class Members' meal/rest break premiums, total hours worked, hours worked at each rate of pay, gross and net wages, all wages earned including wages due for all hours worked including work after the finish of the workday, and rates of pay including overtime rates. In addition, these wage statements included the wrong name of the company on each and every statement. Although "Alliance Technical Group, LLC" is the registered name of the company employing Plaintiff and Class Members, the wage statements provided the name "Alliance Source Testing" as the employer, an inaccurate name that is not registered with the California Secretary of State.
- 8. In particular, during the one-year period prior to the filing of this Complaint through to the trial date ("Wage Statement Class Period"), Defendant knowingly and intentionally failed to provide Class Members with accurate itemized wage statements ("Wage Statement Subclass"), in violation of Labor Code § 226(a) and 226.2. Defendant did so by: 1) incorrectly listing the name

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

24

25

23

26 27

28

of Class Members' employer as "Alliance Source Testing" on wage statements, which is not the name of the company nor is it the name of any company registered with the California Secretary of State; and 2) providing wage statements which failed to include an accurate itemization of the Class Members' meal/rest break premiums, total hours worked, hours worked at each rate of pay, gross and net wages, all wages earned including wages due for all hours worked including work after the finish of the workday, and rates of pay including overtime rates.

- 9. In addition, this action is brought on behalf of a subclass comprised of Plaintiff and Class Members formerly employed by Defendant ("Waiting Time Penalty Subclass Class Members"). During the "Waiting Time Penalty Subclass Period" – designated as three years prior to the filing of the Complaint through to the trial date – Defendant failed to pay all compensation due and owing to Waiting Time Penalty Subclass Class Members for their overtime and meal and rest break premium pay upon discharge from employment in violation of Labor Code §§ 201-203.
- As a result of the above Labor Code violations, Defendant committed unfair, 10. unlawful, and fraudulent business practices, in violation of the UCL.

THE PARTIES

- 11. Plaintiff is and at all times relevant hereto was a resident of the State of California, County of Ventura.
- 12. Defendant Alliance Technical Group, LLC ("Alliance") is a limited liability company founded in Tennessee with corporate headquarters in Decatur, Alabama. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Alliance does business and employs individuals in the County of Ventura, State of California.
- 13. Defendant Alliance is Plaintiff's employer within the meaning of the Labor Code and is therefore subject to the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 14. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise of the Defendants named herein as DOES 1-50, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff at this time and therefore said Defendants are sued by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this Complaint to insert the true names and capacities of said Defendants when the same become known to Plaintiff. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that each of

the fictitiously-named Defendants is responsible for the wrongful acts alleged herein and is therefore liable to Plaintiff as alleged hereinafter.

- 15. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereupon alleges, that at all times relevant hereto, Defendants, and each of them, were the agents, employees, managing agents, supervisors, conspirators, parent corporation, joint employers, alter ego, and/or joint ventures of the other Defendants, and each of them, and in doing the things alleged herein, were acting at least in part within the course and scope of said agency, employment, conspiracy, joint employment, alter ego status, and/or joint venture and with the permission and consent of each of the other Defendants.
- 16. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereupon alleges, that Defendants, and each of them, including those Defendants named DOES 1-50, acted in concert with one another to commit the wrongful acts alleged therein, and aided, abetted, incited, compelled, and/or coerced one another in the wrongful acts alleged herein, and/or attempted to do so. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and based thereupon alleges, that the Defendants, and each of them, including those Defendants named as DOES 1-50, formed and executed a conspiracy or common plan pursuant to which they would commit the unlawful acts alleged herein, with all such acts alleged herein done as part of and pursuant to said conspiracy, intended to and actually causing Plaintiff harm.
- 17. Whenever and wherever reference is made in this Complaint to any act or failure to act by a Defendant or co-Defendant, such allegations and references shall also be deemed to mean the acts and/or failures to act by each Defendant acting individually, jointly and severally.

JURISDICTION

- 18. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's and Class Members' claims under Labor Code §§ 201-203, 226, 510, 512, 558, 1194, 2802, Wage Order Nos. 4 and 5, and the UCL, Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17203 and 17204.
- 19. The amount in controversy for Plaintiff, including claims for civil penalties and pro rata share of attorneys' fees, is less than seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000).

VENUE

6 7

8 9

11 12

10

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

23

22

25

26

24

27 28

20. Venue is proper in the County of Ventura pursuant to Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 395(a) and 395.5. Defendant is a Tennessee limited liability company with its headquarters in Decatur, Alabama. Defendant does, however, maintain places of business in California, including in Camarillo, County of Ventura, California. Many of the actions complained of giving rise to this action took place in Ventura County.

ALTER EGO, AGENCY, AND JOINT EMPLOYER

- 21. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that there exists such a unity of interest and ownership between Defendants and DOES 1-50 that the individuality and separateness of Defendants have ceased to exist.
- 22. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that despite the formation of purported corporate existence, DOES 1-50 are, in reality, one and the same as Defendants, including, but not limited to because:
- Defendants are completely dominated and controlled by DOES 1-50, who a. personally violated the laws as set forth in this complaint, and who have hidden and currently hid behind Defendants to circumvent statutes or accomplish some other wrongful or inequitable purpose.
- b. DOES 1-50 derive actual and significant monetary benefits by and through Defendants' unlawful conduct, and by using Defendants as the funding source for their own personal expenditures.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants and DOES 1-50, while c. really one and the same, were segregated to appear as though separate and distinct for purposes of circumventing a statute or accomplishing some other wrongful or inequitable purpose.
- d. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants do not comply with all requisite corporate formalities to maintain a legal and separate corporate existence.
- e. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the business affairs of Defendants and DOES 1-50 are, and at all times relevant were, so mixed and intermingled that the same cannot reasonably be segregated, and the same are in inextricable confusion. Defendants are, and at all times relevant hereto were, used by DOES 1-50 as a mere

6

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

27

26

28

shell and conduit for the conduct of certain of Defendants' affairs, and are, and were, the alter ego of DOES 1-50. The recognition of the separate existence of Defendants would not promote justice, in that it would permit Defendants to insulate themselves from liability to Plaintiff for violations of the Government Code, Labor Code, and other statutory violations. The corporate existence of Defendants and DOES 1-50 should be disregarded in equity and for the ends of justice because such disregard is necessary to avoid fraud and injustice to Plaintiff herein.

- 23. Accordingly, Defendants constitute the alter ego of DOES 1-50, and the fiction of their separate corporate existence must be disregarded.
- 24. As a result of the aforementioned facts, Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges that Defendant and DOES 1-50 are Plaintiff's joint employers by virtue of a joint enterprise, and that Plaintiff was an employee of Defendants and DOES 1-50. Plaintiff performed services for each and every one of Defendants, and to the mutual benefit of all Defendants, and all Defendants shared control of Plaintiff as an employee, either directly or indirectly, in the manner in which Defendants' business was and is conducted.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

- 25. Alliance is headquartered in Decatur, Alabama and was founded in 2000. According to its website, Alliance "is a strategic and trusted partner providing premier technical solutions that support the full spectrum of customers' environmental needs. Alliance addresses our customers' needs across multiple service lines - Stack Testing, Leak Detection & Repair, Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems, Mechanical Field Services, Analytical Laboratory Services, Ambient Air Monitoring, Software and Technology and Environmental Consulting while providing innovative technological solutions that reduce risk and help ensure compliance." Alliance boasted over 950 skilled workforce "technical professionals" across more than 35 national locations, including in Camarillo, CA, Cypress, CA, Signal Hill, CA, and two locations in Bakersfield, CA.
- 26. During her employment with Alliance, and within the relevant time period, Plaintiff was initially employed as a non-exempt Project Manager I and was subsequently promoted to

3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

12

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

Project Manager II and Project Manager III positions in which she was classified as an exempt, salaried employee.

The Non-Exempt Class

- 27. Throughout the Class Period, Alliance employed the Non-Exempt Class in various non-exempt positions within the state of California.
- 28. Throughout the Class Period, Plaintiff and the Non-Exempt Class Members were not paid minimum wages during some portions of their employment because Defendant failed to pay Class Members for all hours worked, resulting in total compensation being reduced below minimum wages.
- 29. Throughout the Class Period, Plaintiff and the Non-Exempt Class Members regularly worked overtime by working more than 8 hours in a day and/or more than 40 hours in a week, but were not paid (or paid correctly) overtime or double time for all overtime hours worked, including because they were not paid for all work performed and because Alliance failed to include all required forms of compensation in the calculation of the regular rate of pay for purposes of the overtime and/or doubletime rates of pay.
- 30. Throughout the Class Period, Plaintiff and the Non-Exempt Class Members were also not afforded legally compliant meal or rest breaks. Alliance also did not provide premium pay for missed meal and rest breaks.
- 31. Throughout the Class Period, Plaintiff and the Non-Exempt Class Members were not paid for all wages during their employment including but limited to payment for all hours worked and overtime for hours over eight hours in a given shift.
- 32. Throughout the Class Period, Plaintiff and the Non-Exempt Class Members incurred, at times, necessary expenditures or losses during the course of their employment with Alliance, and at the directions of Defendant that included mileage and/or gas costs incurred in driving personal vehicles for work-related purposes and using cellular phones for work-related purposes.
- Throughout the Class Period, Alliance failed to include an accurate itemization of 33. the Non-Exempt Class Members' meal/rest break premiums, total hours worked, hours worked at

6

13

11

14 15

17 18

16

19 20

21 22 23

25 26

24

27 28

each rate of pay, gross and net wages, all wages earned including wages due for all hours worked including work after the finish of the workday, and rates of pay including overtime rates. In addition, on each and every wage statement provided to Plaintiff and Non-Exempt Class Members, the employer name listed was "Alliance Source Testing." But this is not the accurate name of the company employing Plaintiff and the Class. In fact, the name "Alliance Source Testing" is not registered with the California Secretary of State at all. The correct name of the employer is Alliance Technical Group, LLC.

34. Throughout the Class Period, Plaintiff and Non-Exempt Class Members who separated from their employment with Alliance were not paid all wages due and owing to them immediately upon discharge or within seventy-two hours of their resignation of employment.

The Project Manager Class

- 35. Throughout the Class Period, Plaintiff and Project Manager Class Members were employed as Project Managers, a non-managerial, non-supervisory role wherein they conducted onsite environmental testing for clients, provided laboratory analysis of samples, completed technical reports, or supported these efforts at one of Alliance's five California locations.
- 36. Despite being given a title of "Project Manager", Plaintiff and the Project Manager Class Members did not have managerial or supervisory responsibilities. As "Project Managers," their job was to conduct environmental testing in teams of 2-4 in the field at various client sites. In this role, Project Managers communicated and coordinated site visits with the clients, prepared equipment before mobilizing to the job site, coordinated with laboratories for sample drop and delivery, and ensured that all data in the field was collected correctly and completely. Following site work, Project Managers reviewed the data collected and worked with technical writers to complete a report that was completed.
- Plaintiff and the Project Manager Class Members did not have discretion over their 37. projects or the amount of time it took to complete the projects, but Alliance regularly required Plaintiff and the Project Manager Class Members to work 40-65 hour weeks to complete these technically challenging projects, recording time as directed by Alliance and working on jobs assigned to them for as long as Alliance deemed was necessary to complete the onsite work.

12

13

16

23

26

- 38. Plaintiff and the Project Manager Class did not schedule jobs, help with billing, hire or fire anyone, or directly supervise anyone.
- 39. Yet Alliance unilaterally and improperly classified Plaintiff and the Project Manager Class Members as "salaried" employees exempt from overtime laws and meal and rest break laws.
- 40. Plaintiff and the Project Manager Class Members regularly worked overtime by working more than 8 hours in a day (often more than 12 hours in a day), and more than 40 hours in a week. They were not paid overtime or double time.
- 41. Plaintiff and the Project Manager Class Members were also not afforded legally compliant meal or rest breaks. Alliance also did not provide premium pay for missed meal and rest breaks.
- 42. In Plaintiff's case, which in her experience was typical of other employees, Alliance unilaterally declared Plaintiff a salaried employee who would not be paid overtime or afforded meal or rest breaks. When Plaintiff complained to Regional Manager Shamit Nakura, and eventually President Ryan O'Dea, both individuals refused to change the way Plaintiff was classified, paid, or treated. On information and belief, this experience is typical of the way Alliance treated all Project Manager Class Members.
- 43. Despite Alliance's claims that Plaintiff and Project Manager Class Members were exempt employees paid a salary, their paystubs tell a different story. For example, Plaintiff's and the Project Manager Class Members' wage statements clearly show that they paid an hourly rate and paid only for the hours worked, resulting in fluctuations in their paychecks from week to week, like an hourly non-exempt employee rather than an exempt salaried employee. However, these wage statements also reflect routine, repeated, and deliberate violations of California labor laws:
- Failure to Pay Overtime: Plaintiff and the Project Manager Class Members were 44. not compensated (or properly compensated) for overtime worked. Plaintiff and other Project Manager Class Members were not paid for all hours worked, including overtime hours. Plaintiff's and the Project Manager Class Members' wage statements clearly show individual days in which they worked far in excess of eight hours, sometimes in excess of 12 hours. But every day was paid

4

14

11

19

17

22

23

24

28

at the "regular pay" rate with no overtime paid at all. In addition, many pay periods included workweeks worked well in excess of 40 hours per week, including many weeks as high as 65 hours. But no overtime or doubletime was ever paid to salaried, exempt Project Managers. Further, such overtime and/or doubletime hours should have been paid at an appropriate overtime and/or doubletime rate that included all required forms of compensation in the calculation of the regular rate of pay for purposes of the overtime and/or doubletime rates of pay.

- 45. Failure to Pay Premiums for Missed Meal and Rest Breaks: Nowhere on Plaintiff's or the Project Manager Class Members' wage statements is there any reflection of premium pay for missed meal and rest breaks, despite Alliance denying Project Manager Class Members the opportunity to take such meal and rest breaks as required by California law.
- 46. Failure to Pay Minimum Wages: Project Manager Class Members were not paid minimum wages during some portions of their employment because Defendant failed to pay Class Members for all hours worked, resulting in total compensation being reduced below minimum wages.
- 47. Failure to Pay Wages During Employment: Project Manager Class Members were not paid for all wages during their employment including but limited to payment for all hours worked and overtime for hours over eight hours in a given shift.
- 48. Failure to Indemnify for Business Expenses: Project Manager Class Members incurred, at times, necessary expenditures or losses during the course of their employment with Alliance, and at the directions of Defendant that included mileage and/or gas costs incurred in driving personal vehicles for work-related purposes and using cellular phones for work-related purposes.
- 49. Inaccurate Wage Statements: Throughout the Class Period, Alliance failed to include an accurate itemization of the Project Manager Class Members' meal/rest break premiums, total hours worked, hours worked at each rate of pay, the correct salary and/or hourly rate of pay, gross and net wages, all wages earned including wages due for all hours worked including work after the finish of the workday, and rates of pay including overtime rates. In addition, on each and every wage statement provided to Plaintiff and Project Manager Class Members, the employer

9

14

19

17

21

23

28

name listed was "Alliance Source Testing." But this is not the accurate name of the company employing Plaintiff and the Class. In fact, the name "Alliance Source Testing" is not registered with the California Secretary of State at all. The correct name of the employer is Alliance Technical Group, LLC.

50. Failure to Pay Wages Upon Separation: Throughout the Class Period, Plaintiff and Project Manager Class Members who separated from their employment with Alliance were not paid all wages due and owing to them immediately upon discharge or within seventy-two hours of their resignation of employment.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 51. Plaintiff brings this class action pursuant to Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 382 on behalf of the Class and Waiting Time Penalty Subclass. Upon information and belief, the members of the Class and Waiting Time Penalty Subclass are so numerous that joinder of all members is
- Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class and Waiting Time Penalty Subclass because she was an employee of Defendant who was (a) not paid any premium overtime pay for the duration of her employment at Alliance; (b) was not authorized to take meal or rest breaks for the duration of her employment at Alliance; (c) was not paid a premium rate for denied meal and rest breaks for the duration of her employment at Alliance; (d) was provided with inaccurate wage statements that incorrectly listed her employer as "Alliance Source Testing" and which provided inaccurate sums of money to be paid to Plaintiff; and (e) was not paid all wages due at termination. Further, Plaintiff is a member of the Non-Exempt Class with respect to the period of time within the Class Period that she was employed as a non-exempt employee, and is also a member of the Project Manager Class with respect to the period of time within the Class Period that was employed as an exempt Project Manager.
- 53. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the Class and Waiting Time Penalty Subclass. Plaintiff has no conflict of interest with any member of the Class and Waiting Time Penalty Subclass. Plaintiff has retained competent and experienced counsel in

4

5

7

9

13

16

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

complex class action litigation. Plaintiff's counsel has the expertise and financial resources to adequately represent the interests of the Class and Waiting Time Penalty Subclass.

- 54. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and the Waiting Time Penalty Subclass and predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class and Subclass. Among the questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass are the following:
 - a. Whether the Project Manager Class Members are non-exempt employees, entitled to at least minimum wage for all hours worked, entitled to overtime premium pay, entitled to paid off-duty rest and meal breaks, and/or entitled to separate and hourly premium pay for their time spent working on meal and rest breaks;
 - b. Whether Defendant violated Labor Code §§ 226.2 and 1194 and Wage Order No. 4 2001, § 4 by failing to pay Plaintiff and the Class overtime premium pay (or to properly pay overtime premium pay) for their overtime work as defined by the Labor Code during the Class Period;
 - c. Whether Defendant is liable for liquidated damages to Plaintiff and the Class under Labor Code § 1194.2 for its failure to pay overtime premium pay during the Class Period;
 - d. Whether Defendant violated Wage Order No. 4-2001 § 12 and maintained policies and practices that prevented or impeded Class Members from being provided lawful meal periods during the Class Period;
 - e. Whether Defendant violated Labor Code § 226.7 and Wage Order No. 4-2001 § 12 by failing to pay (or failing to properly pay) one hour of premium pay to each member of the Class for each day that a lawful meal period was not provided during the Class Period;
 - f. Whether Defendant violated Wage Order No. 4-2001 § 12 and maintained policies and practices that prevented or impeded Class Members from being authorized and permitted to take paid rest periods during the Class Period;

SECOND AMENDED CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

- g. Whether Defendant violated Labor Code § 226.7 and Wage Order No. 4-2001 § 12 by failing to pay (or failing to properly pay) one hour of premium pay to each member of the Class for each day that a paid rest period was not provided during the Class Period;
- h. Whether Defendant violated Labor Code § 226(a) and 226.2 by failing to issue accurate itemized wage statements to Wage Statement Subclass Class Members;
- i. Whether Defendant's violation of Labor Code § 226(a) was knowing and intentional;
- j. Whether Wage Statement Subclass Class Members suffered injury for the purposes of Labor Code § 226(e);
- k. Whether Defendant violated Labor Code § 203 by failing to pay Waiting Time Penalty Subclass for all of their wages due to them upon separation of their employment, including the wages owed to them for their time spent on rest periods;
- 1. Whether Defendant failed to timely pay to Class Members all wages during employment in violation of Labor Code § 204;
- m. Whether Defendant failed to reimburse Class Members for all necessary business expenses and expenditures, including mileage and gas expenses for the use of their personal vehicles in violation of Labor Code § 2802;
- n. Whether these violations constitute unfair, unlawful, and fraudulent business practices, in violation of UCL;
- Whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to restitution under Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq. for uncompensated wages, unpaid premium pay, and unreimbursed Cell Phone Business Expenses;
- p. The proper formula(s) for calculating damages, interest, and restitution owed to
 Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass Members; and
- q. Whether the Class is entitled to declaratory relief.

55. Class action treatment is superior to any alternative to ensure the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy alleged herein. Such treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would entail. No difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action that would preclude its maintenance as a class action, and no superior alternative exists for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Class Members are readily identifiable from Defendant's employee rosters and/or payroll records.

- 56. Defendant's actions are generally applicable to the entire Class. Prosecution of separate actions by individual members of each Class creates the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications of the issues presented herein, which, in turn, would establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant.
- 57. Because joinder of all members is impractical, a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Furthermore, the amounts at stake for many members of each Class, while substantial, may not be sufficient to enable them to maintain separate suits against Defendant.

PAGA ALLEGATIONS

58. This action is brought on behalf of Plaintiff and similarly-situated employees at all of Defendants' California locations to recover penalties for Defendants' failure to pay (or properly pay) overtime, failure to may minimum wages, failure to provide meal and rest breaks and/or pay (or properly pay) meal and rest break premiums, failure to provide timely and accurate wage statements, failure to pay wages during employment, misclassification of the Project Manager Class as exempt, failure to pay all compensation owing upon discharge, failure to pay wages for all hours worked, failure to reimburse employees for necessary expenditures, and improper deductions from salary of exempt employees for partial day absences, Plaintiff seeks to stand in as a representative pursuant to the California Private Attorneys General Act and recover civil penalties for these violations.

7

10

11

12

13 14

15

1617

18

19

20

2223

21

2425

2627

28

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

Failure to Pay Overtime Wages

(California Labor Code §§ 510, 558 and Wage Order 5)

- 59. Plaintiff re-alleges, on behalf of herself and Class Members, each and every paragraph of this Complaint as though fully set forth.
- 60. California Labor Code §§ 510, 558, and Wage Order 5 entitle non-exempt employees to overtime premiums for hours worked in excess of eight (8) in a given day, forty (40) in a given workweek, or on the seventh day worked in a single workweek. All hours must be paid at the statutory or agreed rate and no part of this rate may be used as a credit against a minimum wage obligation.
- 61. Plaintiff and members of the Class Members worked in excess of eight hours per day and in excess of forty hours per week, and Defendant unlawfully failed to pay Plaintiff and Class Members the proper overtime compensation.
- 62. As a result of these violations, Defendant is liable for unpaid overtime wages, interest thereon, and attorneys' fees and costs.
- 63. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and Class Members, also requests relief as described below.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

Failure to Pay Minimum Wages

(California Labor Code §§ 1197, 1199 and Wage Order 5)

- 64. Plaintiff re-alleges, on behalf of herself and Class Members, each and every paragraph of this Complaint as though fully set forth.
- 65. Pursuant to Labor Code section 1197 and applicable Wage Orders, Plaintiffs and Class Members were entitled to receive minimum wages for all hours worked or otherwise under Defendants' control.
- 66. Defendant unlawfully failed to pay Plaintiff and Class Members for all hours worked at their regular rate of pay that is above the minimum wage to the detriment of Plaintiff and Class Members.

- 67. As a result of these violations, Defendant is liable for unpaid minimum wages, interest thereon, and attorneys' fees and costs.
- 68. Pursuant to Labor Code sections 218.6, 1194, 1194.2, Code of Civil Procedure sections 1021.5 and 1032, and Civil Code section 3287, Plaintiffs and Class Members are entitled to recover the full amount of unpaid minimum wages, interest and penalties thereon, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit.
- 69. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and Class Members, also requests relief as described below.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

Failure to Provide Meal Periods

(California Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512, and Wage Order 5)

- 70. Plaintiff re-alleges, on behalf of herself and Class Members, each paragraph of this Complaint as though fully set forth.
- 71. Defendant failed to provide meal periods as required by Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512 and Wage Order 5.
- 72. Plaintiff and Class Members worked in excess of five hours a day without being provided at least half hour meal periods in which they were relieved of their duties, as required by Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512 and Wage Order 5. See Brinker Restaurant Corp., et al. v. Superior Court (2012) 53 Cal. 4th 1004, 1040-41 ("The employer satisfies this obligation if it relieves its employees of all duty, relinquishes control over their activities and permits them a reasonable opportunity to take an uninterrupted 30–minute period, and does not impede or discourage them from doing so . . . [A] first meal period [is required] no later than the end of an employee's fifth hour of work, and a second meal period [is required] no later than the end of an employee's 10th hour of work.").
- 73. Because Defendant failed to provide proper meal periods, it is liable to Plaintiff and Class Members for one hour of additional pay at the regular rate of compensation for each work day that the proper meal periods were not provided, pursuant to Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512 and Wage Order 5, as well as interest thereon, plus reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit pursuant

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

to Civil Procedure Code § 1021.5.

74. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and Class Members, also requests further relief as described below.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

Failure to Provide Rest Periods

(California Labor Code § 226.7 and Wage Order 5)

- 75. Plaintiff re-alleges, on behalf of herself and Class Members, each paragraph of this Complaint as though fully set forth.
- 76. Defendant failed to provide the rest periods that are required by Wage Order 5. See Brinker, 53 Cal. 4th 1004 at 1029 ("Employees are entitled to 10 minutes rest for shifts from three and one-half to six hours in length, 20 minutes for shifts of more than six hours up to 10 hours, 30 minutes for shifts of more than 10 hours up to 14 hours, and so on.").
- 77. Because Defendant failed to provide proper rest periods, it is liable to Plaintiff and Class Members for one hour of additional pay at the regular rate of compensation for each workday that the proper rest periods were not provided, pursuant to Labor Code § 226.7 and Wage Order 5, as well as interest thereon, plus reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit pursuant to Civil Procedure Code § 1021.5.
- 78. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and Class Members, also requests relief as described below.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

Failure to Furnish Timely and Accurate Itemized Wage Statements (California Labor Code § 226.7 and Wage Order 5)

- 79. Plaintiff re-alleges, on behalf of herself and Class Members, each paragraph of this Complaint as though fully set forth.
- 80. In violation of Labor Code § 226(a), Defendant did not provide Plaintiff or Class Members with accurate itemized wage statements in writing showing: (1) all applicable hourly rates in effect during each respective pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked by each respective individual; (2) number of hours worked; (3) gross wages earned; (4) net wages

9

earned; (5) all deductions; (6) inclusive dates of the period for which the employee is paid; (7) the employee identification or social security number; and, (8) the name and address of the legal entity that is the employer.

- 81. In particular, Defendant failed to include an accurate itemization of the Class Members' meal/rest break premiums, total hours worked, hours worked at each rate of pay, gross and net wages, all wages earned including wages due for all hours worked including work after the finish of the workday, and rates of pay including overtime rates. In addition, Defendant included the wrong name of the business entity on the wage statements, including the name "Alliance Source Testing" instead of the accurate name Alliance Technical Group, LLC.
- 82. As a result of Defendant's failure to provide accurate itemized wages statements, Plaintiff and Class Members suffered actual damages and harm by being unable to determine their applicable hourly rate for each pay period, which prevented them from becoming aware of these violations and asserting their statutory protections under California law.
- 83. Defendant knowingly and intentionally failed to comply with Labor Code § 226(a) on each and every wage statement provided to Plaintiff and Class Members.
- 84. Pursuant to Labor Code § 226(e), Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to recover the greater of all actual damages or fifty dollars (\$50.00) for the initial pay period in which a violation occurs and one hundred dollars (\$100.00) per employee for each violation in a subsequent pay period, not exceeding an aggregate penalty of four thousand dollars (\$4,000.00).
- 85. Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to an award of costs and reasonable attorneys' fees under Labor Code § 226(h).
- Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and Class Members, also requests relief as described 86. below.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

Failure to Pay All Compensation Due Upon Discharge (California Labor Code §§ 201-203)

87. Plaintiff re-alleges, on behalf of herself and Class Members, each paragraph of this Complaint as though fully set forth.

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

27

26 28

- 88. California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202 require Defendant to pay all compensation due and owing to former employees immediately upon discharge or within seventy-two hours of their termination of employment. California Labor Code § 203 provides that if an employer willfully fails to pay compensation promptly upon discharge or resignation, as required by Sections 201 and 202, then the employer is liable for such "waiting time" penalties in the form of continued compensation up to thirty workdays.
- 89. Defendant willfully failed to pay Plaintiff and Waiting Time Penalty Subclass Class Members who are no longer employed by Defendant compensation due upon termination as required by California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202. As a result, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and Waiting Time Penalty Subclass Class Members waiting time penalties provided under California Labor Code § 203, plus reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit.
- 90. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and Class Members, also requests relief as described below.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

Failure to Timely Pay Wages During Employment

(California Labor Code §§ 204, 210)

- 91. Labor Code § 204 requires that all wages are due and payable twice in each calendar month. The wages required by Labor Code §§ 226.7, 510, 1194, 1197 and other sections became due and payable to Plaintiff and Class Members in each month that he or she was not paid all lawful wages owed or provided with lawful meal period or rest period premiums to which he or she was entitled. Defendants violated Lab. Code § 204 by failing to pay said wages when they were due and payable.
- 92. Labor Code section 210 (a) provides that "In addition to, and entirely independent and apart from, any other penalty provided in this article, every person who fails to pay the wages of each employee as provided in Sections 201.3, 204, 204b, 204.1, 204.2, 204.11, 205, 205.5, and 1197.5, shall be subject to a penalty as follow: (1) For any initial violation, one hundred dollars (\$100) for each failure to pay each employee. (2) For each subsequent violation, or any willful or

intentional violation, two hundred dollars (\$200) for each failure to pay each employee, plus 25 percent of the amount unlawfully withheld."

- 93. As a result of the unlawful acts of Defendants alleged herein, Plaintiffs and the Class they seek to represent have been deprived of wages in amounts to be determined at trial, and are entitled to recovery of such amounts, plus interest and penalties.
- 94. Plaintiffs and Class Members are entitled to recover the full amount of unpaid wages, interest and penalties thereon, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit.
- 95. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and Class Members, also requests relief as described below.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

Failure to Indemnify For Business Expenses

(California Labor Code § 2802)

- 96. Plaintiff re-alleges, on behalf of herself and Class Members, each paragraph of this Complaint as though fully set forth.
- 97. Labor Code section 2802, subdivision (a) provides that "an employer shall indemnify his or her employee for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the discharge of his or her duties . . .".
- 98. For three (3) years prior to the filing of the Complaint in this Action through the present, Defendant required Plaintiff and Class Members, or some of them, to incur, at times, necessary expenditures or losses in direct consequence of the discharge of their duties or at the obedience to the directions of Defendant that included, without limitation: mileage and/or gas costs incurred in driving personal vehicles for work-related purposes, and using cellular phones for work-related purposes.
- 99. During that time period, Plaintiff are informed and believe, and based thereon allege that Defendant failed and refused, and still fail and refuse, at times, to reimburse Plaintiff and Class Members for those losses and/or expenditures.
- 100. As a result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered damages in an amount subject to proof, to the extent they were not reimbursed for the

herein-described losses and/or expenditures.

101. Pursuant to Labor Code sections 2802 and 2804, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to recover reimbursement for their losses and/or expenditures, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

Unfair Business Practices in Violation of California

(Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq.)

- 102. Plaintiff re-alleges, on behalf of herself and Class Members, each paragraph of this Complaint as though fully set forth.
- 103. Plaintiff brings this cause of action individually and as a representative of all others subject to Defendant's unlawful acts and practices.
- 104. Business and Professions Code § 17200 prohibits unfair competition in the form of any unlawful, unfair, or fraudulent business act or practice. Business and Professions Code § 17204 allows "any person who has suffered injury in fact and has lost money or property" to prosecute a civil action for violation of the Unfair Competition Law.
- 105. Defendant committed unlawful, unfair, and/or fraudulent business acts and practices as defined by Business and Professions Code § 17200 by failing to pay overtime wages and failing to pay wages for missed meal and rest periods.
- 106. The above-described unlawful actions of Defendant constitute false, unfair, fraudulent and/or deceptive business practices, within the meaning of Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.
- 107. As a result of its unlawful acts, Defendant reaped unfair benefits and illegal profits at the expense of Plaintiff, and the Class she seeks to represent. Defendant should be enjoined from this activity, caused to specifically perform its obligations, and made to disgorge these ill-gotten gains and pay restitution to Plaintiff and the members of the Class including, but not limited to, restitution of all unpaid wages, plus interest, as well as attorneys' fees and costs.
- 108. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and Class Members, also request relief as described below.

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

- 11
- 12 13
- 14
- 15
- 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

Civil Penalties Under the Private Attorneys General Act,

Labor Code Section 2699 et seq., For Violations of the Labor Code

- 109. Plaintiff incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 110. Plaintiff sent notice to Defendant and the Labor Workforce Development Agency (hereinafter referred to as "LWDA") on or about April 21, 2023, detailing Defendant's Labor Code violations. Over 65 days have passed since the postmark date of the notice and Plaintiff has not been in receipt of any communication from the LWDA indicating an intent to pursue this matter. Further, on April 15, 2024, Plaintiff sent an amended notice to Defendant and the LWDA, to include additional claims of Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees against Defendant. Therefore, Plaintiff now acts as a private attorney general to collect civil penalties for Defendants' Labor Code violations. California Labor Code §§2698-2699.5, in relevant part provides, "any provision of this code that provides for a civil penalty to be assessed and collected by the Labor and Workforce Development Agency...for a violation of this code, may, as an alternative, be recovered through a civil action brought by an aggrieved employee on behalf of himself or herself and other current or former employees pursuant to the procedures specified in Section 2699.3."
- 111. As an aggrieved employee, Plaintiff brings this civil action on behalf of herself and other aggrieved employees including (a) all persons employed in a non-exempt position by Alliance in California at any time from April 21, 2022 through the date of preliminary approval of settlement (the "PAGA Period"), and (b) all persons employed as Project Managers by Alliance in California at any time during the PAGA Period. The aggrieved employees seek to recover penalties for failure to pay (or properly pay) overtime, failure to provide meal and rest breaks and/or pay (or properly pay) meal and rest break premiums, failure to pay wages for all hours worked including minimum wages, failure to reimburse employees for necessary expenditures, improper deductions from salary of exempt employees for partial day absences, failure to furnish timely and accurate wage statements/payroll records (including, without limitation, including the incorrect employer/entity name), failure to maintain required records, unlawfully withheld wages for meal

14

11

17

20

21

23

28

and rest period violations, unlawfully withheld wages for overtime work, failure to timely pay wages during employment and/or at separation, miscalculation of regular rate of pay for overtime and break premiums, and violation of other California laws, as well as other statutory penalties and damages owed to Plaintiff by Respondents.

- Defendants violated California Minimum Wage Order (MW-2014), Labor Code 112. sections 1194, 201, 202, 203, 204, 226.2 by not paying Plaintiffs' and other aggrieved employees' agreed upon wage and minimum wage for hours worked after the finish time of the workday. Labor Code section 1194 states that any employee receiving less that the legal minimum wage is entitled to recover in a civil action the full amount of this minimum wage, including interest thereon, reasonable attorney's fees, and costs of suits. Labor Code section 1194.2 states that in any action under section 1194 to recover wages because of the payment of a wage less than the minimum wage an employee shall be entitled to recover liquidated damages in an amount equal to the wages unlawfully paid and interest thereon. All of the above violations were suffered by Plaintiff and Class Members at all of Defendants' locations in California during the PAGA Period.
- 113. Defendants violated California Labor Code § 221, in relation to §§ 201, 202, 203, 226 and 515, for improper or unauthorized deductions from the salary of exempt employees for partial day absences. California Labor Code § 221 provides that "It shall be unlawful for any employer to collect or receive from an employee any part of wages theretofore paid by said employer to said employee." Defendants are prohibited from making deductions from an employee's wages, except as permitted by law. Since exempt employees are generally entitled to receive their full salary for any week in which they perform work, deductions for partial day absences may be unlawful unless specifically authorized by law or by the employee. Any unauthorized deduction from an employee's salary for partial day absences may be a violation of California Labor Code §§ 201 to 203, governs the payment of wages to employees, especially if it results in the employee receiving less than the minimum wage for all hours worked. California Labor Code §226 requires employers to provide employees with accurate itemized wage statements showing all deductions made from their pay. Deductions for partial day absences must be clearly documented on these statements. Defendants violated the said Labor Code provisions

for deducting wages from the salary of Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees for partial day absences, without the exempt employees' consent. All of the above violations were suffered by Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees at all of Respondents' locations in California on a daily basis during the PAGA Period.

- 114. Defendant violated Labor Code section 204, which provides that all wages earned by an employee are due and payable twice during a calendar month on days designated in advance as regular paydays by the employer.
- 115. Defendant did not pay Plaintiff and all similarly-situated employees' all wages due to them during employment. Defendant willfully failed and refused, and continue to willfully refuse, to timely pay compensation and wages, including overtime pay; and payments for meal and rest periods not provided. As a result, Defendants are liable for the amount of wages due, interest, any other statutory penalties, other damages, together with attorneys' fees and costs. All of the above violations were suffered by Plaintiff and similarly-situated employees at all of Defendants' locations in California on a daily basis during the PAGA Period.
- 116. Defendant violated Labor Code sections 201, 202, and 203 and is subject to sections 218.5, and 218.6. Labor Code section 201 provides that if an employer discharges an employee, the employee's wages that have been earned and are unpaid at the time of discharge shall be paid immediately. Labor Code section 202 provides that if an employee quits, his or her wages become due not later than 72 hours thereafter or if an employee gives 72 hours previous notice of his or her intention to quit the employee's wages are due at the time of quitting. Labor Code section 203 provides that if the employer willfully fails to pay any wages of an employee who is discharged or who quits, the employee's wages shall continue as a penalty from the due date of the payment of the wages, at the same rate, until such wages paid or an action commenced, but not more than 30 days. Labor Code section 218.5 provides that the court shall award attorneys' fees and costs to the prevailing party in an action for nonpayment of wages. Labor Code section 218.6 provides that in an action for nonpayment of wages, court shall award interest on all due and unpaid wages.
- 117. Defendant did not pay Plaintiff and all similarly-situated employees' wages due when Defendant terminated their employment or within 72 hours of when the similarly-situated

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

employees resigned. Defendant willfully failed and refused, and continue to willfully refuse, to timely pay compensation and wages, including overtime pay; and payments for meal and rest periods not provided. As a result, Defendants are liable for the amount of wages due, interest, and waiting time penalties, any other statutory penalties, other damages, together with attorneys' fees and costs. All of the above violations were suffered by Plaintiff and similarly-situated employees at all of Defendants' locations in California on a daily basis during the PAGA Period.

118. Defendants have violated Labor Code section 510 and are subject to Labor Code sections 1194 and other relevant Labor Code sections such as 226.7, 1194, 1194.2, 1194.3, 1197, 1198, and other pertinent sections of the Labor Code. Labor Code section 510 provides that any work in excess of eight hours in one workday and any work in excess of 40 hours in any one workweek shall be compensated at the rate of no less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for an employee and any work in excess of 12 hours in one day shall be compensated at the rate of no less than twice the regular rate of pay for an employee. Labor Code section 1194 provides that any employee who receives less than the legal overtime compensation due said employee is entitled to recover in a civil action the full amount of the overtime compensation, interest on said compensation, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit. Defendants have violated the Labor Code section by not paying Plaintiff and all similarly-situated employees rightfully earned overtime pay for their working more than 8 and 12 hours per day and more than 40 hours per week on a daily and weekly basis. As a result of Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff's rightfully earned overtime pay, Defendants are liable for all back overtime pay, waiting time penalties, and any other penalties imposed by the Labor Code which include but are not limited to, interest, and attorneys' fees and costs. All of the above violations were suffered by Plaintiff and similarlysituated employees at all of Defendants' locations in California on a daily basis during the PAGA Period.

119. Defendants violated Labor Code section 226.7 and 226.2 by not providing Plaintiff and all similarly-situated employees with a legally required ten-minute rest period during each four-hour segment of work. Defendants further violated Labor Code sections 226.7 and 512 by not providing Plaintiff with a meal period of not less than 30 minutes for each work period of more

27

28

than five hours per day and a second meal period of not less than 30 minutes for a work period of more than 10 hours day. Respondents are liable to Claimant for one hour of additional pay at the regular rate of compensation for each workday that the required rest periods were not provided and one hour of additional pay at the regular rate of compensation for each day that the required meal periods were not provided. Defendants are also liable for civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code sections 558 and 2698 in the amount of \$50.00 for each pay period during which Plaintiff and similarly situated employees were not provided required rest and meal periods for the initial violation; and \$100.00 for each pay period during which Plaintiff and similarly situated employees was not provided required rest and meal periods for each subsequent violation. All of the above violations were suffered by Plaintiff and similarly-situated employees at all of Defendants' locations in California on a daily basis during the PAGA Period.

120. Defendants violated Labor Code § 2802 by failing to reimburse Plaintiff and all similarly-situated employees for necessary expenses or losses incurred in direct consequence of the discharge of their duties. Labor Code § 2802 provides that "(a) An employer shall indemnify his or her employee for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the discharge of his or her duties, or of his or her obedience to the directions of the employer, even though unlawful, unless the employee, at the time of obeying the directions, believed them to be unlawful; (b) All awards made by a court or by the Division of Labor Standards Enforcement for reimbursement of necessary expenditures under this section shall carry interest at the same rate as judgments in civil actions. Interest shall accrue from the date on which the employee incurred the necessary expenditure or loss; (c) For purposes of this section, the term "necessary expenditures or losses" shall include all reasonable costs, including, but not limited to, attorney's fees incurred by the employee enforcing the rights granted by this section; (d) In addition to recovery of penalties under this section in a court action or proceedings pursuant to Section 98, the commissioner may issue a citation against an employer or other person acting on behalf of the employer who violates reimbursement obligations for an amount determined to be due to an employee under this section. The procedures for issuing, contesting, and enforcing judgments for citations or civil penalties issued by the commissioner shall be the same as those set

forth in Section 1197.1. Amounts recovered pursuant to this section shall be paid to the affected employee." Defendants are therefore liable to Plaintiff and similarly-situated employees for reimbursement of the necessary business expenses that they incurred in the discharge of their duties.

- 121. Defendants violated Labor Code section 226 and 510 in relation to the regular rate of pay of Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees, and for failing to include all compensation required to be included in the regular rate under California law. The regular rate of pay includes all compensation that an employee earns, including not only their hourly wage but also other forms of compensation such as bonuses, commissions, certain types of premiums, and certain non-discretionary payments. California Labor Code requires that all forms of compensation be included in the regular rate calculation unless specifically excluded by law. By not including all wages earned by Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees, the regular rate of pay reflected in their wage statements were inaccurate and lacking, hence a violation of the California Labor Code. All of the above violations were suffered by Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees at all of Respondents' locations in California on a daily basis during the PAGA Period.
- 122. Labor Code § 226 (a) requires employers to furnish each employee with an accurate statement, which includes but is not limited to, gross wages earned, the total numbers of hours worked, and the net wages earned. Labor Code § 226 (e) provides that if an employer fails to provide a statement complying with § 226 (a), then the employee is entitled to recover the greater of actual damages or \$50.00 for the initial violation and \$100.00 for each subsequent violation, up to \$4,000.00 Defendants failed to furnish and continue to intentionally furnish Plaintiff and all similarly-situated employees with timely, itemized statements that meet the requirements of Labor Code § 226 (a) because those statements failed to include an accurate itemization of the employees' meal/rest break premiums, total hours worked, hours worked at each rate of pay, gross and net wages, all wages earned including wages due for all hours worked including work after the finish of the workday, and rates of pay including overtime rates. Defendants are therefore liable for civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code sections 226.2, 226.3 and 2698 in the amount of \$250.00 for the initial violation and \$1,000.00 per subsequent violation. All of the above violations were suffered

11

10

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

22

21

23

2425

2627

28

by Plaintiff and similarly-situated employees at all of Defendants' locations in California on a daily basis during the PAGA Period.

- 123. Respondents violated Labor Code §§ 226 and 1174 and applicable IWC Wage Orders, for failure to preserve, keep and maintain payroll records of Claimant and similarlysituated employees. Labor Code § 1174 requires California employers to preserve, keep and maintain all employee payroll records for at least three years and to keep a record of the names and addresses of all current employees. As part of Respondents' illegal payroll policies and practices to deprive Claimant and similarly-situated employees of all wages earned and due, Respondents knowingly and intentionally failed to maintain records as required under Labor Code sections 226, 1174, and applicable IWC Wage Orders including, but not limited to, the following records: total daily hours worked by each employee; applicable rates of pay; all deductions; meal periods; time records showing when each employee begins and ends each work period; and accurate itemized statements. As a proximate result of Defendants' unlawful conduct described herein, Claimant and similarly-situated employees have been damaged in an amount according to proof. Therefore, pursuant to California Labor Code sections 226, 226 (a), 226 (e), 226.3, 1174, 1174.5, and other applicable provisions under the Labor Code and applicable IWC Wage Orders, Claimant and similarly-situated employees are entitled to recover all wages earned and due, plus interest, penalties, attorney's fees, expenses, and costs.
- 124. As a proximate result of the aforementioned violations, Plaintiff and similarly-situated employees have been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial, and seek reimbursement for expenses paid for the benefit of Defendants, interest, penalties, expenses, and costs of suit.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually, on behalf of the proposed Class and Subclass, and in a representative capacity, prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, in an amount according to proof, as follows:

A. Certification of Plaintiff's claims as a class action, pursuant to Cal. Code of Civ. Pro. Section 382, on behalf of the proposed class;

- B. That the Court declare that Defendant's policies and/or practices of misclassifying Plaintiff and Project Manager Class Members as exempt employees violated California law;
- C. That the Court declare that Defendant's policies and/or practices of refusing to pay overtime and double time to Plaintiff and the Class Members violated California law;
- D. That the Court declare that Defendant's policies and/or practices of refusing to provide meal breaks to Plaintiff and the Class Members violated California law;
- E. That the Court declare that Defendant's policies and/or practices of refusing to authorize or permit rest breaks to Plaintiff and the Class Members violated California law;
- F. That the Court declare Defendant's policies and/or practices of refusing to pay premium pay to Plaintiff and Class Members for missed meal/rest breaks violated California law;
- G. That the Court declare that Defendant's policies and/or practices of providing inaccurate itemized wage statements to Plaintiff and the Class Members violated California law;
- H. That the Court declare that Defendant's policies and/or practices of refusing to pay all wages due and owing to Plaintiff and the Waiting Time Class Members promptly upon termination violated California law;
- I. For a money judgment representing compensatory damages including lost wages, earnings, commissions, and other employee benefits, and all other sums of money, together with interest on these amounts; for other special damages; and for general damages;
- J. For prejudgment interest on each of the foregoing at the legal rate from the date the obligation became due through the date of judgment on this matter;
- K. For pre- and post-judgment interest;
- L. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs;
- M. For penalties as required by law; and
- N. For all such other and further relief that the court may deem just and proper.

SECOND AMENDED CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

Respectfully Submitted,

DATED: November 4, 2024

LABOR LAW PC

By:

Danny Yadidsion, Esq.
Noël Harlow, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
HAYLEY AARSVOLD

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial of all causes of action and claims with respect to which Plaintiff has a right to jury trial.

DATED: November 4, 2024 LABOR LAW PC

HAYLEY AARSVOLD

NOTICE OF PROPOSED CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND DATE FOR FINAL APPROVAL HEARING

Aarsvold v. Alliance Technical Group, LLC (County of Ventura, California Superior Court Case No. 2023CUOE007584)

As a current or former employee of Alliance Technical Group, LLC who worked in a non-exempt position and/or exempt Project Manager position in California, you are entitled to receive money from a class action settlement.

Please read this Notice carefully. This Notice relates to a proposed settlement of class and representative action lawsuit. If you are a Class Member or a PAGA Group Member, it contains important information about your right to receive a payment from the Settlement.

You have received this Notice of Class Action Settlement because the records of Alliance Technical Group, LLC
("Alliance" or "Defendant") show you are a "Class Member" and/or a "PAGA Group Member," and therefore
are entitled to a payment from this class and representative action Settlement. Class Members are all persons who
were employed in a non-exempt position ("Non-Exempt Class Members") or in an exempt Project Manager
position ("Project Manager Class Members") by Defendant in California at any time from April 7, 2019 through
("Class Period" or "Settlement Period"). PAGA Group Members are all persons who were employed
in a non-exempt position or in an exempt Project Manager position by Defendant in California at any time during
the PAGA Period, which is defined as April 21, 2022 through

- The settlement is to resolve a class and representative action lawsuit, *Aarsvold v. Alliance Technical Group, LLC*, pending in the Superior Court of California for the County of Ventura, Case Number 2023CUOE007584 (the "Lawsuit"), alleging, *inter alia*, that, during the Class Period, Alliance, as it pertains to Class Members: (1) failed to pay overtime wages; (2) failed to pay minimum wages; (3) failed to provide meal periods; (4) failed to provide rest periods; (5) failed to furnish timely and accurate itemized wage statements; (6) failed to all compensation due upon discharge; (7) failed to timely pay wages during employment, (8) failed to reimburse for business expenses; and (9) unfair, unlawful, or fraudulent business practices. Based on these and other alleged Labor Code violations, Plaintiff also seek penalties under the California Labor Code Private Attorney Generals Act ("PAGA") for PAGA Group Members.
- On ______, the Ventura County Superior Court granted preliminary approval of this class action settlement and ordered that all Class Members be notified of the Settlement. The Court has not made any determination of the validity of the claims in the Lawsuit. Defendant vigorously denies the claims in the Lawsuit and contends that it fully complied with all applicable laws.

YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS AND OPTIONS IN THIS SETTLEMENT		
DO NOTHING AND RECEIVE PAYMENT	Get a payment and give up your legal rights to pursue claims released by the settlement of the Lawsuit.	
OPT OUT OF THE CLASS SETTLEMENT	Exclude yourself from the class action portion of the Settlement, get no payment for settlement of the class claims, and retain your legal rights to individually pursue the class claims that would otherwise be released by the settlement of the Lawsuit. If you worked in the PAGA Period in a non-exempt position or in an exempt Project Manager position for Alliance, then you will be deemed a "PAGA Group Member" and you will still receive your share of the proceeds available from the settlement of the	

	PAGA Released Claims, defined below, (your "Individual PAGA Payment") even if you opt out of the class settlement.
OBJECT TO THE CLASS SETTLEMENT	If you do not opt out, you may write to the Settlement Administrator, , about why you object to the settlement, and they will forward your concerns to counsel which will then be provided to the Court. If the Court approves the Settlement despite your objection, you will still be bound by the Settlement. You or your attorney may also address the Court during the Final Approval Hearing scheduled for [DATE AND TIME] in Department 43 of the Ventura County Superior Court, Hall of Justice Courthouse located at 800 South Victoria Avenue, Ventura, California 93009.

The Final Approval Hearing on the adequacy, reasonableness, and fairness of the Settlement will be held at ______.m. on _______, in the Ventura County Superior Court, Hall of Justice Courthouse located at 800 South Victoria Avenue, Ventura, California 93009, in Department 43. You are not required to attend the Hearing, but you are welcome to do so.

Why Am I Receiving This Notice?

Alliance's records show that you currently work, or previously worked, for Alliance in the State of California in a non-exempt position and/or an exempt Project Manager position at some point during the Class Period and/or PAGA Period. You were sent this Class Notice because you have a right to know about a proposed settlement of a class action lawsuit, and about all of your options before the Court decides whether to finally approve the settlement. If the Court approves the settlement and then any objections and appeals are resolved, a "Settlement Administrator" appointed by the Court will make the payments described in this Notice. This Notice explains the Lawsuit, the settlement, your legal rights, what benefits are available, who is eligible for them, and how to get them.

What Is This Case About?

Plaintiff Hayley Aarsvold is a former employee of Alliance. She is the "Plaintiff" in this case and is suing on behalf of herself and Class Members for Defendant's alleged failure to pay overtime and minimum wages, failure to provide meal periods, failure to provide rest periods, failure to furnish timely and accurate itemized wage statements, failure to pay wages due upon discharge, failure to timely pay wages during employment, expense reimbursement violations, and unfair competition, among other claims. Based on these and other alleged Labor Code violations, Plaintiff also seeks to recover civil penalties under PAGA on behalf of the PAGA Group Members.

Defendant denies all of the allegations made by Plaintiff and denies that it violated any law. The Court has made no ruling on the merits of Plaintiff's claims. The Court has only preliminarily approved this class action settlement. The Court will decide whether to give final approval to this settlement at the Final Approval Hearing.

Summary of the Settlement Terms

Plaintiff and Defendant have agreed to settle this case on behalf of themselves and Class Members and PAGA Group Members for the Gross Settlement Amount of \$875,000.00, unless escalated pursuant to the Settlement Agreement. The Gross Settlement includes: (1) Administration Costs up to \$5,500.00; (2) up to 35% of the Gross Settlement Amount in attorneys' fees which, unless escalated pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, shall amount to \$306,250.00; (3) up to \$20,000.00 in litigation costs to Class Counsel, according to proof; (4) up to \$25,000.00 in a Service Award to the Plaintiff for her service as a Class Representative; and (5) payment allocated to PAGA penalties in the amount of \$50,000.00, of which 75% (or \$37,500.00) will be paid to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") and twenty-five percent 25% (or \$12,500.00) will be distributed to PAGA Group Members. After deducting these sums, a total of approximately not less than \$468,250.00 will be available for distribution to Class Members ("Net Settlement Amount").

Distribution to Class Members

Class Members who do not opt out will receive a *pro rata* payment of the Net Settlement Amount. The Net Settlement Amount is allocated 87% to the Non-Exempt Class and 13% to the Project Manager Class. Each Non-Exempt Class Member will receive a *pro rata* share of the 87% allocated to the Non-Exempt Class based on the number of Qualifying Workweeks worked by the Non-Exempt Class Member in a non-exempt position for Alliance in California during the Class Period. Each Project Manager Class Member will receive a *pro rata* share of the 13% allocated to the Project Manager Class based on the number of Qualifying Workweeks worked by the Project Manager Class Member in a Project Manager position for Alliance in California during the Class Period. In addition, Class Members who worked during the PAGA Period (*i.e.*, PAGA Group Members) will receive a *pro rata* share of the \$12,500.00 allocated as PAGA penalties to PAGA Group Members, whether or not they opt out of the class settlement, based on the number of Qualifying Pay Periods worked by each PAGA Group Member during the PAGA Period.

Alliance's records indicate that you worked [Qualifying Non-Exempt Workweeks] Qualifying Workweeks in a non-exempt position and [Qualifying Project Manager Workweeks] Qualifying Workweeks in an exempt Project Manager position for Alliance in California during the Class Period. Alliance's records reflect that you worked [Qualifying Pay Periods] Qualifying Pay Periods during the PAGA Period. Based on these records, your estimated payment as a Class Member would be [\$Estimated Award] and your estimated payment as an PAGA Group Member would be [\$Estimated Award]. If you believe this information is incorrect and wish to dispute it, you must mail a dispute to the Settlement Administrator and it must be postmarked no later than [RESPONSE DEADLINE]. Please include: (1) your name, address, telephone number, last four digits of your social security number and your signature; (2) a statement of your dispute and how many Qualifying Workweeks you contend should be credited to you; and (3) any documentation you have that you contend supports your dispute.

Tax Reporting

100% of the payments for PAGA penalties to PAGA Group Members will be allocated as penalties reported on IRS Form 1099. Twenty percent (20%) of each Settlement Payment to Class Members who do not opt out will be allocated as wages and reported on an IRS Form W-2, and eighty percent (80%) will be allocated as civil or statutory penalties and interest, which will be reported on IRS Form 1099. This notice is not intended to provide legal or tax advice on your Settlement Share.

Your check will be valid for 180 days after issuance. After 180 days, uncashed checks shall be sent to the California State Controller's Office Unclaimed Property Fund in the name of the individual, and such Class Members and/or PAGA Group Member shall nevertheless be bound to the Settlement and the Final Approval Order.

Your Options Under the Settlement

Option 1 – Do Nothing and Receive Your Payment

If you do not opt out, you are automatically entitled to your Individual Settlement Payment (*i.e.*, your share of the Net Settlement Amount) because you are a Class Member. If you do not dispute your settlement share calculation and do not opt out of the settlement, you will be bound by the entire release in the settlement and receive your Individual Settlement Payment, as well as your Individual PAGA Payment if you are also an PAGA Group Members. In other words, if you are a Class Member, you do not need to take any action to receive the settlement payment(s) set forth above.

Class Members who do not submit a valid and timely opt out (pursuant to Option 2 below), will be deemed to have fully, finally, and forever released, settled, compromised, relinquished, and discharged the Released Parties of all Released Claims he or she may have or had upon final approval of this Settlement and payment by Defendant to the Settlement Administrator.

Effective only upon the entry of an Order granting Final Approval of the Settlement, entry of Judgment and payment by Defendant to the Settlement Administrator of the full Gross Settlement Amount and Employers' Taxes necessary to effectuate the Settlement, Plaintiffs and all Participating Non-Exempt Class Members release the Released Parties of any and all claims that have been or could reasonably have been asserted based on the factual allegations in the Operative Complaint as follows: For the duration of the Class Period, the release includes, for Participating Class Members: all claims for failure to pay overtime wages (including, without limitation, for failure to properly calculate the regular rate and/or overtime rate of pay); all claims for failure to pay minimum or other wages for all hours worked; all claims for failure to provide meal periods or compensation in lieu thereof (including, without limitation, break premiums); all claims for failure to provide rest periods or compensation in lieu thereof (including, without limitation, break premiums); all claims for failure to pay all wages due upon separation from employment; all claims for failure to issue accurate wage statements (including, without limitation, for failure to include and/or accurately itemize the employees' total hours worked, the hours worked at each rate of pay, gross and net wages, meal and/or rest period premiums, overtime rates, and the name of the entity that is the employer); all claims for failure to timely pay wages during employment; all claims asserting a failure to properly reimburse employees for business-related expenses; all claims asserted through California Business & Professions Code section 17200, et seq. arising out of the Labor Code violations referenced in the Operative Complaint; and all claims for relief, including damages, statutory and/or civil penalties, equitable and/or injunctive relief, attorneys' fees, interest, costs, and any other kind of relief whatsoever that could be sought based on the factual allegations and theories of liability asserted in the Operative Complaint (the "Non-Exempt Class Released Claims"). The Parties additionally agree that the Non-Exempt Class Released Claims shall include the release of claims under the federal Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") pursuant to Rangel v. Check Cashers, 899 F.3d 1106 (9th Cir. 2018).

Also effective only upon the entry of an Order granting Final Approval of the Settlement, entry of Judgment and payment by Defendant to the Settlement Administrator of the full Gross Settlement Amount and Employers' Taxes necessary to effectuate the Settlement, Plaintiffs and all Participating Project Manager Class Members release the Released Parties of any and all claims that have been or could reasonably have been asserted based on

the factual allegations in the Operative Complaint as follows: For the duration of the Class Period, the release includes, for Participating Class Members: all claims for alleged misclassification; all claims for improper deductions from salary of exempt employees for partial-day absences; all claims for failure to pay overtime wages (including, without limitation, for failure to properly calculate the regular rate and/or overtime rate of pay); all claims for failure to pay minimum or other wages for all hours worked; all claims for failure to provide meal periods or compensation in lieu thereof (including, without limitation, break premiums); all claims for failure to provide rest periods or compensation in lieu thereof (including, without limitation, break premiums); all claims for failure to pay all wages due upon separation from employment; all claims for failure to issue accurate wage statements (including, without limitation, for failure to include and/or accurately itemize the employees' total hours worked, the hours worked at each rate of pay, gross and net wages, meal and/or rest period premiums, overtime rates, and the name of the entity that is the employer); all claims for failure to timely pay wages during employment; all claims asserting a failure to properly reimburse employees for business-related expenses; all claims asserted through California Business & Professions Code section 17200, et seq. arising out of the Labor Code violations referenced in the Operative Complaint; and all claims for relief, including damages, statutory and/or civil penalties, equitable and/or injunctive relief, attorneys' fees, interest, costs, and any other kind of relief whatsoever that could be sought based on the factual allegations and theories of liability asserted in the Operative Complaint (the "Project Manager Class Released Claims"). The Parties additionally agree that the Project Manager Class Released Claims shall include the release of claims under the federal Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") pursuant to Rangel v. Check Cashers, 899 F.3d 1106 (9th Cir. 2018).

For Plaintiff, the PAGA Group Members, and, to the extent permitted by law, the State of California, the release includes, for the duration of the PAGA Period, (a) all claims alleged in the Operative Complaint for PAGA civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code section 2699 et seq. in connection with alleged violations of Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 218.5, 218.6, 221, 226, 226.2, 226.3, 226.7, 510, 512, 515, 558, 1174, 1174.5, 1194, 1194.2, 1194.3, 1197, 1198, and 2802, including for alleged: failure to pay overtime wages (including, without limitation, for failure to properly calculate the regular rate and/or overtime rate of pay); improper deductions from salary of exempt employees for partial-day absences; failure to pay minimum or other wages for all hours worked; regular rate of pay violations (with respect to overtime, and meal and rest period premiums); failure to provide meal periods or compensation in lieu thereof (including, without limitation, break premiums at the regular rate of pay); failure to provide rest periods or compensation in lieu thereof (including, without limitation, break premiums at the regular rate of pay); failure to pay all wages due upon separation from employment; failure to issue accurate wage statements (including, without limitation, for failure to include and/or accurately itemize the employees' total hours worked, the hours worked at each rate of pay, gross and net wages, meal and/or rest period premiums, rates of pay including overtime rates, and the name of the entity that is the employer); failure to timely pay wages during employment; failure to maintain accurate required records including payroll records; and failure to properly reimburse employees for business-related expenses (the "PAGA Released Claims"). The Non-Exempt Class Released Claims, the Project Manager Class Released Claims, and PAGA Released Claims are collectively referred to herein as the "Released Claims".

"Released Parties" means Defendant and each of its past, present, and future respective subsidiaries, dba's, affiliates, parents, insurers and reinsurers, and company-sponsored employee benefit plans of any nature and their successors and predecessors in interest (including without limitation, Alliance Source Testing, LLC and Horizon Air Measurement Services, Inc.), including all of their officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, principals, heirs, representatives, accountants, auditors, consultants, attorneys, administrators, fiduciaries, trustees, and agents.

Option 2 – Opt Out of the Settlement

If you do not wish to receive your Individual Settlement Payment or release the Class Released Claims, you may exclude yourself by submitting a written request to be excluded from the Class. Your written request must expressly and clearly indicate that you do not want to participate in the Settlement, and you desire to be excluded from the Settlement. The written request for exclusion must include your name, Social Security Number, and the following statement or any statement standing for the proposition that you seek to be excluded from the Settlement Class: "Please exclude me from the Settlement Class in the *Aarsvold v. Alliance Technical Group, LLC, et al.* matter. I understand that by requesting exclusion, I will not participate in the class settlement and will not receive any money from the class settlement." or any statement of similar meaning standing for the proposition that you do not wish to participate in the Settlement. Sign, date, and mail your written request for exclusion by U.S. First-Class Mail to the address below.

Settlement Administrators
[Add Mailing Address]

Your written request for exclusion must be mailed to the Administrator and postmarked not later than [RESPONSE DEADLINE].

The proposed settlement includes the settlement of the PAGA Released Claims. An employee may not request exclusion from the settlement of a PAGA claim. Thus, if the court approves the settlement, then even if you request exclusion from the Class Settlement, if you are a PAGA Group Member, you will still receive your Individual PAGA Payment and will be deemed to have released the PAGA Released Claims. A request for exclusion will preserve your right, if any, to individually pursue only the Class Released Claims.

Option 3 – Submit an Objection to the Settlement

If you wish to object to the Settlement, you may submit an objection in writing stating why you object to the Settlement. Your written objection must provide your name, address, the last four digits of your Social Security Number, signature, and a statement of the reason(s) why you believe that the Court should not approve the Settlement. Your written objection must be mailed the Administrator and postmarked no later than [RESPONSE DEADLINE]. Please note that you cannot both object to the Settlement and opt out of the Settlement. If you exclude yourself, then your objection will be overruled. If the Court overrules your objection, you will be bound by the Settlement and will receive your Settlement Share.

Final Approval Hearing

Additional Information

This Notice of Class Action Settlement is only a summary of this case and the Settlement. For a more detailed statement of the matters involved in this case and the Settlement, you may call the Settlement Administrator at [PHONE NUMBER]. You may also choose to contact Class Counsel or Defendant's Counsel, whose information appears below:

CLASS COUNSEL

Danny Yadidsion, Esq. Noël Harlow, Esq. LABOR LAW PC 100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 700 Santa Monica, California 90401 Telephone: (310) 494-6082 Danny@LaborLawPC.com Noel.Harlow @LaborLawPC.com

DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL

MCGUIREWOODS LLP

Sabrina A. Beldner (SBN 221918) Email: sbeldner@mcguirewoods.com David Szwarcsztejn (SBN 272371)

Email: dszwarcsztejn@ mcguirewoods.com

Natalie M. Lagunas (SBN 318634) Email: nlagunas@mcguirewoods.com 1800 Century Park East, 7th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 315-8200 Facsimile: (310) 315-8210

You may also visit the Settlement Administrator's website at [WEBSITE] to gain access to key documents in this case, including the Settlement Agreement, the Order Granting Preliminary Approval of this Settlement, the Order Granting Final Approval of this Settlement, and the Final Judgment.

You may also refer to the pleadings, the Settlement Agreement, and other papers filed in this case, which may be inspected at the Ventura County Superior Court, Hall of Justice Courthouse located at 800 South Victoria Avenue, Ventura, California 93009, during regular business hours of each court day. You may also obtain these documents through the Court's website at https://ventura.ecourt.com/public-portal/.

All inquiries by Class Members regarding this Notice of Class Action Settlement and/or the Settlement should be directed to the Settlement Administrator.

PLEASE DO NOT CONTACT THE CLERK OF THE COURT OR THE JUDGE WITH INOUIRIES.

Exhibit B

Doc ID: 75698cd19b8ba567bf1c35dbe1807bd35379424d

PROOF OF SERVICE

eighteen years and not a party to the within action; my business address is 1800 Century Park

On November 15, 2024, I served the following document(s) described as **JOINT**

SETTLEMENT on the interested parties in this action by placing true copies thereof enclosed in

STIPULATION RE: CLASS ACTION AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

East, 8th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90067-1501.

sealed envelopes addressed as follows:

100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 700

Santa Monica, California 90401

Danny Yadidsion

Noël Harlow LABOR LAW PC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

danny@LaborLawPC.com noel.harlow@laborlawpc.com

Attorneys for Hayley Aarsvold

BY MAIL: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day in the ordinary course of business. Such envelope(s) were placed for collection and mailing with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, CA, on that same day following ordinary business practices. (C.C.P. § 1013 (a) and 1013a(3))

BY ELECTRONIC DELIVERY: I caused said document(s) to be transmitted electronically to the above addressees. (C.C.P. § 1010.6)

BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY: I deposited such document(s) in a box or other facility regularly maintained by the overnight service carrier, or delivered such document(s) to a courier or driver authorized by the overnight service carrier to receive documents, in an envelope or package designated by the overnight service carrier with delivery fees paid or provided for, addressed to the person(s) served hereunder. (C.C.P. § 1013(d)(e))

BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I caused such envelope(s) to be delivered the addressee(s). (C.C.P. § 1011)

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on November 15, 2024, at Los Angeles, CA.

Matthe Culity Matthew Whitney



Title Aarsvold - Joint Stip re Class Action and Representative...

File name Aarsvold%20-%20Jo...0Settlement%2.pdf

Document ID 75698cd19b8ba567bf1c35dbe1807bd35379424d

Audit trail date format MM / DD / YYYY

Status • Signed

This document was requested from app.clio.com

Document History

(♂ 11 / 15 / 2024 S	ent for signature to Hayley Aarsvold (hdaarsvold@gmail.com)
---------------------	---

SENT 21:49:09 UTC from sheila.cabazares@laborlawpc.com

IP: 202.36.179.70

0	11 / 15 / 2024	Viewed by Hayley Aarsvold (hdaarsvold@gmail.com)
(0)	11/13/2024	viewed by Hayley Aarsvoid (Hdaarsvoid@giriaii.com)

VIEWED 23:47:50 UTC IP: 104.34.174.244

2	11 / 18 / 2024	Signed by Hayley Aarsyold (hdaarsyold@gmail.com)
1,00	11/10/2024	Signed by paviev Aarsyoid mgaarsyoid@dmair.com

SIGNED 17:20:25 UTC IP: 47.180.93.16

The document has been completed.

COMPLETED 17:20:25 UTC