1 2 3 4 5 6 7	BLUMENTHAL NORDREHAUG BHOWN DE BLOUW LLP Norman B. Blumenthal (State Bar #068687) Kyle R. Nordrehaug (State Bar #205975) Aparajit Bhowmik (State Bar #248066) 2255 Calle Clara La Jolla, CA 92037 Telephone: (858) 551-1223 Fax: (858) 551-1232 Website: www.bamlawca.com Email: kyle@bamlawca.com	VENTURA SUPERIOR COURT FILED 04/03/2025_ Brenda L. McCormick Executive Officer and Clerk Elizabeth Muller
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
9		
10		
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
12	COUNTY OF VENTURA	
13		
14	KARL VANCE, an individual, on behalf of	CASE NO.: 2023CUOE012162
15	himself and on behalf of all persons similarly situated,	
16	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER
17	VS.	
18	vs.	Hearing Date: March 25, 2025 Hearing Time: 8:30 a.m.
19	CS CONTRACT SOLUTIONS, LLC, a Limited Liability Company; FRONTIER	Judge: Hon. Benjamin F. Coats
20	COMMUNICATIONS OF AMERICA, INC., a Corporation; FRONTIER	Dept: 43
21	CALIFORNIA INC., a California Corporation; and DOES 1 through 50,	Date Filed: July 28, 2023 Trial Date: Not set
22	inclusive,	
23	Defendants.	
24		1
25	This matter came before the Hanorable	Reniamin F. Coats of the Superior Court of the
26	This matter came before the Honorable Benjamin F. Coats of the Superior Court of the	
27	State of California, in and for the County Ventura, on March 25, 2025, for hearing on the unopposed motion by Plaintiff Karl Vance ("Plaintiff") for preliminary approval of the Settlement	
28	unopposed modon by Planiuli Karl vance ("P	rammin) for premiminary approval of the Settlement

PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. The Court preliminarily approves the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement ("Agreement") attached as Exhibit #1 to the Declaration of Kyle Nordrehaug in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. This is based on the Court's determination that the Settlement set forth in the Agreement is within the range of possible final approval, pursuant to the provisions of Section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure and California Rules of Court, rule 3.769.

with Defendant CS Contract Solutions, LLC ("Defendant"). The Court, having considered the

briefs, argument of counsel and all matters presented to the Court and good cause appearing,

hereby GRANTS Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement.

- 2. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Agreement, and all terms defined therein shall have the same meaning in this Order as set forth in the Agreement.
- 3. The Gross Settlement Amount that Defendant shall pay is Four Hundred Ninety Thousand Dollars (\$490,000). It appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that the settlement amount and terms are fair, adequate and reasonable as to all potential Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation and the significant risks relating to certification, liability and damages issues. It further appears that investigation and research have been conducted such that counsel for the Parties are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. It further appears to the Court that the Settlement will avoid substantial additional costs by all Parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the Action. It further appears that the Settlement has been reached as the result of serious and non-collusive, arm's-length negotiations.
- 4. The Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement appears to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that could ultimately be given final approval by this Court. The Court has reviewed the monetary recovery that is being granted as part of the Settlement and preliminarily finds that the monetary settlement made available to the Class is fair, adequate, and

reasonable when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation and the significant risks relating to certification, liability, and damages issues.

- 5. The Agreement specifies for an attorneys' fees award not to exceed one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount, an award of litigation expenses incurred, not to exceed \$25,000, and a proposed Class Representative Service Payment to the Plaintiff in an amount not to exceed \$25,000, which are payable out of the Gross Settlement Amount. The Court will not approve the amount of attorneys' fees and costs, nor the amount of any service award, until the Final Approval Hearing. Plaintiff will be required to present evidence supporting these requests, including lodestar, prior to final approval.
- 6. The Court recognizes that Plaintiff and Defendant stipulate and agree to representative treatment and certification of a class for settlement purposes only. This stipulation will not be deemed admissible in this or any other proceeding should this Settlement not become final. For settlement purposes only, the Court conditionally certifies the Class which consists of "all individuals employed by Defendant in California and classified as a non-exempt employee at any time during the Class Period." The "Class Period" is July 28, 2019 through December 21, 2024.
- 7. The Court concludes that, for settlement purposes only, the Class meets the requirements for certification under section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure in that:
 (a) the Class is ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all members of the Class is impracticable; (b) common questions of law and fact predominate, and there is a well-defined community of interest amongst the members of the Class with respect to the subject matter of the litigation; (c) the claims of the Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the members of the Class; (d) the Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class; (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for the efficient adjudication of this controversy; and (f) counsel for the Class is qualified to act as counsel for the Class.
- 8. The Court provisionally appoints Plaintiff as the representative of the Class. The Court provisionally appoints Norman B. Blumenthal, Kyle R. Nordrehaug, and Aparajit Bhowmik

of Blumenthal Nordrehaug Bhowmik De Blouw LLP as Class Counsel for the Class.

- 9. The Agreement provides for a PAGA Penalties out of the Gross Settlement Amount of \$10,000, which shall be allocated \$7,500 to the Labor & Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") as the LWDA's 75% share of the settlement of civil penalties paid under this Agreement pursuant to the PAGA and \$2,500 to the Aggrieved Employees as the Individual PAGA Payments. "Aggrieved Employees" are all individuals employed by Defendant as non-exempt employees in the State of California at any time during the PAGA Period (May 8, 2022 through December 21, 2024). The Administrator will calculate each Individual PAGA Payment by (a) dividing the amount of the Aggrieved Employees' 25% share of PAGA Penalties (\$2,500) by the total number of PAGA Pay Periods worked by all Aggrieved Employees during the PAGA Period and (b) multiplying the result by each Aggrieved Employee's PAGA Pay Periods.

 Pursuant to Labor Code section 2699, subdivision (l)(2), the LWDA will be provided notice of the Agreement and these settlement terms. The Court finds the PAGA Penalties to be reasonable.
- 10. The Court hereby approves, as to form and content, the Class Notice attached to the Agreement as Exhibit A. The Court finds that the Class Notice appears to fully and accurately inform the Class of all material elements of the proposed Settlement, of the Class Members' right to be excluded from the Class by submitting a written opt-out request, and of each member's right and opportunity to object to the Settlement. The Court further finds that the distribution of the Class Notice substantially in the manner and form set forth in the Agreement and this Order meets the requirements of due process, is the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto. The Court orders the mailing of the Class Notice by first class mail pursuant to the terms set forth in the Agreement. If a Class Notice Packet is returned because of an incorrect address, the Administrator will promptly search for a more current address for the Class Member and re-mail the Class Notice Packet to any new address for the Class Member no later than seven (7) days after the receipt of the undelivered Class Notice.

- 10
- 12 13
- 14
- 16
- 17
- 19
- 21 22
- 24
- 25 26
- 27

- 11. The Court hereby appoints Apex Class Action LLC as the Administrator. No later than fifteen (15) days after this Order, Defendant will provide the Class Data to the Administrator. The Administrator will perform address updates and verifications as necessary prior to the first mailing. Using best efforts to mail it as soon as possible, and in no event later than fourteen (14) days after receiving the Class Data, the Administrator will mail the Class Notice Packet to all Class Members via first-class regular U.S. Mail to their last known address.
- 12. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the proposed procedure for exclusion from the Settlement. Any Class Member may individually choose to opt out of and be excluded from the Class as provided in the Class Notice by following the instructions for requesting exclusion from the Class that are set forth in the Class Notice. All requests for exclusion must be postmarked or received no later than sixty (60) calendar days after the date of the mailing of the Class Notice ("Response Deadline"). If a Class Notice Packet is re-mailed, the Response Deadline for requests for exclusion will be extended an additional fourteen (14) days. A Request for Exclusion may also be faxed or emailed to the Administrator as indicated in the Class Notice. Any such person who chooses to opt out of and be excluded from the Class will not be entitled to any recovery under the Class Settlement and will not be bound by the Class Settlement or have any right to object, appeal or comment thereon. Class Members who have not requested exclusion shall be bound by all determinations of the Court, the Agreement and the Judgment. A request for exclusion may only opt out that particular individual, and any attempt to effect an opt-out of a group, class, or subclass of individuals is not permitted and will be deemed invalid.
- 13. Any Class Member who has not opted out may appear at the final approval hearing and may object or express the Member's views regarding the Settlement and may present evidence and file briefs or other papers that may be proper and relevant to the issues to be heard and determined by the Court as provided in the Class Notice. Class Members will have until the Response Deadline to submit their written objections to the Administrator. Written objections may also be faxed or emailed to the Administrator as indicated in the Class Notice. If a Class Notice Packet is re-mailed, the Response Deadline for written objections will be extended an

additional fourteen (14) days. Alternatively, Class Members may appear at the Final Approval Hearing to make an oral objection.

- a.m. in Department 43 of the Ventura County Superior Court to hear the motion for final approval and for attorneys' fees and costs, and to determine all necessary matters concerning the Settlement, including: whether the proposed settlement of the Action on the terms and conditions provided for in the Agreement is fair, adequate and reasonable and should be finally approved by the Court; whether the Final Approval Order and Judgment should be entered herein; whether the plan of allocation contained in the Agreement should be approved as fair, adequate and reasonable to the Class Members; and to finally approve attorneys' fees and costs, service award, and the fees and expenses of the Administrator. All papers in support of the motion for final approval and for attorneys' fees, costs and service award shall be filed with the Court and served on all counsel no later than sixteen (16) court days before the hearing and the motion shall be heard at this final approval hearing.
- thereunder shall be construed as a concession or admission by Defendant in any way that the claims asserted have any merit or that this Action was properly brought as a class or representative action, and shall not be used as evidence of, or used against Defendant as, an admission or indication in any way, including with respect to any claim of any liability, wrongdoing, fault or omission by Defendant or with respect to the truth of any allegation asserted by any person.

 Whether or not the Settlement is finally approved, neither the Settlement, nor any exhibit, document, statement, proceeding or conduct related to the Settlement, nor any reports or accounts thereof, shall in any event be construed as, offered or admitted in evidence as, received as or deemed to be evidence for any purpose adverse to the Defendant, including, but not limited to, evidence of a presumption, concession, indication or admission by Defendant of any liability, fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession or damage.

1	16. In the event the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms	
2	of the Agreement, or the Settlement is not finally approved, or is terminated, canceled or fails to	
3	become effective for any reason, this Order shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated,	
4	and the Parties shall revert to their respective positions as of before entering into the Agreement,	
5	and expressly reserve their respective rights regarding the prosecution and defense of this Action,	
6	including all available defenses and affirmative defenses, and arguments that any claim in the	
7	Action could not be certified as a class action and/or managed as a representative action. In such	
8	an event, the Court's orders regarding the Settlement, including this Order, shall not be used or	
9	referred to in litigation for any purpose. Nothing in this paragraph is intended to alter the terms of	
10	the Agreement with respect to the effect of the Agreement if it is not approved.	
11	17. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the final approval	
12	hearing and all dates provided for in the Agreement without further notice to Class Members and	
13	retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the	
14	proposed Settlement.	
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
16		
17	Dated:03/25/2025	
18	HON. BENJAMIN F. COATS	
19	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
- 1	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER	