| 1 2 3 | HILLIER DIGIACCO LLP Francis A. DiGiacco, Esq. (SBN: 265625) 600 West Broadway, Suite 700, San Diego, CA Tel. (619) 330-5120/ Fax. (619) 839-3895 Email: frankie@hdlawllp.com | 92101 Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 10/23/2024 David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 4 | FINKELSTEIN & KRINSK LLP Jeffrey R. Krinsk, Esq. (SBN: 109234) | By: I. Arellanes Deputy |
| 5 | 501 West Broadway, Suite 1260, San Diego, C Tel. (619) 238-1333/ Fax. (619) 238-5425 | alifornia 92101 |
| 6 | Email: jrk@classactionlaw.com | |
| 7 8 | LAWYERS FOR EMPLOYEE AND CONS Daniel D. Sorenson, Esq. (SBN: 149630) | |
| 9 | 4100 West Alameda Avenue, Third Floor, Burk Tel. (323) 720-8835/ Fax. (323) 306-0551 Email: dsorenson@lfecr.com | bank, CA 91505 |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | Attorneys for Plaintiff MELISSA RUBLE, similarly situated individuals, and Aggrieved E | mployee |
| 12 | SUPERIOR COURT OF TH | HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA |
| 13 | COUNTY OF | LOS ANGELES |
| 14 | MELIGGA DUDLE : 1: 1 11 | Case No.: 21STCV31721 |
| 15 | MELISSA RUBLE, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general | Case No.: 2151C v 31/21 |
| 16 | public similarly situated and as an aggrieved | |
| | employee pursuant to the Private Attorneys | PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PDELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS |
| 17 | employee pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"); | PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT |
| 17 18 | employee pursuant to the Private Attorneys | GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT |
| | employee pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"); | GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Date: October 17, 2024 Time: 11:00 a.m. |
| 18 | employee pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"); Plaintiff, v. REBORN CABINETS, INC., a California | GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Date: October 17, 2024 |
| 18 19 | employee pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"); Plaintiff, v. | GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Date: October 17, 2024 Time: 11:00 a.m. |
| 18 19 20 | employee pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"); Plaintiff, v. REBORN CABINETS, INC., a California Corporation; and DOES 1 through 30, inclusive, | GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Date: October 17, 2024 Time: 11:00 a.m. |
| 18 19 20 21 | employee pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"); Plaintiff, v. REBORN CABINETS, INC., a California Corporation; and DOES 1 through 30, | GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Date: October 17, 2024 Time: 11:00 a.m. |
| 18 19 20 21 22 | employee pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"); Plaintiff, v. REBORN CABINETS, INC., a California Corporation; and DOES 1 through 30, inclusive, | GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Date: October 17, 2024 Time: 11:00 a.m. |
| 18 19 20 21 22 23 | employee pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"); Plaintiff, v. REBORN CABINETS, INC., a California Corporation; and DOES 1 through 30, inclusive, | GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Date: October 17, 2024 Time: 11:00 a.m. |
| 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | employee pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"); Plaintiff, v. REBORN CABINETS, INC., a California Corporation; and DOES 1 through 30, inclusive, | GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Date: October 17, 2024 Time: 11:00 a.m. |

9

20

24

26

28

{PROPOSED}ORDER FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT **CASE NO. 21STCV31721**

This matter has come before the Honorable Kenneth R. Freeman in Department LA - 014 of the Superior Court of the State of California, for the County of Los Angeles on October 16, 2024 at 11:00 a.m. for Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. Francis A. DiGiacco of Hillier DiGiacco LLP appears as counsel for Plaintiff Melissa Ruble, an individual, and on behalf of all others similarly situated and other aggrieved employees, and Anne Osborn of O'Hagan Meyer LLP appears as counsel for Defendant Reborn Cabinets, Inc., a California Corporation ("Defendant").

The Court, having carefully considered the papers, argument of counsel, and all matters presented to the Court, and good cause appearing, hereby GRANTS Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Court preliminarily approves the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement ("Settlement," "Agreement," or "Settlement Agreement"), attached hereto as Exhibit A herewith. This is based on the Court's determination that the Settlement falls within the range of possible approval as fair, adequate, and reasonable.
- 2. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement Agreement, and all terms defined therein shall have the same meaning in this Order as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 3. It appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that the Settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable. It appears to the Court that extensive investigation and research have been conducted such that counsel for the parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. It further appears to the Court that the Settlement, at this time, will avoid substantial additional costs by all parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the Action. It further appears that the Settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious and non-collusive, arms-length negotiations, and was entered into in good faith.

- 4. The escalator clause agreed to by the parties was not triggered, and thus, there will be no shortening of the Class Period or increase to the Gross Settlement Amount.
- 5. The Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement, including the allocations for the Attorneys' Fees and Costs, Class Representative Service Awards, LWDA Payment, Administration Costs, and payments to the Settlement Class Members provided thereby, appear to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that could ultimately be given final approval by this Court. Indeed, the Court has reviewed the monetary recovery that is being granted as part of the Settlement and preliminarily finds that the monetary settlement awards made available to the Class Members are fair, adequate, and reasonable when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to liability, representative adjudication, certification, and damages issues.
- 6. The Court conditionally certifies the following class ("Class" or "Class Members") for settlement purposes only:

All persons employed by Defendant in California and classified as FLSA-exempt, who worked for Defendant during the Class Period of August 27, 2017 to August 12, 2023.

- 7. The Court provisionally appoints Francis A. DiGiacco, Esq. of Hillier DiGiacco LLP, Jeffrey R. Krinsk, Esq., of Finkelstein & Krinsk LLP, and Daniel D. Sorenson of Lawyers for Employee and Consumer Rights as Class Counsel.
- 8. The Court provisionally appoints Plaintiff Melissa Ruble as the Class Representative.
- 9. The Court provisionally appoints Apex Class Action LLC as the Settlement Administrator.
- 10. The Court concludes that, for settlement purposes only, the Class meets the requirements for certification under section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure in that:

 (a) the Class is ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all members of the Class is

impracticable; (b) common questions of law and fact predominate, and there is a well-defined community of interest amongst the members of the Class with respect to the subject matter of the litigation; (c) Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class; (d) the Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class; (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for the efficient adjudication of the controversy; and (f) Class Counsel is qualified to act as counsel for Plaintiff in their individual capacities and as the representatives of the Class.

- 11. Not later than 21 days after the Court grants Preliminary Approval of the Settlement, Defendant will provide the Settlement Administrator with the Class List, in conformity with the Settlement Agreement.
- Settlement ("Class Notice") attached hereto as **Exhibit B**. The Class Notice shall be provided to Class Members in the manner set forth in the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds that the Class Notice appears to fully and accurately inform the Class Members of all material elements of the Settlement, of the Class Members' right to be excluded from the Settlement by submitting a Request for Exclusion, of the Class Members' right to dispute the Workweeks credited to each of them by submitting a Workweek Dispute, and of each Settlement Class Member's right and opportunity to object to the Settlement by submitting an Objection. The Court further finds that distribution of the Class Notice substantially in the manner and form set forth in the Settlement and this Order, and that all other dates set forth in the Settlement and this Order, meet the requirements of due process and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto. The Court further orders the Settlement Administrator to mail the Class Notice by first class U.S. mail to all Class Members no later than 3 business days after receiving the Class Data, pursuant to the terms set forth in the Settlement.
- 13. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the proposed procedure, set forth in the Settlement Agreement, for seeking exclusion from the Settlement. Any Class Member may

choose to be excluded from the Settlement by submitting a timely and valid written Request for Exclusion in conformity with the requirements set forth in the Class Notice, to the Settlement Administrator postmarked no later than 60 days (plus an additional 14 days for Class Members whose Class Notice is re-mailed) after the Administrator mails Notice to Class Members and Aggrieved Employees ("Response Deadline.") Any Class Member who chooses to opt out of, and be excluded from, the Settlement will not be entitled to an Individual Settlement payment, will not be bound by the Settlement, and will not have any right to object, appeal, or comment thereon. Class Members who have not submitted a timely and valid Request for Exclusion ("Settlement Class Members") shall be bound by the Settlement Agreement and any final judgment based thereon.

- a.m. in Department LA 014 of the Los Angeles County Superior Court, Spring Street Courthouse located at 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles California 90012, to determine all necessary matters concerning the Settlement, including: whether the proposed settlement of the Action on the terms and conditions provided for in the Settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable and should be finally approved by the Court; whether a judgment, as provided in the Settlement, should be entered herein; whether the plan of allocation contained in the Settlement should be approved as fair, adequate, and reasonable to the Class Members; and determine whether to finally approve the requests for Attorneys' Fees and Costs, Class Representative Service Awards, Administration Costs, and allocation of the LWDA Payment.
- 15. Class Counsel shall file a motion for final approval of the Settlement and for Attorneys' Fees and Costs, Class Representative Service Awards, and Administration Costs, along with the appropriate declarations and supporting evidence by <u>April 23, 2025</u> to be heard at the Final approval Hearing. The deadline for Plaintiff to submit the settlement administrator's declaration regarding the settlement administration process and any responses to objections is <u>April 23, 2025</u>.

- 16. To object to the Settlement, a Settlement Class Member must submit an Objection to the Settlement Administrator postmarked no later than the Response Deadline. The Objection must be signed and must contain the information that is required, as set forth in the Class Notice, including and not limited to the grounds for the objection.
- 17. The Settlement is not a concession or admission and shall not be used against Defendant as an admission or indication with respect to any claim of any fault or omission by Defendant. Whether or not the Settlement is finally approved, and except as necessary to enforce the terms of this Agreement, neither the Settlement, nor any document, statement, proceeding or conduct related to the Settlement, nor any reports or accounts thereof, shall in any event be construed as, offered or admitted into evidence as, received as or deemed to be in evidence for any purpose adverse to the Defendant, including, but not limited to, evidence of a presumption, concession, indication or admission by Defendant of any liability, fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession, or damage.
- 18. In the event the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, or the Settlement is not finally approved, or is terminated, cancelled or fails to become effective for any reason, this Order shall be rendered null and void, shall be vacated, and the Parties shall revert back to their respective positions as of before entering into the Settlement Agreement.
- 19. The Court reserves the right to adjoin or continue the date of the Final Approval Hearing and any dates provided for in the Settlement Agreement without further notice to the Class Members and retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the Settlement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 10/23/2024

The Honorable Kenneth R. Freeman

Judge of the Superior Court
Kenneth R. Freeman Judge