1	D.LAW, INC.	
2	Emil Davtyan (SBN 299363) Emil@d.law	
	David Yeremian (SBN 226337)	
3	d.yeremian@d.law	
4	Roman Shkodnik (SBN 285152) r.shkodnik@d.law	
5	Enoch J. Kim (SBN 261146)	VENTURA SUPERIOR COURT
	e.kim@d.law	FILED
6	Emma Geesaman (SBN 352715)	05 <b>/29/2</b> 025
7	e.geesaman@d.law Norayr Zakaryan (SBN 356913)	K. Bieker Executive Officer and Cl <b>erk</b>
8	n.zakaryan@d.law	Elizabeth Muller
9	450 N. Brand Blvd., Suite 840	
9	Glendale, CA 91203 Telephone: (818) 962-6465	
10	Fax: (818) 962-6469	
11	Attampana for Disintiff SED CIO DE LEON	
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff SERGIO DE LEON, on behalf of himself and others similarly sit	
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
14	FOR THE COUNTY OF VENTURA	
15	SERGIO DE LEON, on behalf of himself	Case No.: 2024CUOE020994
16	and others similarly situated,	Judge: Hon. Benjamin F. Coats
17	Plaintiff,	Department: 43
	v.	CLASS ACTION
18	<b>v</b> .	
19	STG INTERNATIONAL, INC., a	<del>-{PROPOSED]</del> ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR AN ORDER (1) PRELIMINARILY
20	Virginia corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	APPROVING THE CLASS ACTION
	,	SETTLEMENT, (2) APPROVING NOTICE OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT, AND (3)
21	Defendants.	SETTING HEARING FOR FINAL
22		APPROVAL
23		Filed concurrently with Plaintiff's Notice of Motion
24		and Motion; Memorandum of Points and Authorities; Declaration of Enoch J. Kim;
25		Declaration of Sean Hartranft; and Declaration of Sergio De Leon]
		· ·
26		Date: May 21, 2025 Time: 8:30 a.m.
27		Dept.: 43
28		

#### RECITALS

On January 14, 2025, Plaintiff Sergio De Leon ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of the Class, and Defendant STG International, Inc. (Defendants), a Virginia corporation, (Plaintiff and Defendant hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Parties") entered into a class action and PAGA settlement, the terms and conditions of which are set forth in the parties' Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement (hereafter collectively, the "Settlement" or "Settlement Agreement"). Unless otherwise provided in this Order, all capitalized terms shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

Plaintiff's motion for an order preliminarily approving the settlement of this action, approving the form notice of settlement, and setting a final approval hearing ("Motion") came on for hearing in Department 43 of this Court on May 21, 2025.

This Court, having fully considered Plaintiff's Motion, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities in support, the Declarations in support, the Settlement Agreement, and the proposed form of Class Notice, finds that: (1) the proposed settlement appears fair, reasonable, and adequate, and that a final hearing should be held after notice to the Class (defined below) of the proposed settlement to determine if the Settlement Agreement and settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate, such that a Final Order and Judgment should be entered in this action based upon the Settlement Agreement, and (2) the PAGA Settlement is fair and adequate and should be approved.

# THE COURT ORDERS AND MAKES DETERMINATIONS AS FOLLOWS: ORDER PROVISIONALLY CERTIFYING SETTLEMENT CLASS AND APPOINTMENT OF CLASS REPRESENTATIVES AND CLASS COUNSEL

- 1. The Court finds that certification of the following class, for settlement purposes only, is appropriate:
  - "all persons employed by Defendant in California and classified as a non-exempt hourly employee who worked for Defendant during the Class Period", which is from February 22, 2020, through October 24, 2024.
- 2. The Court grants preliminary approval of the terms and conditions contained in the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds that the terms of the Settlement Agreement are within the

2 (i) the ascertainability and numerosity requirements; (ii) the commonality requirement because, in the absence of class certification and settlement, each individual Class Member would have to 4 litigate core common issues of law and fact, all relating to Defendant's alleged wage-and-hour violations asserted in the action; (iii) the typicality requirement because Plaintiff and the Class 6 Members' claims all arise from the same alleged events and course of conduct, and are based on the same legal theories; and (iv) the adequacy of representation requirement because Plaintiff has the 8 same interests as all members of the Class, and they are represented by experienced and competent

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

counsel.

1

3.

4. The Court further finds, preliminarily and for settlement purposes only, that common issues predominate over individual issues in this litigation and that class treatment is superior to the other means of resolving this dispute. Employing the class device here will not only achieve economies of scale for Class Members with individual claims, but also conserve the resources of the judicial system and preserve public confidence in the integrity of the system by avoiding the waste and delay of repetitive proceedings. In addition, certifying the class will prevent inconsistent adjudications of similar issues and claims.

The Court preliminarily finds, for settlement purposes only, that the Class meets

- 5. For settlement purposes only, the Court finds that Plaintiff is adequate class representative and appoint them as such. The Court further finds that Emil Davtyan, David Yeremian, Roman Shkodnik, Enoch J. Kim, Emma Geesaman, and Norayr Zakaryan of D.Law, Inc. have adequately represented Plaintiff and the Class in this litigation, and the Court appoints them as Class Counsel.
- 6. The Court appoints Apex Class Action to perform the duties of a Settlement Administrator for the purpose of issuing the Class Notice and administering the Settlement.
- The Court recognizes that certification under this Order is for settlement purposes 7. only, and shall not constitute or be construed as a finding by the Court, or an admission on the part of Defendant, that this action is appropriate for class treatment for litigation purposes. Entry of this Order is without prejudice to the rights of Defendant to oppose class certification in the actions,

should the proposed Settlement Agreement not be granted final approval.

1

the PAGA Settlement will be vacated.

## 

# APPROVAL OF DISTRIBUTION OF THE CLASS NOTICE AND TIMELINE FOR SENDING CLASS NOTICE

13. This Court finds that the Class Notice fairly and adequately advises the potential Class Members of the terms of the Settlement and the process for the Class Members to obtain the benefits available under the Settlement Agreement, as well as the right of Class Members to opt out of the class, to file documentation in opposition to the proposed settlement, and to appear at the settlement hearing to be conducted on the date set by the Court. The Court further finds that the Class Notice and proposed distribution of such Class Notice by first-class mail to each identified Class Member at their last known address comports with all constitutional requirements, including those of due process under the United States and California constitutions, and meets the requirements of Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and California Rules of Court rule 3.766. Accordingly, good cause appearing therefore, the Court hereby approves the proposed Class Notice.

- 14. The Settlement Administrator shall, as soon as practicable, but no later than R'}^ÁG ÉOEG \_\_\_\_\_\_, cause the Class Notice to be mailed by first class mail to all known members of the Class certified by this Court in this action to the most recent address in Defendant's business records for each known member of the Class. The mailing of the Class Notices directed in this Order constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances and sufficient notice to all members of the Class.
- 15. The costs of settlement administration, including the cost of printing and mailing the Class Notices, shall be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount. Such costs shall be withheld from the Gross Settlement Amount by the Settlement Administrator pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

### EXCLUSIONS FROM THE SETTLEMENT

16. Each member of the Class who wishes to be excluded from the Class must submit a request to be excluded from the Settlement by the deadline set forth in the Class Notice. Any Class Member who does not submit a timely request to be excluded from the Settlement consistent with the terms of the Settlement Agreement shall be bound by the terms of the Settlement Agreement, even if such Class Member has previously initiated or subsequently initiates individual litigation

1	of the final approval of the Settlement Agreement and their request for approval of the attorneys'
2	fees, litigation costs, and service payments no later than 16 court days prior to the Final Approval
3	Hearing. After the Final Approval Hearing, the Court may enter a Final Order and Final Judgment
4	in accordance with the Settlement Agreement that will adjudicate the rights of all Class Members.
5	24. All discovery and other pretrial proceedings in this action are stayed and suspended
6	until further order of this Court, except such actions as may be necessary to implement the
7	Settlement Agreement and this Order.
8	25. If, for any reason, the Court does not grant final approval of the Settlement, all
9	evidence and proceedings held in connection therewith shall be without prejudice to the status quo
10	ante rights of the parties to the litigation as more specifically set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.
12	As .
13	Dated:
14	Ben Coats
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	