	on 6/20/2025 2:35 PM Reviewed By: M. Offhaus s Case #23CV417693 Envelope: 19807973	23CV417693 anta Clara – Civil	Filed June 25, 2025 Clerk of the Court	M. Offhaus
1 2	ZAKAY LAW GROUP, APLC Shani O. Zakay (SBN 277924) shani@zakaylaw.com 5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600		Superior Court of CA County of Santa Clara 23CV417693 By: moffhaus	
3	San Diego, CA 92121 Telephone: (619)255-9047			
4	Facsimile: (858) 404-9203			
5 6	JEAN-CLAUDE LAPUYADE (SBN 24 jlapuyade@jcl-lawfirm.com JCL LAW FIRM, APC	8676)		
7	5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600 San Diego, CA 92121 Telephone: (619) 599-8292			
8	Facsimile: (619) 599-8291	X7		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff ROBERT CHER	Y		
10	[Additional counsel on following page]			
11	SUBERIOR COL			
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
13	FOR THE	COUNTY OF SANTA (	LAKA	
14 15	ROBERT CHERY, CINDY PHAM, SAVANNA JACOBSEN, and ZAVEN	CASE NO. 2 Related Case	3CV417693 s: 5:23-cv-01884-NC, 23CV42	1588
16	McCARTY, individuals, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situa	ted, <u>CLASS ACT</u>	ION	
17	Plaintiffs,		r all purposes to the Honorable Zayner, Dept. 19]	
18	vs.		PROPOSED] ORDER	
19 20	WARBY PARKER INC., a Delaware corporation; WARBY PARKER RETAIL INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES	L, GRANTING	G PLAINTIFFS' MOTION F ARY APPROVAL OF CLAS CTTLEMENT	200
21	through 50, inclusive, Defendants.	Date: June 1	18, 2025	
22	Defendants.	Time: 1:30 p Dept.: 19	o.m.	
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
		1		
	REVISED PROPOSED] ORDER GRANT	-1-		
		CTION AND PAGA SETTLE		

1	MATTHEW J. MATERN (SBN 159798) mmatern@maternlawgroup.com
2	DEBRA J. TAUGER (SBN 143726) dtauger@maternlawgroup.com
3	MATTHEW W. GORDON (SBN 267971) mgordon@maternlawgroup.com
4	Vanessa M. Rodriguez (SBN 316382) vrodriguez@maternlawgroup.com
5	MATERN LAW GROUP, PC
6	2101 East El Segundo Boulevard, Suite 403 El Segundo, California 90245
7	Telephone: (310) 531-1900 Facsimile: (310) 531-1901
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs CINDY PHAM, SAVANNA JACOBSEN, and ZAVEN McCARTY
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	-2-
	[REVISED PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT

1	<del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER			
2	The Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement ("Motion") filed			
3	by Plaintiffs Robert Chery, Cindy Pham, Savanna Jacobsen and Zaven McCarty ("Plaintiffs") came			
4	before this Court on June 18, 2025 at 1:30 p.m. Having reviewed Plaintiffs' Motion, the Declarations			
5	of Matthew J. Matern, Vanessa M. Rodriguez, Jean-Claude Lapuyade, and Shani O. Zakay, and			
6	exhibits thereto, including the Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release ("Settlement" or			
7	"Agreement") and the Amendment to Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release, the			
8	Declarations of Cindy Pham, Robert Chery, Savanna Jacobsen and Zaven McCarty, and for good			
9	cause appearing, the Court hereby finds and ORDERS as follows:			
10	1. All defined terms contained herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the			
11	Agreement.			
12	2. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the Settlement appears to be fair, adequate,			
13	and falls within the range of reasonableness, and therefore meets the requirements for preliminary			
14	approval.			
15	3. The Court provisionally certifies, for settlement purposes only, the following Class:			
16 17	All current and former nonexempt employees of Defendants who worked at Defendants' retail locations in California at any time from March 13, 2019 through June 24, 2024.			
18	4. The Court finds, for settlement purposes only, that the Class meets the requirements			
19	for certification under Code of Civil Procedure section 382 in that: (1) the class is so numerous that			
20	joinder is impractical; (2) there are questions of law and fact that are common to all Class Members			
21	which predominate over individualized issues; (3) Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the			
22	Class Members; (4) Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of			
23	the Class; and (5) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient			
24	adjudication of the controversy.			
25	5. The Court hereby approves the terms and conditions provided for in the Settlement.			
26	The parties are ordered to carry out the Settlement according to its terms.			
27	6. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, Plaintiffs Cindy Pham, Robert			
28				
	-3-			

1 Chery, Savanna Jacobsen and Zaven McCarty as the Class Representatives.

7. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, Matern Law Group, PC, Zakay Law
Group, APLC, and JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel. Class Counsel is authorized to act on
behalf of the Class with respect to all acts or consents required by, or which may be given, pursuant
to the Settlement, and such other acts reasonably necessary to finalize the Settlement and its terms.
Any Class Member may enter an appearance through his or her own counsel at such Class Member's
own expense. Any Class Member who does not enter an appearance or appear on his or her own
behalf will be represented by Class Counsel.

8. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the Settlement and the Gross Settlement
Amount of \$1,950,000.00. The Gross Settlement Amount shall cover the following items: (1) the
Individual Settlement Payments to Participating Class Members; (2) the Individual PAGA Payments
to Aggrieved Employees; (3) the LWDA Payment; (4) Class Counsel's Attorneys' Fees and Costs;
(5) the Class Representative Enhancement Payments; and (6) Settlement Administration Costs. The
employer payroll taxes shall be paid separately from and in addition to the Gross Settlement Amount.

15 9. The Court finds that, on a preliminary basis, the Settlement appears to be within the 16 range of reasonableness of a settlement that could ultimately be given final approval by this Court. It 17 appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that the settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable as to 18 all potential Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating 19 to classwide liability and damages issues. It also appears that significant investigation, research, and court proceedings have been conducted so that counsel for the parties are able to reasonably evaluate 20 21 their respective positions. It appears to the Court that settlement at this time will avoid substantial 22 additional costs by all parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the 23 further prosecution of the action. It also appears that settlement has been reached as a result of 24 intensive, serious, and non-collusive, arms-length negotiations, including a mediation with the 25 Honorable Angela Bradstreet (Ret.).

10. The Court approves, as to form and content, the revised Notice of Class Action
Settlement And Hearing Date For Final Court Approval ("Class Notice"), attached as Exhibit C to the
Declaration of Vanessa M. Rodriguez, on the condition that the following language regarding the

-4-

1 final approval hearing be added to the notice:

Class members may appear at the final approval hearing in person or remotely using the Microsoft Teams link for Department 19 (Afternoon Session), and should review the remote appearance instructions beforehand: https://www.scscourt.org/general\_info/ra\_teams/video\_hearings\_teams.shtml Class members who wish to appear remotely are encouraged to contact class counsel at least three days before the hearing, if possible, so that potential technology or audibility issues can be avoided or minimized.

5 6

2

3

4

The Court finds that the notice plan is the best means practicable under the
circumstances for providing notice to the Class Members and, when completed, shall constitute due
and sufficient notice of the class action, proposed settlement, and the final approval hearing to all
persons entitled to such notice in full compliance with Code of Civil Procedure section 382, Civil
Code section 1781, California Rules of Court, rules 3.766 and 3.769, the California and United States
Constitutions, and other applicable laws.



13 12. The Court appoints Apex Class Action, LLC as the Administrator. The Court hereby
14 directs the Administrator to disseminate the approved Class Notice according the procedures set forth
15 in the Agreement.

16 13. Any Class Member may choose to be excluded from the Settlement as provided in the 17 Agreement and Class Notice and by following the instructions for requesting exclusion. Any person 18 who timely and properly requests to be excluded from the Settlement will not be bound by the 19 Agreement or have any right to object, appeal, or comment thereon. Any request for exclusion must 20 be signed by each such Class Member and must otherwise comply with the requirements delineated 21 in the Class Notice. Class Members who have not requested exclusion by submitting a valid and 22 timely request before the expiration of the Response Deadline shall be bound by all determinations of 23 the Court, the Settlement, and the Judgment.

14. A Final Approval Hearing will be held before this Court on February 25, 2026 at 1:30
p.m. in Department 19 of the Santa Clara County Superior Court located at 161 North First Street,
San Jose, California 95113, to determine all necessary matters concerning the Agreement, including
whether the Agreement is fair, adequate, and reasonable and should be finally approved by the Court
and whether a Judgment should be entered herein. At the same time, a hearing on Class Counsel's

1 Attorneys' Fees and Costs and the Class Representative Enhancement Payments shall also be held.

15. Any Class Member may appear at the Final Approval Hearing and object to the
Settlement or express his or her views regarding the Settlement, and may present evidence and file
briefs or other papers that may be proper and relevant to the issues to be heard and determined by the
Court as provided in the Class Notice.

6 16. Pending the Final Approval Hearing, all proceedings in this action, other than
7 proceedings necessary to carry out or enforce the terms and conditions of the Settlement and this
8 Order, are stayed.

9 IT IS 5 10 DATED: \_\_\_\_

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

June 24, 2025

HON. THEODORE Ć. ZAYNER Judge of the Superior Court