

Electronically Received 08/25/2025 05:08 PM

**KINGSLEY SZAMET
EMPLOYMENT LAWYERS**
ERIC B. KINGSLEY, Esq. (SBN 185123)
eric@kingsleylawyers.com
KELSEY M. SZAMET, Esq. (SBN 260264)
kelsey@kingsleylawyers.com
JESSICA BULAON, Esq., (SBN 340749)
jessi@kingsleylawyers.com
16133 Ventura Blvd., Suite 1200
Encino, CA 91436
Tel: (818) 990-8300, Fax (818) 990-2903

LIANE LY LAW
Liane Katzenstein Ly, Esq. (SBN 259230)
liane@lianelylaw.com
601 S Figueroa St., Ste 1950
Los Angeles, CA 90017-3983
Tel: (818) 208-2312

Attorneys for Plaintiff FARZAD AZIMA
(Additional Counsel listed on next page)

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

10/01/2025

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

By: N. Navarro Deputy

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

FARZAD AZIMA, individually and on behalf
of all others similarly situated,

PLAINTIFF,

v.

BIG HIT ENTERTAINMENT CO., LTD, a
corporation; FREENJOY, INC., a
corporation; and DOES 1 thru 50, inclusive,

DEFENDANTS.

CASE NO. 20STCV43656

[Case Assigned for All Purposes to Hon. Larua
A. Seigle in Dept. 17]

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION SETTLEMENT**

Date: October 1, 2025
Time: 9:00 A.M.
Dept.: 17

Complaint Filed: November 16, 2020
Trial Date: None Set

1 FRANK H. KIM, State Bar No. 264609
fkim@kim-legal.com
2 **KIM LEGAL, APC**
3 3435 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 2700
4 Los Angeles, CA 90010
5 Telephone: (323) 482-3300
6 Facsimile: (866) 652-7819

7 HELEN U. KIM, State Bar No. 260195
8 helen@helenkimlaw.com
9 **HELEN KIM LAW, APC**
10 3435 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 2700
11 Los Angeles, CA 90010
12 Telephone: (323) 487-9151
13 Facsimile: (866) 652-7819

14 Attorneys for Plaintiff FARZAD AZIMA
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 The Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Class Action and PAGA Settlement
2 Agreement (“Agreement”), a copy of which is attached as to the Declaration of Eric B. Kingsley
3 as Exhibit “1”, came before this Court on October 1, 2025. The Court, having considered the papers
4 submitted in support of the motion of the parties, **HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:**

5 1. The Court grants preliminary approval of the Agreement and the Class based upon
6 the terms set forth in the Agreement filed herewith. The settlement is fair, adequate, and
7 reasonable to the Class. The Court finds that: (a) the Agreement resulted from extensive arm’s
8 length negotiations; and (b) the Agreement is sufficient to warrant notice of the settlement to
9 persons in the Class and a full hearing on the final approval of the settlement.

10 2. “Class” means “all current and former non-exempt employees of Freenjoy, Inc. in
11 California who worked on the “On” by BTS music video production and were compensated by
12 Freenjoy. Inc. based on a guaranteed amount for 10 or 12 hours of work per day from February 3,
13 2020 to February 6, 2020.”

14 3. The “Class Period” means the period from February 3, 2020, until February 6, 2020.

15 4. “Aggrieved Employee” or “PAGA Employee” means “all current and former non-
16 exempt employees of Freenjoy, Inc. in California who worked on the “On” by BTS music video
17 production and were compensated by Freenjoy. Inc. based on a guaranteed amount for 10 or 12
18 hours of work per day from February 3, 2020 to February 6, 2020.”

19 5. The “PAGA Period” means the period from February 3, 2020 to February 6, 2020.

20 6. The settlement falls within the range of reasonableness and appears to be
21 presumptively valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at the final fairness hearing
22 and final approval by this Court.

23 7. The Court makes the following preliminary findings for settlement purposes
24 only:

- 25 A. The Class, which consists of approximately 80 persons, is so numerous
that joinder of all members is impracticable;
- 26 B. There appear to be questions of law or fact common to the Class for
27 purposes of determining whether this settlement should be approved;
- 28 C. Plaintiff’s claims appear to be typical of the claims being resolved through
the proposed settlement;

- D. Plaintiff appears to be capable of fairly and adequately protecting the interests of the Class members in connection with the proposed settlement;
- E. Common questions of law and fact appear to predominate over questions affecting only individual persons in the Class. Accordingly, the Class appears to be sufficiently cohesive to warrant settlement by representation; and
- F. Certification of the Class appears to be superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient resolution of the claims of the Class.

8. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Notice to Class members in substantially the form attached to the Agreement as “Exhibit A”.

9. The Court approves the procedure for Class members to object to the Agreement as set forth in the Class Notice to Class members.

10. The Court approves the procedure for Class members to become participating Class Members as set forth in the Notice to Class members.

11. The Court directs the mailing of the Notice to Class members by first class mail to the Class members in accordance with the Implementation Schedule set forth below. The Court finds that the dates selected for the mailing and distribution of the Notice, as set forth in the Implementation Schedule, meet the requirements of due process and provide the best notice practicable under the circumstances and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.

12. The Court confirms Kingsley Szamet Employment Lawyers, Liane Ly Law, Kim Legal, APC, and Helen Kim Law, APC as Class Counsel.

13. The Court confirms the named Plaintiff in the operative complaint in the Action as the Class Representative.

14. The Court approves Apex Class Action Administration as the Administrator.

15. The Court orders that pursuant to the California Private Attorneys General Act, Labor Code §§ 2698, et seq. (“PAGA”), statutory notice of this settlement has been and will continue to be given to the Labor & Workforce Development Agency.

///
///

16. The Court orders the following Implementation Schedule for further proceedings:

a.	Preliminary Approval	
b.	Deadline for Defendant to Provide Class Data to Administrator	15 business days from Preliminary Approval
c.	Mail Notice to Class members	14 calendar days from Administrator's receipt of Class Data
d.	Deadline for Class members to Postmark Any Opt-Out	60 calendar days from mailing of Notice Packet (judged by postmark date)
e.	Deadline for Class members to Postmark Any Objection	60 calendar days from mailing of Notice Packet (judged by postmark date)
f.	Deadline for Class Counsel to file Motion for Final Approval of Class Settlement	To be determined by the Court <u>16 court days before</u>
g.	Deadline for Class Counsel to file Motion for Class Counsel Award	To be determined by the Court <u>16 court days before</u>
h.	Final Approval Hearing	To be determined by the Court <u>April 2, 2026 at 9 a.m.</u>

17. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the Court does not execute and file an Order of Final Approval and Judgment, or if the Effective Date of settlement, as defined in the Agreement, does not occur for any reason, the Agreement and the proposed settlement that is the subject of this Order shall become null, void, unenforceable and inadmissible in any judicial, administrative or arbitral proceeding for any purpose, and all evidence, court orders and proceedings had in connection therewith, shall be without prejudice to the status quo ante rights of the Parties to the litigation, as more specifically set forth in the Agreement.

18. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pending further Order of this Court, all proceedings in this matter except those contemplated herein and in the Agreement are hereby stayed.

1 19. The Court expressly reserves the right to adjourn or continue the Final Fairness
2 Hearing from time to time without further notice to members of the Class.

3
4 DATED: 10/01/2025



Laura Seigle

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Laura A. Seigle / Judge

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

(PROOF OF SERVICE)
[CCP 1013(a)(3)]

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 16133 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1200, Encino, California 91436.

On August 25, 2025, I served all interested parties in this action the following documents described as: **[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT** by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:


TURNER DHILLON LLP William M. Turner William.Turner@TurnerDhillon.com Asha Dhillon Asha.Dhillon@TurnerDhillon.com Liza M. Brereton Liza.Brereton@TurnerDhillon.com Catherine Dellecker Catherine.Dellecker@TurnerDhillon.com Samer Aref Samer.Aref@TurnerDhillon.com 707 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 3250 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Attorneys for Defendant	FRANK H. KIM fkim@kim-legal.com KIM LEGAL, APC 3435 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 2700 Los Angeles, CA 90010 HELEN U. KIM helen@helenkimlaw.com HELEN KIM LAW, APC 3435 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 2700 Los Angeles, CA 90010 LIANE LY LAW Liane Katzenstein Ly liane@lianelylaw.com 601 S Figueroa St., Ste 1950 Los Angeles, CA 90017-3983 Attorneys for Plaintiff
---	--

[XX] (BY ELECTRONIC MAIL THROUGH CASE ANYWHERE): On interested parties set forth on the attached service list.

[XX] (BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE): I caused a true and correct copy thereof to be electronically filed using the Labor and Workforce Development Agency Electronic Filing("EF") System (<https://dir.tfaforms.net/432>) and service was completed by electronic means by transmittal of the documents referenced herein on the EF System.

[XX] (STATE): I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on August 25, 2025, at Los Angeles, California.



Kimberly Madrid