

OCT 27 2025

Clerk of the Superior Court
By: R. Cersosimo, Deputy

1 D.LAW, INC.
Emil Davtyan (SBN 299363)
2 emil@d.law
David Yeremian (SBN 226337)
3 d.yeremian@d.law
Natalie Haritounian (SBN 324318)
4 n.haritounian@d.law
Matthew Carraher (SBN 346860)
5 m.carraher@d.law
450 N. Brand Blvd., Suite 840
6 Glendale, CA 91203
Telephone: (818) 962-6465
7 Fax: (818) 962-6469

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff IRENE HERNANDEZ VENEGAS,
on behalf of herself and others similarly situated
9

10 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
11 **FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO**

12 IRENE HERNANDEZ VENEGAS, an
individual on behalf of herself and all others
13 similarly situated,

14 Plaintiff,

15 vs.

16 GENER8, LLC., a California Limited
Liability Company; PROFESSIONAL
17 EMPLOYMENT GROUP OF COLORADO,
LLC., a Colorado Limited Liability
18 Company; and DOES 1 through 50,
inclusive,

19 Defendants.
20

Case No.: 37-2023-0030903-CU-OE-CTL

CLASS ACTION

Assigned for All Purposes To:
Hon. Richard S. Whitney

**ORDER GRANTING (1) PRELIMINARY
APPROVAL OF THE CLASS ACTION
SETTLEMENT; (2) APPROVING NOTICE
OF SETTLEMENT; AND (3) SETTING
HEARING FOR FINAL APPROVAL**

Hearing

Date: October 24, 2025
Time: 10:30 a.m.
Dept: C-68

1 **RECITALS**

2 On May 8, 2025, Plaintiff Irene Hernandez Venegas (the “Named Plaintiff”), individually
3 and on behalf of the Class, and Defendant Gener8, LLC and Defendant Professional Group of
4 Colorado, LLC (collectively “Defendants”) entered into a class action settlement, the terms and
5 conditions of which are set forth in the parties’ Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement
6 (hereafter collectively, the “Settlement” or “Settlement Agreement”). Unless otherwise provided in
7 this Order, all capitalized terms shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement
8 Agreement.

9 The motion of the Named Plaintiff for an order preliminarily approving the settlement of
10 this action, approving the form notice of settlement, and setting a final approval hearing came on
11 for a hearing in Department C-68 of this Court on October 24, 2025.

12 This Court, having fully considered Plaintiff’s Motion, the Memorandum of Points and
13 Authorities in support, the Declaration in support, the Settlement Agreement, the proposed form of
14 Class Notice, and the oral argument presented to the Court, finds that: (1) the proposed settlement
15 appears fair, reasonable and adequate, and that a final hearing should be held after notice to the
16 Class (defined below) of the proposed settlement to determine if the Settlement Agreement and
17 settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate such that a Final Order and Judgment should be entered
18 in this action based upon the Settlement Agreement and (2) the PAGA Settlement Payment is fair
19 and adequate and the PAGA Settlement Payment should be approved.

20 **THE COURT ORDERS AND MAKES DETERMINATIONS AS FOLLOWS:**

21 **ORDER PROVISIONALLY CERTIFYING SETTLEMENT CLASS AND**
22 **APPOINTMENT OF CLASS REPRESENTATIVE AND CLASS COUNSEL**

23 1. The Court finds that certification of the following class, for settlement purposes only,
24 is appropriate:

25 “all persons who worked for Gener8, LLC as a non-exempt, hourly employee in
26 California during the Class Period including individuals who were placed at Gener8,
27 LLC by Professional Group of Colorado, LLC during the Class Period.” The Class
28 Period means the period from July 21, 2019, to January 25, 2025.

1 2. The Court grants preliminary approval of the terms and conditions contained in the
2 Settlement Agreement. The Court finds that the terms of the Settlement Agreement are within the
3 range of possible approval at the final approval hearing.

4 3. The Court preliminarily finds, for settlement purposes only, that the Class meets
5 (i) the ascertainability and numerosity requirements; (ii) the commonality requirement because, in
6 the absence of class certification and settlement, each individual Class Member would have to
7 litigate core common issues of law and fact, all relating to Defendants' alleged wage-and-hour
8 violations asserted in the action; (iii) the typicality requirement because the Named Plaintiff and the
9 Class Members' claims all arise from the same alleged events and course of conduct, and are based
10 on the same legal theories; and (iv) the adequacy of representation requirement because the Named
11 Plaintiff has the same interests as all members of the Class, and she is represented by experienced
12 and competent counsel.

13 4. The Court further finds, preliminarily and for settlement purposes only, that common
14 issues predominate over individual issues in this litigation and that class treatment is superior to the
15 other means of resolving this dispute. Employing the class device here will not only achieve
16 economies of scale for Class Members with individual claims, but also conserve the resources of
17 the judicial system and preserve public confidence in the integrity of the system by avoiding the
18 waste and delay of repetitive proceedings. In addition, certifying the class will prevent inconsistent
19 adjudications of similar issues and claims.

20 5. For settlement purposes only, the Court finds that the Named Plaintiff, Irene
21 Hernandez Venegas, is an adequate representative and appoints her as such. The Court further finds
22 that Emil Davtyan, David Yeremian, and Natalie Haritounian of D.Law, Inc., have adequately
23 represented the Named Plaintiff and the Class in this litigation, and the Court appoints them as Class
24 Counsel.

25 6. The Court appoints Apex Class Action, LLC to perform the duties of a Settlement
26 Administrator for the purpose of issuing the Class Notice and administering the Settlement.

27 7. The Court recognizes that certification under this Order is for *settlement purposes*
28 *only*, and shall not constitute or be construed as a finding by the Court, or an admission on the part

1 of Defendants, that this action is appropriate for class treatment for litigation purposes. Entry of
2 this Order is without prejudice to the rights of Defendants to oppose class certification in the actions,
3 should the proposed Settlement Agreement not be granted final approval.

4 **PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

5 8. The Court has reviewed the Settlement Agreement and the proposed Class Notice to
6 the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds, on a preliminary basis, that the Settlement Agreement
7 appears to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that could ultimately be given final
8 approval by this Court. It appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that:

9 a. The settlement amount is fair and reasonable to all Class Members when
10 balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to liability and damages issues;

11 b. Extensive and costly investigation and research have been conducted such
12 that counsel for the parties at this time are reasonably able to evaluate their respective positions;

13 c. Settlement at this time will avoid additional substantial costs, such as those
14 that have already been incurred by both parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be
15 presented by the further prosecution of this litigation; and

16 d. The proposed settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious,
17 and non-collusive arm's-length negotiations.

18 9. The Court further approves the following representative group of employees as
19 governed by the Settlement Agreement with respect to the PAGA Claim:

20 "all persons who worked for Gener8, LLC as a nonexempt, hourly employee in
21 California during the PAGA Period including individuals who were placed at Gener8,
22 LLC by Professional Group of Colorado, LLC during the PAGA Period." The PAGA
23 Period means the period from July 21, 2022, to January 25, 2025.

24 10. The Court grants approval of the PAGA Settlement Payment pursuant to the terms
25 and conditions contained in the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds that the terms of the PAGA
26 settlement are fair and reasonable and approves the PAGA settlement pursuant to Labor Code
27 § 2699(1)(2).

28 11. Because a PAGA action is not a class action, Class Members may not opt out of, or

1 object to, the PAGA Settlement Payment.

2 12. If the Court does not grant final approval of the Settlement Agreement, approval of
3 the PAGA settlement will be vacated.

4 **APPROVAL OF DISTRIBUTION OF THE CLASS NOTICE**

5 **AND TIMELINE FOR SENDING CLASS NOTICE**

6 13. This Court finds that the Class Notice fairly and adequately advises the potential
7 Class Members of the terms of the Settlement and the process for the Class Members to obtain the
8 benefits available under the Settlement Agreement, as well as the right of Class Members to opt out
9 of the class, to file documentation in opposition to the proposed settlement, and to appear at the
10 settlement hearing to be conducted on the date set by the Court. The Court further finds that the
11 Class Notice and proposed distribution of such Class Notice by first-class mail to each identified
12 Class Member at their last known address comports with all constitutional requirements, including
13 those of due process under the United States and California constitutions, and meets the
14 requirements of Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and California Rules of Court rule 3.766.
15 Accordingly, good cause appearing therefore, the Court hereby approves the proposed Class Notice.

16 14. The Settlement Administrator shall, as soon as practicable, but no later than
17 November 7, 2025 cause the Class Notice to be mailed by first class mail to all known
18 members of the Class certified by this Court in this action to the most recent address in Defendants'
19 business records for each known member of the Class. The mailing of the Class Notices directed in
20 this Order constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances and sufficient notice to all
21 members of the Class.

22 15. The costs of settlement administration, including the cost of printing and mailing the
23 Class Notices shall be paid from the Settlement Amount. Such costs shall be withheld from the
24 Settlement Amount by the Settlement Administrator pursuant to the terms of the Settlement
25 Agreement.

26 16. Each member of the Class who wishes to be excluded from the Class must submit a
27 request to be excluded from the Settlement by the deadline set forth in the Class Notice. Any Class
28 Member who does not submit a timely request to be excluded from the Settlement consistent with

1 the terms of the Settlement Agreement shall be bound by the terms of the Settlement Agreement,
2 even if such Class Member has previously initiated or subsequently initiates individual litigation
3 against Defendants or other proceedings encompassed by the Settled Claims defined in the
4 Settlement Agreement.

5 **OBJECTIONS TO SETTLEMENT**

6 17. Any member of the Class who has not timely elected to be excluded from the Class,
7 and who wishes to object to the fairness, reasonableness or adequacy of the Settlement Agreement
8 or the proposed settlement, or to the award of attorneys' fees and costs, shall provide to the
9 Settlement Administrator a written statement of the objection, as well as the specific reasons, if any,
10 for each objection. The Settlement Administrator will promptly transmit any objections it receives
11 to Class Counsel and Defendants' counsel.

12 18. All written objections must be signed by the Class Member or the Class Member's
13 representative and must include the information specified in the Class Notice.

14 19. A Class Member may appear either in person or through personal counsel at the Final
15 Hearing to object to the Settlement. If represented by personal counsel, the counsel will be hired at
16 the Class Member's expense.

17 20. Class Counsel and Defendants' counsel shall promptly furnish each other with copies
18 of any and all objections or written requests for exclusion that come into their possession.

19 **FINAL APPROVAL FAIRNESS HEARING**

20 21. The Court grants Plaintiff's motion to set a settlement hearing for final approval of
21 the Settlement Agreement on February 27, 2026, at 10:30 a.m. in Department C-68 of this Court
22 ("Final Hearing"), as set forth in the Class Notice, to determine whether the proposed settlement
23 of this action is fair, reasonable and adequate and should be finally approved. The Court will also
24 consider at the Final Hearing whether applications for Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs and
25 enhancement award to the Named Plaintiff should be granted and, if so, in what amounts.

26 22. Members of the Class who have not timely elected to be excluded from the Class and
27 who object to the proposed Settlement may appear and present such objections at the Settlement
28 Hearing in person or by counsel, provided that the objecting Class Member complied with the

1 requirements to object to the Settlement. No person shall be heard, and no briefs or papers shall be
2 received or considered, unless the requirements to object to the Settlement have been satisfied,
3 except as this Court may permit for good cause shown.

4 23. Class Counsel shall file Plaintiff's memorandum of points and authorities in support
5 of the final approval of the Settlement Agreement and the request for approval of the attorneys' fees,
6 litigation costs, and enhancement award no later than 16 court days prior to the Final Hearing. After
7 the Final Hearing, the Court may enter a Final Order and Final Judgment in accordance with the
8 Settlement Agreement that will adjudicate the rights of all Class Members.

9 24. All discovery and other pretrial proceedings in this action are stayed and suspended
10 until further order of this Court, except such actions as may be necessary to implement the
11 Settlement Agreement and this Order.

12 25. If, for any reason, the Court does not grant final approval of the Settlement, all
13 evidence and proceedings held in connection therewith shall be without prejudice to the status quo
14 ante rights of the parties to the litigation as more specifically set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

15 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

16
17 Dated: 10-27, 2025



JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
RICHARD S. WHITNEY