

Electronically Received 12/18/2025 11:20 AM

1 **D.LAW, INC.**  
Emil Davtyan (SBN 299363)  
2 emil@d.law  
David Yeremian (SBN 226337)  
3 d.yeremian@d.law  
Alvin B. Lindsay (SBN 220236)  
4 a.lindsay@d.law  
Enoch J. Kim (SBN 261146)  
5 e.kim@d.law  
Eric J. Naessig (SBN 343081)  
6 e.naessig@d.law  
450 N Brand Blvd, Suite 840  
7 Glendale, CA 91203  
Telephone: (818) 962- 6465  
8 Facsimile: (818) 962-6469

**FILED**  
Superior Court of California  
County of Los Angeles  
**12/24/2025**  
David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court  
By: E. Martinez Deputy

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff DAVID S. DIAZ,  
10 on behalf of himself and others similarly situated

11 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
12 **FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

13 DAVID S. DIAZ, an individual, on behalf  
of himself and others similarly situated,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 BLAZE PIZZA OPERATIONS, LLC, a  
17 California limited liability company;  
BLAZE PIZZA, LLC, a California limited  
18 liability company; and DOES 1 through  
19 50, inclusive,

20 Defendants.

Case No.: 24STCV11680

CLASS ACTION

Assigned for All Purposes To:  
Hon. Timothy Patrick Dillon  
Dept.: 15

**~~PROPOSED~~ REVISED ORDER GRANTING  
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY  
APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA  
SETTLEMENT**

*[Concurrently filed with the Supplemental  
Declaration of Enoch J. Kim; Declaration of  
Madely Nava]*

Original Complaint Filed: May 8, 2024  
First Amended Complaint Filed: January 24, 2025  
Trial Date: None Set

1 **RECITALS**

2 Plaintiff David S. Diaz (“Plaintiff”), on behalf of himself and the Putative Class, and  
3 Defendants Blaze Pizza Operations, LLC, and Blaze Pizza, LLC (together referred to as  
4 “Defendants,” Defendants and Plaintiff together referred to as the “Parties”) entered into a class  
5 action and PAGA settlement, the terms and conditions of which are set forth in the parties’ Class  
6 Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement, which is attached as **Exhibit 1** to the concurrently filed  
7 Supplemental Declaration of Enoch J. Kim (hereafter collectively, the “Settlement” or “Settlement  
8 Agreement”). The revised Class Notice is attached as **Exhibit 2** to the concurrently filed  
9 Supplemental Declaration of Enoch J. Kim (hereafter “Class Notice”). Unless otherwise provided  
10 in this Order, all capitalized terms shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement  
11 Agreement.

12 Plaintiff’s motion for an order preliminarily approving the settlement of this action,  
13 approving the form notice of settlement, and setting a final approval hearing (“Motion”) came on  
14 for Non-Appearance Case Review in Department 15 of this Court on December 19, 2025 at 4:00  
15 p.m.

16 This Court, having fully considered Plaintiff’s Motion, the Memorandum in support, the  
17 Declarations in support, the Settlement Agreement, and the proposed form of the revised Class  
18 Notice, finds that: (1) the proposed settlement appears fair, reasonable, and adequate, and that a final  
19 hearing should be held after notice to the Class (defined below) of the proposed settlement to  
20 determine if the Settlement Agreement and settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate, such that  
21 a Final Order and Judgment should be entered in this action based upon the Settlement Agreement,  
22 and (2) the PAGA Settlement is fair and adequate and should be approved.

23 **THE COURT ORDERS AND MAKES DETERMINATIONS AS FOLLOWS:**

24 **ORDER PROVISIONALLY CERTIFYING SETTLEMENT CLASS AND**  
25 **APPOINTMENT OF CLASS REPRESENTATIVES AND CLASS COUNSEL**

26 1. The Court finds that certification of the following class, for settlement purposes only,  
27 is appropriate:

28 “all non-exempt, hourly-paid individuals employed by Defendants in California

1           during the Class Period,” which is the period from May 8, 2020, through June 1, 2025.

2           2.       The Court grants preliminary approval of the terms and conditions contained in the  
3 Settlement Agreement. The Court finds that the terms of the Settlement Agreement are within the  
4 range of possible approval at the final approval hearing.

5           3.       The Court preliminarily finds, for settlement purposes only, that the Class meets  
6 (i) the ascertainability and numerosity requirements; (ii) the commonality requirement because, in  
7 the absence of class certification and settlement, each individual Class Member would have to  
8 litigate core common issues of law and fact, all relating to Defendants’ alleged wage-and-hour  
9 violations asserted in the action; (iii) the typicality requirement because Plaintiff and the Class  
10 Members’ claims all arise from the same alleged events and course of conduct, and are based on the  
11 same legal theories; and (iv) the adequacy of representation requirement because Plaintiff has the  
12 same interests as all members of the Class, and they are represented by experienced and competent  
13 counsel.

14           4.       The Court further finds, preliminarily and for settlement purposes only, that common  
15 issues predominate over individual issues in this litigation and that class treatment is superior to the  
16 other means of resolving this dispute. Employing the class device here will not only achieve  
17 economies of scale for Class Members with individual claims, but also conserve the resources of  
18 the judicial system and preserve public confidence in the integrity of the system by avoiding the  
19 waste and delay of repetitive proceedings. In addition, certifying the class will prevent inconsistent  
20 adjudications of similar issues and claims.

21           5.       For settlement purposes only, the Court finds that Plaintiff is an adequate class  
22 representative and appoints him as such. The Court further finds that Emil Davtyan, David  
23 Yeremian, Alvin B. Lindsay, Enoch J. Kim, David Arakelyan, and Norayr Zakaryan of D.Law, Inc.,  
24 have adequately represented Plaintiff and the Class in this litigation, and the Court appoints them as  
25 Class Counsel.

26           6.       The Court appoints Apex Class Action Administration (“Apex”) to perform the  
27 duties of a Settlement Administrator for the purpose of issuing the Class Notice and administering  
28 the Settlement.

1           7.       The Court recognizes that certification under this Order is for *settlement purposes*  
2 *only*, and shall not constitute or be construed as a finding by the Court, or an admission on the part  
3 of Defendants, that this action is appropriate for class treatment for litigation purposes. Entry of this  
4 Order is without prejudice to the rights of Defendants to oppose class certification in the actions,  
5 should the proposed Settlement Agreement not be granted final approval.

6                           **PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

7           8.       The Court has reviewed the Settlement Agreement and the proposed Class Notice to  
8 the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds, on a preliminary basis, that the settlement amount, as  
9 described in the Settlement Agreement appears to be within the range of reasonableness of a  
10 settlement that could ultimately be given final approval by this Court. It appears to the Court on a  
11 preliminary basis that:

12                   a.       The settlement amount is fair and reasonable to all Class Members when  
13 balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to liability and damages issues;

14                   b.       Extensive and costly investigation and research have been conducted such  
15 that counsel for the parties at this time are reasonably able to evaluate their respective positions;

16                   c.       Settlement at this time will avoid additional substantial costs, such as those  
17 that have already been incurred by both parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be  
18 presented by the further prosecution of this litigation; and

19                   d.       The proposed settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious,  
20 and non-collusive arm's-length negotiations.

21           9.       The Court further approves the following representative group of employees as  
22 governed by the Settlement Agreement with respect to the PAGA claim:

23                   “all non-exempt, hourly-paid individuals employed by Defendants in the State of  
24 California during the PAGA Period,” which is the period from May 8, 2023, through  
25 June 1, 2025.

26           10.      The Court grants approval of the PAGA Settlement pursuant to the terms and  
27 conditions contained in the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds that the terms of the PAGA  
28 Settlement are fair and reasonable and approves the PAGA Settlement pursuant to Labor Code

1 § 2699(1)(2).

2 11. Because a PAGA action is not a class action, Class Members may not opt out of, or  
3 object to, the PAGA Settlement.

4 12. If the Court does not grant final approval of the Settlement Agreement, approval of  
5 the PAGA Settlement will be vacated.

6 **APPROVAL OF DISTRIBUTION OF THE CLASS NOTICE**

7 **AND TIMELINE FOR SENDING CLASS NOTICE**

8 13. This Court finds that the Class Notice fairly and adequately advises the potential  
9 Class Members of the terms of the Settlement and the process for the Class Members to obtain the  
10 benefits available under the Settlement Agreement, as well as the right of Class Members to opt out  
11 of the class, to file documentation in opposition to the proposed settlement, and to appear at the  
12 settlement hearing to be conducted on the date set by the Court. The Court further finds that the  
13 Class Notice and proposed distribution of such Class Notice by first-class mail to each identified  
14 Class Member at their last known address comports with all constitutional requirements, including  
15 those of due process under the United States and California constitutions, and meets the  
16 requirements of Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and California Rules of Court rule 3.766.  
17 Accordingly, good cause appearing therefore, the Court hereby approves the proposed Class Notice.

18 14. The Settlement Administrator shall cause the Class Notice to be mailed by first class  
19 mail to all known members of the Class certified by this Court in this action to the most recent  
20 address in Defendants' business records for each known member of the Class pursuant to the terms  
21 of the Settlement Agreement. The mailing of the Class Notices directed in this Order constitutes the  
22 best notice practicable under the circumstances and sufficient notice to all members of the Class.

23 15. The costs of settlement administration, including the cost of printing and mailing the  
24 Class Notices, shall be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount. Such costs shall be withheld from  
25 the Gross Settlement Amount by the Settlement Administrator pursuant to the terms of the  
26 Settlement Agreement.

27 16. Each member of the Class who wishes to be excluded from the Class must submit a  
28 request to be excluded from the Settlement by the deadline set forth in the Class Notice. Any Class

1 Member who does not submit a timely request to be excluded from the Settlement consistent with  
2 the terms of the Settlement Agreement shall be bound by the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

3 **OBJECTIONS TO SETTLEMENT**

4 17. Any member of the Class who has not timely elected to be excluded from the Class,  
5 and who wishes to object to the fairness, reasonableness, or adequacy of the Settlement Agreement  
6 or the proposed settlement, or to the award of attorneys' fees and costs, shall provide to the  
7 Settlement Administrator a written statement of the objection, as well as the specific reasons, if any,  
8 for each objection. The Settlement Administrator will promptly transmit any objections it receives  
9 to Class Counsel and Defendants' counsel.

10 18. All written objections must be signed by the Class Member and include the  
11 information specified in the Class Notice.

12 19. A Class Member may appear either in person or through personal counsel at the Final  
13 Hearing to object to the Settlement. If represented by personal counsel, the counsel will be hired at  
14 the Class Member's expense.

15 20. Class Counsel and Defendants' counsel shall promptly furnish each other with copies  
16 of any and all objections or written requests for exclusion that come into their possession.

17 **FINAL APPROVAL FAIRNESS HEARING**

18 21. The Court grants Plaintiff's motion to set a settlement hearing for final approval of  
19 the Settlement Agreement on April 13, 2026, at 10:00 a.m. in Department 15 of this Court ("Final  
20 Hearing"), as set forth in the Class Notice, to determine whether the proposed settlement of this  
21 action is fair, reasonable and adequate and should be finally approved. The Court will also consider  
22 at the Final Hearing whether applications for Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs and class  
23 representative service payments to Plaintiffs should be granted and, if so, in what amounts.

24 22. Class Counsel shall file Plaintiff's memorandum in support of the final approval of  
25 the Settlement Agreement and their request for approval of the attorneys' fees, litigation costs, and  
26 service payments no later than 16 court days prior to the Final Hearing. After the Final Hearing, the  
27 Court may enter a Final Order and Final Judgment in accordance with the Settlement Agreement  
28 that will adjudicate the rights of all Class Members.

1           23.     Defendants shall fully fund the Gross Settlement Amount, and also fund the amounts  
2 necessary to fully pay Defendant’s share of payroll taxes.

3           24.     All discovery and other pretrial proceedings in this action are stayed and suspended  
4 until further order of this Court, except such actions as may be necessary to implement the  
5 Settlement Agreement and this Order.

6           25.     If, for any reason, the Court does not grant final approval of the Settlement, all  
7 evidence and proceedings held in connection therewith shall be without prejudice to the status quo  
8 ante rights of the parties to the litigation as more specifically set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

9           **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10  
11 Dated: 12/24/2025, ~~2025~~

   
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Timothy Patrick Dillon / Judge

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28