

1 **D.LAW, INC.**
David Keledjian (SBN 309135)
2 d.keledjian@d.law
David Arakelyan (SBN 337076)
3 d.arakelyan@d.law
450 N Brand Blvd, Suite 840
4 Glendale, CA 91203
Telephone: (818) 962- 6465
5 Facsimile: (818) 962-6469

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

03/11/2026

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

By: E. Muñoz Deputy

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff SEAN GITTENS
on behalf of himself and others similarly situated
7

8 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
9 **FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

11 SEAN GITTENS, on behalf of himself and all
others similarly situated, and the general
12 public,

13 *Plaintiff,*

14 v.

15 NVA MASH CAPITAL PARTNERS, LP, a
California corporation; NVA MASH
16 VETERINARY MANAGEMENT, LP, a
California corporation; NVA ABBY GP,
17 INC., a California corporation; NATIONAL
VETERINARY ASSOCIATES, INC., a
18 Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through
50, inclusive,

19
20 *Defendants.*
21

Case No. 23STCV23169

*[Assigned for all purposes to the Hon. William
F. Highberger, Dept. 10]*

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

Date: March 11, 2026
Time: 10:00 AM
Dept.: 10

1 **PURSUANT TO THE ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS**
2 **ACTION SETTLEMENT ENTERED ON 3/11, 2026, IT IS ORDERED,**
3 **ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:**

4 1. The Court, having received and considered the Class Action Settlement and
5 Addendum (“Settlement” or “Settlement Agreement”) of Plaintiff SEAN GITTENS (“Plaintiff”)
6 and Defendants NVA MASH CAPITAL PARTNERS, LP, a California corporation; NVA MASH
7 VETERINARY MANAGEMENT, LP, a California corporation; NVA ABBY GP, INC., a
8 California corporation; NATIONAL VETERINARY ASSOCIATES, INC. (“Defendants”),
9 preliminarily approved by this court on November 17, 2025, has entered the Order for final
10 approval of the Settlement between Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated
11 employees, and Defendant. The Court granted preliminarily approval to the Settlement on
12 November 17, 2025, and administration was successfully completed.

13 2. The Settlement Agreement shall be incorporated into this Judgment as though all
14 terms are set forth in full. The capitalized terms in this Judgment shall have the meanings set forth
15 in the Settlement Agreement.

16 3. Judgment is entered pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement. All Class
17 Members who did not timely opt out of the Settlement are subject to the terms of the Settlement
18 Agreement.

19 4. There are 378 participating Settlement Class members, defined as “all persons
20 employed by Defendants in California and classified as hourly, non-exempt employees who
21 worked for Defendants during the Class Period from September 21, 2019, through October 18,
22 2024.”

23 5. The Court having entered an order granting Final Approval of this Stipulation
24 hereby enters FINAL JUDGMENT in favor of Plaintiff and the Class Members in the amount of
25 Five Hundred and Fifty Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$550,000.00).

26 6. Without affecting the finality of the Judgment, the Court shall retain exclusive and
27 continuing jurisdiction over the above-captioned action and the parties, including all Participating
28 Class Members, for purposes of supervising, administering, implementing, enforcing, and

1 interpreting the Settlement Agreement and the Final Approval Order.


2 7. This Judgment is intended to be a final disposition of the above-captioned action in
3 its entirety and is intended to be immediately appealable. Subject to the Court's continuing
4 jurisdiction as set forth above, the Court directs the Clerk of the Court to enter Judgment.

5

6 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

7

8 DATED: 3/11 , 2026



WILLIAM F. HIGHBERGER
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28