

FILED
Superior Court of California,
County of Solano
01/29/2026 at 10:54:42 AM
By: K. Schoenberg, Deputy Clerk

1 John G. Yslas (SBN 187324)
john.yslas@wilshirelawfirm.com
2 Jeffrey C. Bills (SBN 301629)
jeffrey.bills@wilshirelawfirm.com
3 Lisa B. Iturriaga (SBN 339678)
lisa.iturriaga@wilshirelawfirm.com
4 **WILSHIRE LAW FIRM, PLC**
660 S. Figueroa Street, Sky Lobby
5 Los Angeles, California 90017
Telephone: (213) 381-9988
6 Facsimile: (213) 381-9989

7 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
8 David Eichner and Leslie Marie Quist Cantrelle

9 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
10 **FOR THE COUNTY OF SOLANO**

11 DAVID EICHNER AND LESLIE MARIE
12 QUIST CANTRELLE, individually, and on
13 behalf of the State of California and other
aggrieved persons,

14 *Plaintiffs,*

15 v.

16 STAR HOLDING, LLC DBA LOGISTICAL
17 DATA SERVICES, a Pennsylvania limited
18 liability company; and DOES 1 through 10,
inclusive,

19 *Defendants.*

Case No.: CU23-05731

Assigned for All Purposes to:
Hon. Stephen Gizzi
Dept. 3

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT**

PRELIMINARY APPROVAL HEARING:

Date: December 12, 2025
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Dept.: 3

Class Action Filed: May 30, 2023
PAGA Action Filed: December 5, 2023

1 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

2 Having reviewed Plaintiffs David Eichner and Leslie Marie Quist Cantrelle’s
3 (“Plaintiffs”) Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (“Motion”), the
4 Declaration of John G. Yslas, and the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement
5 (“Settlement Agreement”), and good cause appearing, the Court finds and orders as follows:

6 1. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the Settlement Agreement appears to
7 be fair, adequate, and reasonable and therefore meets the requirements for preliminary approval.
8 The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement and the Settlement Class based on the
9 terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement between Plaintiffs and Defendant Star Holding,
10 LLC dba Logistical Data Services (“Defendant”), attached to the Declaration of John G. Yslas
11 in Support of Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement
12 as **Exhibit 1**.

13 2. The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness of a settlement which
14 could ultimately be given final approval by this Court, and appears to be presumptively valid,
15 subject only to any objections that may be raised at the Final Approval Hearing and final
16 approval by this Court. The Court notes that Defendant has agreed to create a common fund of
17 \$275,000.00 to cover (a) settlement payments to Class Members who do not validly opt out; (b)
18 a \$15,000.00 allocation toward civil penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act, 75% of
19 which (\$11,250.00) will be paid to the State of California, Labor & Workforce Development
20 Agency and 25% of which (\$3,750.00) will be paid to eligible Aggrieved Employees; (c) Class
21 Representatives Service Payments of up to \$5,000.00 to each Plaintiff; (d) Class Counsel’s
22 attorneys’ fees, not to exceed one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount (i.e., \$91,666.67), and
23 up to \$30,000.00 in costs for actual litigation expenses incurred by Class Counsel; and (e)
24 Settlement Administration Costs of up to \$6,290.00.

25 3. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement appear to be within
26 the range of possible approval, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and
27 applicable law. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that: (1) the Settlement amount is fair
28 and reasonable to the Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further

1 litigation relating to class certification, liability and damages issues, and potential appeals; (2)
2 significant informal discovery, investigation, research, and litigation have been conducted such
3 that counsel for the Parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions;
4 (3) settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be presented
5 by the further prosecution of the litigation; and (4) the proposed Settlement has been reached as
6 the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties with the
7 assistance of a well-respected class action mediator. Accordingly, the Court preliminarily finds
8 that the Settlement Agreement was entered into in good faith.

9 4. A final fairness hearing on the question of whether the proposed Settlement,
10 attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, payment to the State of California, Labor &
11 Workforce Development Agency for its share of the settlement of claims for penalties under the
12 Private Attorneys General Act, and the Class Representatives' service payments should be
13 finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to the members of the Class is hereby set
14 in accordance with the Implementation Schedule set forth below.

15 5. The Court provisionally certifies for settlement purposes only the following class
16 (the "Class"): "all non-exempt, hourly individuals that worked for Defendant in California any
17 time during the Class Period."

18 6. "Class Period" means the period from May 30, 2019, through the date the Court
19 issues an order granting preliminary approval of the settlement.

20 7. The Court finds, for settlement purposes only, that the Settlement Class meets the
21 requirements for certification under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 in that: (1) the
22 Settlement Class Members are so numerous that joinder is impractical; (2) there are questions
23 of law and fact that are common, or of general interest, to all Settlement Class Members, which
24 predominate over individual issues; (3) Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the
25 Settlement Class Members; (4) Plaintiffs and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect
26 the interests of the Settlement Class Members; and (5) a class action is superior to other
27 available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

28 8. The Court appoints as Class Representatives, for settlement purposes only,

1 Plaintiffs. The Court further preliminarily approves Plaintiffs' ability to request a service
2 payment up to \$5,000.00 to each Plaintiff.

3 9. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, John G. Yslas, Jeffrey C. Bills,
4 Aram Boyadjian, Lisa B. Iturriaga, and Andrew Sandoval of Wilshire Law Firm, PLC, as Class
5 Counsel. The Court further preliminarily approves Class Counsel's ability to request attorneys'
6 fees of up to one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount (i.e., \$91,666.67), and costs not to
7 exceed \$30,000.00.

8 10. The Court appoints Apex Class Action as the Settlement Administrator with
9 reasonable administration costs estimated not to exceed \$6,290.00.

10 11. The Court approves, as to form and content the Class Notice, attached to the
11 Settlement Agreement. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that plan for distribution of the
12 Notice to Settlement Class Members satisfies due process, provides the best notice practicable
13 under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled
14 thereto.

15 12. The Parties are ordered to carry out the Settlement according to the terms of the
16 Settlement Agreement.

17 13. Any Class Member who does not timely and validly request exclusion from the
18 Settlement may object to the Settlement Agreement.

19 14. The Court orders the following Implementation Schedule:
20

EVENT:	DEADLINE:
Defendant to provide Class List to the Settlement Administrator	10 days after Court grants Preliminary Approval of the Settlement
Settlement Administrator to mail the Notice Packets	14 days after receipt of Class Data
Class Member Response Deadline	45 days after mailing Notice to Class
Class Member Deadline to Object	45 days after mailing Notice to Class

EVENT:	DEADLINE:
Deadline for Administrator to Submit Declaration in Support of Motion for Final Approval	14 days before the last day to file Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval
Deadline to file Motion for Final Approval	16 court days before the calendared Final Approval Hearing
Final Approval Hearing	May 15, 2026 at 9:00 a.m.

15. The Court further ORDERS that, pending further order of this Court, all proceedings in this lawsuit, except those contemplated herein and in the settlement, are stayed.

IT IS SO ORDERED. Conditionally approved. Attorney fees and costs subject to further reduction.

DATE: 01/29/2026


 HON. STEPHEN GIZZI
 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT