

1 John G. Yslas (SBN 187324)
john.yslas@wilshirelawfirm.com
2 Diego Aviles (SBN 315533)
diego.aviles@wilshirelawfirm.com
3 Harry Erganyan (SBN 333091)
harry.erganyan@wilshirelawfirm.com
4 Mariam Nazaretyan (SBN 334154)
mariam.nazaretyan@wilshirelawfirm.com
5 Emily K. Borman (SBN 303180)
emily.borman@wilshirelawfirm.com
6 Courtney M. Miller (SBN 327850)
courtney.miller@wilshirelawfirm.com
7 **WILSHIRE LAW FIRM, PLC**
660 S. Figueroa Street, Sky Lobby
8 Los Angeles, California 90017
Telephone: (213) 381-9988
9 Facsimile: (213) 381-9989

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff

11 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
12 **FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – SPRING STREET COURTHOUSE**
13

14 JUDAEA BREAUX, individually, and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

15 *Plaintiff,*

16 v.

17 FACE HAUS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability
18 company; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

19 *Defendants.*

Case No.: 24STCV22981
[Related Case No. 24STCV27305]

[Assigned for All Purposes to the Hon.
Carolyn B. Kuhl, Dept. 12]

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT**

Preliminary Approval Hearing:

Date: June 16, 2026

Time: 10:30 a.m.

Dept: 12

Action Filed: September 6, 2024

1 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

2 Having reviewed Plaintiff Judaea Beaux's (hereinafter "Plaintiff") Motion for
3 Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement ("Motion"), the Declaration of
4 John G. Yslas, the Declaration of Judaea Beaux, the Declaration of Sean Hartranft, and the Class
5 Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement"), and good cause appearing,
6 the Court finds and HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

7 1. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the Settlement Agreement appears to
8 be fair, adequate, and reasonable and therefore meets the requirements for preliminary approval.
9 The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement and the Settlement Class based on the
10 terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement between Plaintiff and Defendant Face Haus, LLC
11 ("Defendant"), attached to the Declaration of John G. Yslas in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for
12 Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement as **Exhibit 2**.

13 2. The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness of a settlement which
14 could ultimately be given final approval by this Court, and appears to be presumptively valid,
15 subject only to any objections that may be raised at the Final Approval Hearing and final
16 approval by this Court. The Court notes that Defendant has agreed to create a common fund of
17 \$325,000.00 to cover (a) settlement payments to Class Members who do not validly opt out; (b)
18 a \$15,000.00 allocation toward civil penalties under the California Private Attorneys General
19 Act of 2004 ("PAGA"), 65% of which (\$9,750.00) will be paid to California's Labor and
20 Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") and 35% of which (\$5,250.00) will be paid to
21 Aggrieved Employees; (c) a Class Representative Service Payment of up to \$7,500.00 to
22 Plaintiff; (d) Class Counsel's attorneys' fees not to exceed one third (1/3) of the Gross
23 Settlement Amount (currently estimated to be \$108,333.33), and up to \$25,000.00 in costs for
24 actual litigation expenses incurred by Class Counsel; and (e) Settlement Administration Costs
25 of up to \$5,500.00.

26 3. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement appear to be within
27 the range of possible approval, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and
28 applicable law. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that: (1) the Settlement amount is fair

1 and reasonable to the Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further
2 litigation relating to class certification, liability and damages issues, and potential appeals; (2)
3 significant informal discovery, investigation, research, and litigation have been conducted such
4 that counsel for the Parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions;
5 (3) settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be presented
6 by the further prosecution of the litigation; and (4) the proposed Settlement has been reached as
7 the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties with the
8 assistance of a well-respected class action mediator. Accordingly, the Court preliminarily finds
9 that the Settlement Agreement was entered into in good faith.

10 4. A final approval hearing on the question of whether the proposed Settlement,
11 attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, payment to the LWDA for its share of the settlement
12 of claims for penalties under PAGA, and the Class Representative Service Payment should be
13 finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to the members of the Class is hereby set
14 in accordance with the Implementation Schedule set forth below.

15 5. The Court provisionally certifies for settlement purposes only the following class
16 (the "Class"): "all persons who worked for Defendant in California as an hourly-paid or non-
17 exempt employee at any time during the Class Period."

18 6. "Class Period" means the period from September 6, 2020, through September 8,
19 2025.

20 7. "Aggrieved Employees" means all persons who worked for Defendant in California
21 as an hourly-paid or non-exempt employee at any time during the PAGA Period.

22 8. "PAGA Period" means the period from June 21, 2023, through September 8, 2025.

23 1. The Court finds, for settlement purposes only, that the Settlement Class meets the
24 requirements for certification under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 in that: (1) the
25 Settlement Class Members are so numerous that joinder is impractical; (2) there are questions
26 of law and fact that are common, or of general interest, to all Settlement Class Members, which
27 predominate over individual issues; (3) Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the
28 Settlement Class Members; (4) Plaintiff and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect

the interests of the Settlement Class Members; and (5) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

2. The Court appoints as Class Representative, for settlement purposes only, Plaintiff Judaea Beaux. The Court further preliminarily approves Plaintiff's ability to request an incentive award of up to \$7,500.00.

3. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, John G. Yslas, Diego Aviles, Harry Erganyan, Mariam Nazaretyan, Emily K. Borman, and Courtney M. Miller of Wilshire Law Firm, PLC, as Class Counsel. The Court further preliminarily approves Class Counsel's ability to request attorneys' fees of up to one third (1/3) of the Gross Settlement Amount (currently estimated to be \$108,333.33), and litigation costs not to exceed \$25,000.00.

4. The Court appoints Apex Class Action as the Settlement Administrator with reasonable administration costs estimated not to exceed \$4,750.00.

5. The Court approves, as to form and content the Class Notice, attached to the Settlement Agreement as **Exhibit A**. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that plan for distribution of the Notice to Settlement Class Members satisfies due process, provides the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.

6. The Parties are ordered to carry out the Settlement according to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

7. Any Class Member who does not timely and validly request exclusion from the Settlement may object to the Settlement Agreement.

8. The Court orders the following Implementation Schedule:

Event	Timing
Class Data: Last day for Defendant to provide Class Data to the Administrator	10 days after the Court grants Preliminary Approval of the Settlement
Class Notice: Last day for Administrator to mail the Class Notice to Class Members	14 days after receipt of the Class Data

Response Deadline: Last day for Class Members to submit Requests for Exclusion, Objections to the Settlement, and challenges to Workweeks and/or Pay Periods	45 days after Class Notice is mailed out by the Administrator (with an additional 14 days for Class Members whose Class Notice was remailed)
Filing Deadline: Last day to file Motion for Final Approval, Request for Attorneys' Fees and Costs, and Class Representative Service Payment to Plaintiff	16 court days before the Final Approval Hearing
Final Approval Hearing	October 8, 2026, at 10:30 a.m. in Dept. 12 of the above referenced Court Nov. 4, 2026 at 10:30 am

9. The Court further ORDERS that, pending further Order of this Court, all proceedings in this lawsuit, except those contemplated herein and in the settlement, are stayed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: 06/24/2026



Carolyn B. Kuhl

Carolyn B. Kuhl / Judge

HON. CAROLYN B. KUHL
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT