

D.LAW, INC.
Roman Shkodnik (SBN 285152)
r.shkodnik@d.law
Enoch J. Kim (SBN 261146)
e.kim@d.law
Antonia Bliznets (SBN 344511)
a.bliznets@d.law
250 N Madison Ave., 2nd Floor
Pasadena, CA 91101
Telephone: (818) 962-6465
Facsimile: (818) 962-6469

DAVID YEREMIAN & ASSOCIATES, INC.
David Yeremian (SBN 226337)
d.yeremian@d.law
250 N Madison Ave., 2nd Floor
Pasadena, CA 91101
Telephone: (818) 962-6465
Facsimile: (818) 962-6469

Attorneys for Plaintiff JOSE MALDONADO,
on behalf of himself and others similarly situated

[Additional Counsel on following page]

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

JOSE MALDONADO, on behalf of himself
and others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

TPS SOLUTIONS INC. dba COAST
PERSONNEL, a California corporation;
MESH LOGISTICS, an entity of unknown
form; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 23STCV07076

CLASS ACTION

*[Assigned for All Purposes to the Hon. Theresa
M. Traber; Dept. SS-1]*

**~~AMENDED [PROPOSED]~~ ORDER
GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT**

*[filed concurrently with the Supplemental
Declaration of Antonia Bliznets; Supplemental
Declaration of Norma Ayala;*

Date: June 5, 2026
Time: 4:00 p.m.
Dept.: SS1

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

06/05/2026

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

By: A. He Deputy

Electronically Received 05/26/2026 05:27 PM

1 Joseph Lavi, Esq. (SBN 209776)
2 Vincent C. Granberry, Esq. (SBN 276483)
3 Stephen M. Sloane, Esq. (SBN 141707)

LAVI & EBRAHIMIAN, LLP

8889 W. Olympic Boulevard, Suite 200

Beverly Hills, California 90211

Telephone: (310) 432-0000

Facsimile: (310) 432-0001

Email: jlavi@lelawfirm.com

vgranberry@lelawfirm.com

ssloane@lelawfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff CINTIA SEVILLA,
on behalf of herself and others similarly situated,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

Plaintiffs’ motion for an order preliminarily approving the settlement of this action, approving the form notice of settlement, and setting a final approval hearing (“Motion”) came on for hearing in Department 1 of this Court on June 5, 2026.

THE COURT ORDERS AND MAKES DETERMINATIONS AS FOLLOWS:

ORDER PROVISIONALLY CERTIFYING SETTLEMENT CLASS AND

APPOINTMENT OF CLASS REPRESENTATIVES AND CLASS COUNSEL

All persons employed by Defendants in California and classified as non-exempt hourly employee who worked for Defendants during the Class Period, which is from October 12, 2019 through April 27, 2025.

1 2. The Court grants preliminary approval of the terms and conditions contained in the
2 Settlement Agreement. The Court finds that the terms of the Settlement Agreement are within the
3 range of possible approval at the final approval hearing.

4 3. The Court preliminarily finds, for settlement purposes only, that the Class meets
5 (i) the ascertainability and numerosity requirements; (ii) the commonality requirement because, in
6 the absence of class certification and settlement, each individual Class Member would have to
7 litigate core common issues of law and fact, all relating to Defendants' alleged wage-and-hour
8 violations asserted in the action; (iii) the typicality requirement because Plaintiffs and the Class
9 Members' claims all arise from the same alleged events and course of conduct, and are based on the
10 same legal theories; and (iv) the adequacy of representation requirement because Plaintiffs have the
11 same interests as all members of the Class, and they are represented by experienced and competent
12 counsel.

13 4. The Court further finds, preliminarily and for settlement purposes only, that common
14 issues predominate over individual issues in this litigation and that class treatment is superior to the
15 other means of resolving this dispute. Employing the class device here will not only achieve
16 economies of scale for Class Members with individual claims, but also conserve the resources of
17 the judicial system and preserve public confidence in the integrity of the system by avoiding the
18 waste and delay of repetitive proceedings. In addition, certifying the class will prevent inconsistent
19 adjudications of similar issues and claims.

20 5. For settlement purposes only, the Court finds that Plaintiffs are adequate class
21 representatives and appoint them as such. The Court further finds that D.Law, Inc., David Yeremian
22 & Associates, Inc., and Lavi & Ebrahimian, LLP have adequately represented Plaintiffs and the
23 Class in this litigation, and the Court appoints them as Class Counsel.

24 6. The Court appoints Apex Class Action, LLC to perform the duties of a Settlement
25 Administrator for the purpose of issuing the Class Notice and administering the Settlement.

26 7. The Court recognizes that certification under this Order is for *settlement purposes*
27 *only*, and shall not constitute or be construed as a finding by the Court, or an admission on the part
28 of Defendants, that this action is appropriate for class treatment for litigation purposes. Entry of this

1 Order is without prejudice to the rights of Defendants to oppose class certification in the actions,
2 should the proposed Settlement Agreement not be granted final approval.

3 **PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

4 8. The Court has reviewed the Settlement Agreement and the proposed Class Notice.
5 The Court finds, on a preliminary basis, that the Settlement Agreement appears to be within the
6 range of reasonableness of a settlement that could ultimately be given final approval by this Court.
7 It appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that:

8 a. The settlement amount is fair and reasonable to all Class Members when
9 balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to liability and damages issues;

10 b. Extensive and costly investigation and research have been conducted such
11 that counsel for the parties at this time are reasonably able to evaluate their respective positions;

12 c. Settlement at this time will avoid additional substantial costs, such as those
13 that have already been incurred by both parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be
14 presented by the further prosecution of this litigation; and

15 d. The proposed settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious,
16 and non-collusive arm's-length negotiations.

17 9. The Court further approves the following representative group of employees as
18 governed by the Settlement Agreement with respect to the PAGA claim:

19 A person employed by Defendants in California and classified as a non-exempt hourly
20 employee who worked for Defendants during the PAGA Period, which is from April
21 12, 2022 through April 27, 2025.

22 10. The Court grants approval of the PAGA Settlement pursuant to the terms and
23 conditions contained in the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds that the terms of the PAGA
24 Settlement are fair and reasonable and approves the PAGA Settlement pursuant to Labor Code
25 § 2699(l)(2).

26 11. Because a PAGA action is not a class action, Class Members may not opt out of, or
27 object to, the PAGA Settlement.

28 12. If the Court does not grant final approval of the Settlement Agreement, approval of

1 the PAGA Settlement will be vacated.

2 **APPROVAL OF DISTRIBUTION OF THE CLASS NOTICE**

3 **AND TIMELINE FOR SENDING CLASS NOTICE**

4 13. This Court finds that the Class Notice fairly and adequately advises the potential
5 Class Members of the terms of the Settlement and the process for the Class Members to obtain the
6 benefits available under the Settlement Agreement, as well as the right of Class Members to opt out
7 of the class, to file documentation in opposition to the proposed settlement, and to appear at the
8 settlement hearing to be conducted on the date set by the Court. The Court further finds that the
9 Class Notice and proposed distribution of such Class Notice by first-class mail to each identified
10 Class Member at their last known address comports with all constitutional requirements, including
11 those of due process under the United States and California constitutions, and meets the
12 requirements of Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and California Rules of Court rule 3.766.
13 Accordingly, good cause appearing therefore, the Court hereby approves the proposed Class Notice.

14 14. The Settlement Administrator shall cause the Class Notice to be mailed by first class
15 mail to all known members of the Class certified by this Court in this action to the most recent
16 address in Defendants' business records for each known member of the Class pursuant to the
17 Settlement Agreement. The mailing of the Class Notices directed in this Order constitutes the best
18 notice practicable under the circumstances and sufficient notice to all members of the Class.

19 15. The costs of settlement administration, including the cost of printing and mailing the
20 Class Notices, shall be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount. Such costs shall be withheld from
21 the Gross Settlement Amount by the Settlement Administrator pursuant to the terms of the
22 Settlement Agreement.

23 **EXCLUSIONS FROM THE SETTLEMENT**

24 16. Each member of the Class who wishes to be excluded from the Class must submit a
25 request to be excluded from the Settlement by the deadline set forth in the Class Notice. Any Class
26 Member who does not submit a timely request to be excluded from the Settlement consistent with
27 the terms of the Settlement Agreement shall be bound by the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

28 **OBJECTIONS TO SETTLEMENT**

17. Any member of the Class who has not timely elected to be excluded from the Class, and who wishes to object to the fairness, reasonableness, or adequacy of the Settlement Agreement or the proposed settlement, or to the award of attorneys' fees and costs, shall provide to the Settlement Administrator a written statement of the objection, as well as the specific reasons, if any, for each objection. The Settlement Administrator will promptly transmit any objections it receives to Class Counsel and Defendants' counsel.

18. All written objections must be signed by the Class Member or the Class Member's representative and include the information specified in the Class Notice.

19. A Class Member may appear either in person or through personal counsel at the Final Approval Hearing to object to the Settlement. If represented by personal counsel, the counsel will be hired at the Class Member's expense.

20. Class Counsel and Defendants' counsel shall promptly furnish each other with copies of any and all objections or written requests for exclusion that come into their possession.

FINAL APPROVAL HEARING

21. The Court grants Plaintiffs' motion to set a settlement hearing for final approval of the Settlement Agreement on Sept.16, 2026, at 10:30 a.m./p.m. in Department 1 of this Court ("Final Approval Hearing"), as set forth in the Class Notice, to determine whether the proposed settlement of this action is fair, reasonable and adequate and should be finally approved. The Court will also consider at the Final Approval Hearing whether applications for Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and costs and class representative service payments to Plaintiffs should be granted and, if so, in what amounts.

22. Class Counsel shall file Plaintiffs' memorandum of points and authorities in support of the final approval of the Settlement Agreement and their request for approval of the attorneys' fees, litigation costs, and service payments no later than 16 court days prior to the Final Approval Hearing. After the Final Approval Hearing, the Court may enter a Final Order and Final Judgment in accordance with the Settlement Agreement that will adjudicate the rights of all Class Members.

23. All discovery and other pretrial proceedings in this action are stayed and suspended until further order of this Court, except such actions as may be necessary to implement the

1 Settlement Agreement and this Order.

2 24. If, for any reason, the Court does not grant final approval of the Settlement, all
3 evidence and proceedings held in connection therewith shall be without prejudice to the status quo
4 ante rights of the parties to the litigation as more specifically set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6
7 Dated: 06/05/2026



A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Theresa M. Traber", is written over a horizontal line.

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
Theresa M. Traber / Judge