

MELMED LAW GROUP P.C.

Jonathan Melmed (SBN 290218)

jm@melmedlaw.com

Kyle D. Smith (SBN 280489)

ks@melmedlaw.com

Rachel Jo (SBN 358235)

rj@melmedlaw.com

1801 Century Park East, Suite 850

Los Angeles, California 90067

Phone: (310) 824-3828

Fax: (310) 862-6851

Attorneys for Plaintiff, the Putative Class, and the Aggrieved Employees

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

MARTHA D. JIMENEZ, an individual, on
behalf of herself, the State of California, as a
private attorney general, and on behalf of all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

HOLLEY PERFORMANCE PRODUCTS INC.,
a Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1 TO 50,

Defendants.

Case Number: 25STCV00770

**~~[Proposed]~~ Order Granting Motion for
Preliminary Approval of Class Action and
PAGA Settlement**

Hearing Date: June 29, 2026

Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m.

Dept: 15

Judge: Hon. Timothy Patrick Dillon

FILED

Superior Court of California

County of Los Angeles

07/13/2026

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

By: E. Martinez Deputy

Electronically Received 07/09/2026 04:32 PM

~~PROPOSED~~ **ORDER**

This matter came on for hearing on June 29, 2026, at 10:00 a.m., regarding Plaintiff's unopposed *Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement* (the "Motion") on the terms set forth in the parties' *Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement and Class Notice* (the "Initial Agreement") and *Amendment to the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement and Class Notice* (the "Amendment") (the Initial Agreement and the Amendment are hereafter referred to together as the "Settlement Agreement") attached as **Exhibit 1** and **Exhibit 2**, respectively, to the concurrently filed *Declaration of Kyle D. Smith in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action*. Having considered the Settlement Agreement, all papers and proceedings held herein, and having reviewed the entire record in this action, the Court hereby finds and orders:

1. The Court grants Plaintiff leave file the overlength brief attached to the Motion.
2. All terms used in this order shall have the same meaning as defined in the Motion.
3. The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement Agreement and the "Class" (as that term is defined in the Motion) based on the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
4. The resolution set forth in the Settlement Agreement appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable to the Class, including the payment of the non-reversionary gross settlement amount of **\$400,000.00** ("Gross Settlement Amount") by Defendant.
5. The Settlement Agreement, including the Gross Settlement Amount, falls within the range of reasonableness and is presumptively valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at the final fairness hearing and final approval by this Court.
6. A final fairness hearing on the question of whether the proposed Settlement Agreement, the attorneys' fees and costs to Plaintiff's counsel, and Plaintiff's service award—although the Court anticipates reducing Plaintiff's service award at final approval—should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate as to the members of the Class is scheduled in this department on the date and time set forth in the implementation schedule below.
7. The Court has reviewed and considered the *Declaration of Kyle D. Smith Regarding Amendment to Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement and Class Notice* and grants preliminary

1 approval of the Parties' *Amendment to Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement and Class Notice*,
2 executed on July 9, 2026 ("Second Amendment").

3 8. This Court approves, as to form and content, the proposed class notice attached as
4 **Exhibit A** to the Second Amendment ("Class Notice") to be distributed to the Class Members pursuant
5 to the Settlement Agreement in substantially the same form. The Court approves the procedure for
6 Class Members to participate in, to opt out of, and to object to the settlement as set forth in the
7 Settlement Agreement.

8 9. The Court directs the mailing of the Class Notice by first class mail to the Class
9 Members in accordance with the implementation schedule set forth below. The Court finds the dates
10 selected for the mailing and distribution of the Class Notice, as set forth in the implementation schedule,
11 meet the requirements of due process and constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances
12 and due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.

13 10. The Court preliminarily certifies the Class, as defined in the Motion, for settlement
14 purposes.

15 11. The Court confirms Plaintiff Martha D. Jimenez ("Plaintiff") as class representative.

16 12. The court confirms Jonathan Melmed, Kyle D. Smith, and Rachel Jo of Melmed Law
17 Group P.C. as class counsel.

18 13. The Court appoints Apex Class Action Administration as the settlement administrator.

19 14. To facilitate administration of the Settlement Agreement pending final approval, the
20 court hereby enjoins Plaintiff and all Class Members from filing or prosecuting any claims, suits, or
21 administrative proceedings (including filing claims with the Division of Labor Standards Enforcement
22 of the California Department of Industrial Relations) regarding the claims to be released by the
23 Settlement Agreement, unless and until such Class Members have filed valid requests for exclusion
24 with the Settlement Administrator and the time for filing claims with the Settlement Administrator has
25 elapsed.

26 15. The Court orders the following implementation schedule for further proceedings:
27
28

a.	Deadline for Defendant to Submit Class List to the Settlement Administrator	[Within fourteen (14) calendar days of this order.]
b.	Deadline for Settlement Administrator to Mail Notice Packets to all Class Members	[Within twenty-eight (28) calendar days of this order.]
c.	Deadline for Class Members to Postmark Workweeks Challenges	[Within forty-five (45) days after mailing of the Class Notice.]
d.	Deadline for Class Members to Postmark Requests for Exclusion	[Within forty-five (45) days after mailing of the Class Notice.]
e.	Deadline for Class Members to Submit Any Objections to Settlement	[Within forty-five (45) days after mailing of the Class Notice.]
f.	Deadline for Settlement Administrator to Provide Class Counsel with Declaration of Due Diligence	[At least fourteen (14) calendar days prior to the deadline for Plaintiff's motion for final approval to be filed.]
f	Final Approval and Fairness Hearing	November 10, 2026, at 10:00 a.m.

16. If any of the dates in this implementation schedule fall on a weekend, bank or court holiday, the time to act shall be extended to the next business day.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 07/13/2026



[Handwritten Signature]

Judge of the Superior Court, County of Los Angeles
Timothy Patrick Dillon / Judge